STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT CULVERT AT STA. 654+07.00 Illinois 47 over Kishwaukee River Tributary Existing SN 056-0245; Proposed SN 056-0311 MCHenry County, Illinois

> For Strand Associates, Inc. 1170 South Houbolt Road Joliet, IL 60432

Submitted by Wang Engineering, Inc. 1145 North Main Street Lombard, IL 60148

> Original Report: August 24, 2018 Revised Report: N.A

Wang No. 195-13-01 Culvert SN 056-0311 SGR

	•	0
1. Title and Subtitle		2. Original Date: August 24, 2018
Structure Geotechnical Report, Culvert at Sta. 654+07.00		Revised Date: n.a
Illinois Route 47 Over Kishwaukee River Tributary		3. Report Type SGR RGR
		Draft 🖾 Final 🗌 Revised
4. Route / Section / County		5. IDOT Job No.
FAP 326 (IL 47)/ 105-N-2(15) / McHenry	D-91-011-14
6. PTB / Item No.	7. Existing Structure Number(s)	8. Proposed Structure Number(s)
169/019	056-0245	056-0311
9. Prepared by	Contributor(s)	Contact
Wang Engineering, Inc.	Author: Andri A. Kurnia, PE	(630) 953-9928 ext. 1025
1145 N Main Street	QA/QC: Corina T. Farez, PE, PG	akurnia@wangeng.com
Lombard, IL 60148	PM: Liviu Iordache, PG	
10. Prepared for	Contact(s)	Contact Information
Strand Associates, Inc.	Marc Grigas, PE	(815) 744-4200
1170 South Houbolt Road		Marc.Grigas@strand.com
Joliet, IL, 60431		
11. Abstract		
 The existing 5-foot tall by 6-foot wide, concrete box culvert carrying Illinois 47 over Kishwaukee River Tributary will be removed and replaced with a longer, single cell cencrete box culvert. The new culvert will be 6-foot tall by 8-foot wide. The culvert's length will be 155 feet. New fill will be placed on top and around both culvert's ends. The culverts ends will be retained by aprons with wingwalls. Beneath topsoil and pavement, the general lithologic profile encountered during the investigation includes about 4.5 feet of stiff to very stiff clay loam fill over stiff to hard clay to clay loam. The groundwater elevations range from 872 to 893 feet. Design scour elevations are proposed to be at the bottom of the cutoff wall. We recommend removing the topsoil and cohesive soil with higher moisture content beneath the downstream end culvert base and replacing with granular aggregates beneath the downstream sections of the culvert. After the proposed removal, total long-term settlements are estimated to be 0.5 inches with a differential settlement of about 0.4 inches over 60 feet. We recommend the wingwalls be designed for a maximum factored bearing resistance of 4,000 psf. Global stability analyses of the wingwalls show factors of safety meeting the minimum requirement of 1.5. Wang estimates a temporary steel sheet piling according to IDOT Design Guide 3.13.1 is feasible and sufficient to accommodate stage construction. 		

Technical Report Documentation Page

12. Path to archived file

shared\Netprojects\1951301\Reports\SGR\Culvert_SN056-0311\RPT_Wang_AAK_1951301_SN056-0311_V2_20180824.pdf



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	PROPOSED STRUCTURE	1
1.2	EXISTING STRUCTURE AND LAND USE	1
2.0	GEOLOGICAL SETTING	2
2.1	Physiography	2
2.2	SUFICIAL COVER	2
2.3	Bedrock	3
3.0	METHODS OF INVESTIGATION	3
3.1	FIELD INVESTIGATION	3
3.2	LABORATORY TESTING	4
4.0	INVESTIGATION RESULTS	4
4.1	Lithological Profile	5
4.2	GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	5
5.0	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
5.0 5.1	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5 6
5.0 5.1 5.2	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS Scour Considerations Ground Treatment	5 6 6
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS Scour Considerations Ground Treatment Bearing Capacity	5 6 6 7
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5 6 7 7
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5 6 7 7 7
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5 6 7 7 7 7
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5 6 7 7 7 8 8
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6 6 7 7 7 7 8 8
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0 6.1	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS Scour Considerations. Ground Treatment. Bearing Capacity. Settlement Global Stability Cast-In-Place or Precast Culvert Considerations. Stage Construction Considerations Stage Construction Considerations Sitte Preparation	5 6 7 7 7 7 8 8
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0 6.1 6.2	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS SCOUR CONSIDERATIONS	5 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8
5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7 6.0 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5 6 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8



REFERENCES	. 11

EXHIBITS

- 1. SITE LOCATION MAP
- 2. SITE AND REGIONAL GEOLOGY
- 3. BORING LOCATION PLAN
- 4. Soil Profile
- 5. Removal and Replacement Sketch

APPENDIX A

BORING LOGS

APPENDIX B

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX C

GLOBAL STABILITY ANALYSIS

APPENDIX D

PRELIMINARY GPE



STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT CULVERT AT STA. 654+07.00 ILLINOIS 47 OVER KISHWAUKEE RIVER TRIBUTARY EXISTING SN 056-0245; PROPOSED SN 056-0311 MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR STRAND ASSOCIATES, INC.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our subsurface investigation, laboratory testing, geotechnical evaluations, and recommendations to support the removal and replacement of a culvert crossing Illinois Route 47 (IL 47) at Station 654+07.00 that is about 2000 feet north of the intersection between IL 176 West Leg and IL 47. The proposed structure is part of the widening and reconstruction of 1.65-mile-long of IL 47 between Station 565+80 and Station 653+00 in McHenry County, Illinois. A *Site Location Map* is presented as Exhibit 1.

1.1 Proposed Structure

Based on the information provided by Strand Associates, Inc. (Strand) and Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. (CBBEL) on February 14, 2018 and the *Preliminary General Plan and Elevation* (GPE) received in May 2018, Wang Engineering, Inc. (Wang) understands the existing 5-foot tall by 6-foot wide culvert will be removed and replaced with a new and longer single cell concrete box culvert. The proposed culvert's cell will be 6-foot tall by 8-foot wide. The culvert's length will be 155 feet, about 112 feet longer than the existing one. The proposed culvert upstream invert elevation will be 903.7 feet and downstream invert elevation will be 903.6 feet; with flow directed from west to east. The proposed culvert barrel will have bottom elevation slightly lower than the existing. Aprons with cantilever type wingwalls are proposed to support the widened roadway embankment at both the upstream and downstream ends.

1.2 Existing Structure and Land Use

The existing 5-foot by 6-foot concrete box culvert was constructed in 1936 and has a total length of 43.0 feet. The culvert has an upstream invert elevation of 905.2 feet and a downstream invert elevation of 905.1 feet.



The purpose of this investigation was to characterize the site soil and groundwater conditions, perform geotechnical analyses, and provide recommendations for the design and construction of the proposed culvert replacement and wingwalls.

2.0 GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The project area is located along IL 47 about 2000 feet north of intersection of IL 176 West Leg and IL 47, in Dorr Townships, McHenry County, Illinois. On the USGS Huntley 7.5 Minute Series Quadrangle map, the project is located in SW ¼ of Section 28, Tier 44 N, Range 7 E of the Third Principal Meridian.

The following review of published geologic data, with emphasis on factors that might influence the design and construction of the proposed engineering works, is meant to place the project area within a geological framework and confirm the dependability and consistency of the subsurface investigation results. For the study of the regional geologic framework, Wang considered northeastern Illinois in general and McHenry County in particular. Exhibit 2 illustrates the *Site and Regional Geology*.

2.1 Physiography

The IL 47 at the culvert location runs through rural setting surrounded by agricultural used fields. The surface topography is generally flat with elevation of about 905 feet. IL 47 crosses over Kishwaukee River Tributary about 2000 feet north of the intersection of IL 176 West Leg and IL 47 with roadway of about 912 feet. The downstream invert elevation is about 903.6 feet and the water surface is at about 905.5 feet elevation.

2.2 Surficial Cover

The project area was shaped during the Wisconsin-age glaciation and about 200-foot thick overburden covers the bedrock. The glacigenic deposits were emplaced during pulsating advances and retreats of an icesheet lobe responsible for the formation of end moraines and associated low-relief till and lake plains (Hansel and Johnson 1996). The surficial cover within the project area consists of organic silt and clay of the Grayslake Peat found discontinuously throughout the project area. The Grayslake Peat overlies either the clay and silt of the Equality Formation, or the silty clayey diamicton of the Yorkville Member of the Lemont Formation. The clayey diamicton of verlies the loamy diamicton of the Tiskilwa Formation or gravelly sand outwash of the Henry Formation. The outwash of the Henry Formation interfingers with the two diamictons.



The Grayslake Peat, less than 10 feet thick, consists of black to brown peat interbedded with gray organic reach sand, silt, and clay and white to light gray marl (Curry and Thomason 2012). The Equality Formation, less than 15 feet thick, consists of brown to gray bedded fine sand, silt, and clay lacustrine deposits (Curry and Thomason 2012). The Henry Formation consists of stratified sand and gravel outwash with thicknesses of about 5 to 10 feet, within the project limits (Curry and Thomason 2012). The Yorkville Member of the Lemont Formation, up to 30 feet thick, consists of yellowish brown to gray silty clay to silty clay loam diamicton that contains lenses of gravel, sand, silt, and clay (Hansel and Johnson 1996, Curry and Thomason 2012). The Tiskilwa Formation, about 55 feet thick, consists of gravel, sand, silt, and clay (Wickham et al. 1988, Curry and Thomason 2012). The Tiskilwa Formation diamicton rests over the Illinoian-age drift, which in turn unconformably rests over the Silurian-age dolostone (Curry and Thomason 2012). The diamicton account for about 75% of the subsurface soil.

From a geotechnical viewpoint, the Yorkville Member characterized by low plasticity to moderate, high strength, and low to moderate moisture content and the Tiskilwa Formation characterized by low plasticity, medium to high strength, low moisture content, moderately to highly pebbly (Bauer et al. 1991).

2.3 Bedrock

In McHenry County, the surficial cover rests unconformably on top of Silurian-age and Ordovicianage bedrock. The top of the bedrock lies about 160 to 200 feet below the ground surface (bgs). Structurally, the site is located on the eastern flank of the Wisconsin Arch (Willman 1971). No active faults or underground mines are known in the area

Our subsurface investigation results fit into the local geologic context. None of the borings were deep enough to encounter either the loamy diamicton of the Tiskilwa Formation or bedrock.

3.0 METHODS OF INVESTIGATION

The following sections outline the subsurface and laboratory investigations performed by Wang.

3.1 Field Investigation

The subsurface investigation consisted of three soil borings, designated as CUL-07 to CUL-09, drilled by Wang in October and November of 2017. Two borings were drilled outside of the roadway within



the new culvert limits from elevations of 904.7 to 906.2 feet and one boring was drilled from the roadway pavement at elevation of 911.7 feet. The borings were advanced to depths of 35 to 45 feet bgs. The as-drilled northing and easting coordinates were acquired with a mapping-grade GPS unit; boring elevations were surveyed with a level. Stations and offsets were determined from drawings provided by Strand. Boring location data are presented in the *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and the as-drilled boring locations are shown in the *Boring Location Plan* (Exhibit 3).

An ATV-mounted drilling rig, equipped with hollow stem augers, was used to advance and maintain open boreholes. Soil sampling was performed according to AASHTO T206, "*Penetration Test and Split Barrel Sampling of Soils*." The soil was sampled at 2.5-foot intervals to 30 feet bgs and at 5.0-foot intervals thereafter. Soil samples collected from each sampling interval were placed in sealed jars and transported to the laboratory for further examination and laboratory testing.

Field boring logs, prepared and maintained by a Wang geologist, include lithological descriptions, visual-manual soil (IDH Textural) classifications, results of Rimac and pocket penetrometer unconfined compressive strength tests, and results of Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) recorded as blows per 6 inches of penetration.

Groundwater levels were measured while drilling and at completion of each boring. Each borehole was backfilled upon completion with soil cuttings and/or bentonite chips.

3.2 Laboratory Testing

The soil samples were tested in the laboratory for moisture content (AASHTO T265). Atterberg limits (AASHTO T89 and T90) and particle size (AASHTO T88) analyses were performed on selected samples. Field visual descriptions of the soil samples were verified in the laboratory. Laboratory test results are shown in the *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and in the *Laboratory Test Results* (Appendix B).

4.0 INVESTIGATION RESULTS

Detailed descriptions of the soil conditions encountered during the subsurface investigation are presented in the attached *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and in the *Soil Profile* (Exhibit 4). Please note that strata contact lines represent approximate boundaries between soil types. The actual transition between soil types in the field may be gradual in horizontal and vertical directions.



4.1 Lithological Profile

At the surface, the borings encountered 14- to 21-inch thick black silty clay loam topsoil or 9-inch thick asphalt over 10-inch thick concrete pavement. In descending order, the general lithologic succession encountered beneath the surface includes: 1) man-made ground (fill); 2) stiff to hard clay to clay loam.

1) Man-made ground (fill)

Fill was encountered in Boring CUL-07, which was drilled through the IL 47 roadway pavement. Beneath the surface, the boring encountered up to 4.5 feet of stiff to very stiff, brown clay loam fill. The fill has unconfined compressive strength (Q_u) values of 1.9 and 3.5 tsf and moisture content values of 11 and 17%. Beneath the fill, about 36 inches of stiff, black clay loam buried topsoil was encountered.

2) Stiff to Hard Clay to Clay Loam

At elevations of 903.0 to 905.0 feet, the borings advanced through stiff to hard, brown and gray clay to clay loam with lenses of gravel and sand. The cohesive soil has Q_u values of 1.0 to 6.1 tsf with an average of 2.2 tsf and moisture content values of 9 to 28% with an average of 13%. The borings were terminated within this unit. Laboratory index testing shows liquid limit (L_L) values of 22 to 48% and plastic limit (P_L) values of 12 to 24%. The higher L_L and P_L (L_L 48% and P_L 20%) was observed in the first 5 feet of Boring CUL-09.

4.2 Groundwater Conditions

While drilling, groundwater was encountered in the interbedded granular lenses within the cohesive soils at elevation of 871.8 and 892.5 feet (12.8 and 39.9 feet bgs). At the completion of drilling, groundwater was measured at elevations of 872.3 and 877.5 feet (32.4 and 34.3 feet bgs).

5.0 FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Geotechnical evaluations and recommendations for the culvert and aprons with cantilever wingwalls are included in the following sections. The proposed culvert replacement will have upstream and downstream invert elevations of 903.7 and 903.6 feet, respectively and the base of the culvert barrel will be installed slightly deeper than the existing. Aprons with vertically cantilevered wingwall types will be used to support the roadway embankment widening at both ends.



Wang has performed bearing capacity, settlement, and global stability analyses for the proposed culvert barrel and wingwalls.

5.1 Scour Considerations

The design scour elevation should be taken at the bottom of the cutoff wall (IDOT 2012). For horizontal cantilever wingwalls, the cutoff walls are established 3.0 feet below the culvert invert elevations; whereas for T-type wingwalls, the cutoff walls are established 4.0 feet below the invert elevations. The design scour elevations with cutoff walls established at 4.0 feet below the invert elevations, as shown in the GPE drawing, are summarized in Table 1. To prevent local erosion, we recommend placing stone riprap or a concrete apron at the ends of the culvert; this will be particularly important if precast sections are used. This will also prevent sediments from entering and accumulating in the culvert, minimize long-term maintenance, and provide protection to the streambed at the interface.

Table 1: Design Scour Elevation

	Upstream	Downstream
Design Scour Elevations (feet)	899.7	899.6

5.2 Ground Treatment

The subsurface investigation indicates the soils at the downstream (east end) of the culvert base are primarily topsoil followed by stiff clay to silty clay with higher moisture of 28%. To increase bearing capacity, mitigate settlement issues, and to provide stable working platforms, Wang recommends removal and replacement of topsoil and higher moisture soil at the downstream section. The recommended removal limits and depths are:

• from the downstream end of the culvert going 60 feet upstream, for a depth of 2.5 feet below the proposed bottom of the culvert or to elevation 900.0 feet.

A sketch the proposed removal is shown in Exhibit 5The replacement material should extend a minimum of two feet beyond each side of the box (IDOT 2016). In addition, the following note should be shown in the plans.

"The limits and quantities of removal and replacement shown are based on the boring data and may be modified by the District Geotechnical and Field Engineers for variable subsurface conditions encountered in the field."



5.3 Bearing Capacity

After the proposed removal and replacement, the barrel and apron with wingwalls should be designed based on a maximum factored soil bearing resistance of 4,000 psf. The factored soil bearing resistance was determined using bearing resistance factor (ϕ_b) of 0.45 (AASHTO 2016). The wingwalls should be sized and designed based on the information and typical sections shown in IDOT *Culvert Manual*, Sections 4.3 and 4.4. (IDOT 2017).

Culvert wingwalls could be constructed as horizontal cantilever walls if they will be less than 16 feet in length and the wingwall location can be adequately dewatered (IDOT 2017). Horizontal cantilever walls should be designed based on the structural guidelines provided in Section 4.2 of the IDOT (2017). These wingwalls should be founded at a minimum depth of 3.0 feet below the culvert invert elevations.

According to IDOT (2017), the soil lateral pressure acting on the sidewalls of the box culvert should be assumed as an equivalent fluid pressure of 60 pcf. Earth surcharge should be added in non-zero fill conditions and live load surcharge shall be applied to all culverts regardless of fill height as discussed in IDOT (2017) Section 3.4.5.

The wingwalls types suitable for precast concrete culvert include apron, driven sheet pile and cast-inplace T-type wingwalls. For the cast-in-place culvert, the horizontal cantilever, L-type or T-type wingwalls are typically considered. The apron wingwalls should be designed and constructed based on IDOT Specifications and IDOT Base Sheet dated 2/17/2017 "*SCB-GPE*."

5.4 Settlement

As discussed in Section 5.2, topsoil and cohesive soil with high moisture will be encountered below the base of the proposed culvert. We estimate up to 1 inches of settlement under the new culvert and fill loads. After the proposed removal and replacement, we estimate the foundation soils will experience total long-term settlements of about 0.5 inches, with differential settlements of 0.4 inch over 60 feet. We estimate the settlements are suitable for the construction of the proposed culvert, aprons, and wingwalls.

5.5 Global Stability

The global stability of the fill material to be placed behind the wingwalls was analyzed based on the generalized soil profile described in Section 4.1. The maximum total fill height behind the wingwalls will be about 6 feet with a backfill slope of 1:3 (V:H). We performed global stability analyses for the



wingwalls at the east end section. Global stability was analyzed for both undrained (short-term) and drained (long-term) conditions. The analyses were performed with *Slide v6.0* and the results of the evaluations are provided in Appendix C. We estimate a factor of safety (FOS) of 4.9 for undrained soil condition and a FOS of 2.6 for drained soil condition. The FOSs meet the minimum FOS requirement of 1.5 (IDOT 2015).

5.6 Cast-In-Place or Precast Culvert Considerations

After the recommended removal of unsuitable soil, the results of the analyses indicate that both the cast-in-place and precast culvert options are appropriate and feasible at the site. The differential settlement will be about 0.4 inch over 60 feet, which will not cause excessive separation of the precast sections. For precast end sections, we recommend considering either a concrete apron or riprap armoring at the downstream invert to protect against scour and erosion that could undermine the precast end section assuming a hydraulic analysis does not indicate a low-scour condition.

5.7 Stage Construction Considerations

The preliminary GPE shows stage construction lines for the culvert construction. Wang understands a temporary sheet piling system may be utilized to accommodate stage construction. The sheet piling should be designed based on IDOT Design Guide 3.13.1. Assuming an exposed height of about 10.5 feet (from elevation 912.1 feet to 901.7 feet) located at the center line, our evaluations indicate the temporary steel sheet piling is feasible and sufficient.

6.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

6.1 Site Preparation

The existing culvert be removed and any vegetation, surface topsoil, and debris should be cleared and stripped where the proposed culvert and wingwalls will be placed. If unstable or unsuitable materials are exposed during excavation, they should be removed and replaced with compacted structural fill as described in Section 6.3. The embankment fill behind the proposed wall will be placed against existing sloped embankment. These existing embankments should be deeply plowed or benched in accordance with IDOT Section 205.03 (IDOT 2016) prior to the placement of fill materials.

6.2 Excavation, Dewatering, and Utilities

Excavations should be performed in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations. The potential effect of ground movements upon nearby utilities should be considered during construction. Excavations for the placement of the culvert barrel should be steeped at no steeper than 1:2 (V:H).



Any slopes that cannot be graded at 1:2 (V: H) should be properly shored with temporary sheeting or soil retention systems. Excavated material should not be stockpiled immediately adjacent to the top of slopes, nor should equipment be allowed to operate too closely to open excavations.

Any water that accumulates in open excavations by seepage or runoff should be immediately removed by sump-pump. Depending upon prevailing climate conditions and the time of the year when culvert construction takes place, control runoff and maintenance of existing flows may require temporary water diversion and control. Contractor should be prepared for dewatering measures should groundwater be encountered above the proposed excavation depth.

6.3 Filling and Backfilling

Fill material used to attain the final design elevations should be structural fill material. Coarse aggregate of IDOT gradation CA-6 or pre-approved, compacted, cohesive or granular soil conforming to Section 204 would be acceptable as structural fill (IDOT, 2016). The fill material should be free of organic matter and debris and should be placed in lifts and compacted according to IDOT Section 205, *Embankment* (IDOT, 2016).

Groundwater may exist beneath the culvert. As mentioned in IDOT (2017), in cases such as replacement below box culvert where dewatering and compaction may not be possible, the pay item "Rockfill" is commonly used. In this case, the following note should be added.

"The Rockfill shall be capped with 6 in. of CA7 and satisfy the Standard Specifications unless otherwise indicated in the Special Provisions. The cost of the capping material shall be included in the pay item for Rockfill."

6.4 Earthwork Operations

The required earthwork can be accomplished with conventional construction equipment. Moisture and traffic will cause deterioration of exposed subgrade soils. Precautions should be taken by the Contractor to prevent water erosion of the exposed subgrade. A compacted subgrade will minimize water runoff erosion.

Earth moving operations should be scheduled to not coincide with excessive cold or wet weather (early spring, late fall or winter). Any soil allowed to freeze or soften due to the standing water should be removed. Wet weather can cause problems with subgrade compaction.

It is recommended that an experienced geotechnical engineer be retained to inspect the exposed



subgrade, monitor earthwork operations, and provide material inspection services during the construction phase of this project.

7.0 QUALIFICATIONS

The analysis and recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from the borings drilled at the locations shown on the boring logs and in Exhibit 3. This report does not reflect any variations that may occur between the borings or elsewhere on the site, variations whose nature and extent may not become evident until the course of construction. In the event that any changes in the design and/or location of the structure are planned, we should be timely informed so that our recommendations can be adjusted accordingly.

It has been a pleasure to assist Strand Associates, Inc. and the Illinois Department of Transportation on this project. Please call if there are any questions, or if we can be of further service.

Respectfully Submitted,

WANG ENGINEERING, INC.

Andri A. Kurnia, P.E. Senior Geotechnical Engineer Corina T. Farez, P.E., P.G. QA/QC Reviewer



REFERENCES

- AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS (2016) "AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications." United States Depart of Transportation, Washington, D.C.
- BAUER, R.A., CURRY, B.B., GRAESE, A.M., VAIDEN, R.C., SU, W.J., AND HASEK, M.J., 1991, Geotechnical Properties of Selected Pleistocene, Silurian, and Ordovician Deposits of Northeastern Illinois: Environmental Geology 139, Illinois State Geological Survey, 69 p.
- CURRY, B.B., AND J.F. THOMASON, 2012, Surficial Geology of Huntley Quadrangle, McHenry and Kane Counties, Illinois: Illinois State Geological Survey, USGS-STATEMAP contract report, 2 sheets, 1:24,000.
- FLAHERTY, S.T., JASON F. THOMASON, AND DAVID H. MALONE (2013) Surficial Geology of Woodstock Quadrangle McHenry County, Illinois: Illinois State University, EDMAP; 1 sheet, , 1:24,000.
- HANSEL, A.K., and JOHNSON, W.H. (1996) Wedron and Mason Groups: Lithostratigraphic Reclassification of the Wisconsin Episode, Lake Michigan Lobe Area: ISGS Bulletin 104.
 Illinois State Geological Survey, Champaign, IL. 116 p.
- IDOT (2012) Bridge Manual. Illinois Department of Transportation.
- IDOT (2015) Geotechnical Manual. Illinois Department of Transportation.
- IDOT (2016) *Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction*. Illinois Department of Transportation. 1098 pp.
- IDOT (2017) Culvert Manual. Illinois Department of Transportation.
- WICKHAM, S.S., W.H. JOHNSON, AND H.D. GLASS (1988) Regional Geology of the Tiskilwa Till Member, Weadron Formation, Northeastern Illinois, Illinois State Geological Survey, Circular 543; Champaignm, IL.
- WILLMAN, H.B., ATHERTON, E., BUSCHBACH, T.C., COLLINSON, C., FRYE, J.C., HOPKINS, M.E., LINEBACK, J.A., and SIMON, J.A., 1971, *Handbook of Illinois Stratigraphy*: ISGS Bulletin 95: Urbana, Illinois State Geological Survey, 261 p.



EXHIBITS







Legend	SORING LOCATI SN 056-0311, MC	CHENRY COUNTY	51ATION 654+07,
Culvert Borings	SCALE: GRAPHICAL	EXHIBIT 3	DRAWN BY: RKC CHECKED BY: A. Kurnia
Scale 250 500 East		Wang Engineering	1145 N. Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 www.wangeng.com
	FOR STRAN	ID ASSOCIATES, INC.	195-13-01







APPENDIX A



LEGEND FOR BORING LOG

Relative Density of Non-
Cohesive Soils

N-Blows/ 12 inches	Relative Density Term
0-3	Very Loose
4-9	Loose
10-29	Medium Dense
30-49	Dense
50-80+	Very Dense

Consistency of Cohesive Soils		
Unconfined Compressive Strength Qu, tsf	Consistency Term	
<0.25	Very Soft	
0.25-0.49	Soft	
0.50-0.99	Medium Stiff	
1.00-1.99	Stiff	
2.00-3.99	Very Stiff	
>4.00	Hard	

Relative Drilling		
Resistace		
RDR	Drilling Resistance	
	Term	
1	Very Easy	
2	Easy	
3	Moderate	
4	Hard	
5	Very Hard	

Proportional Terms		
Trace	1-9	Pe
Little	10-19	
Some	20-34	igh Pnt
And	35-50	~ <u>o</u>

Gradation Terminology

Devildere	5.000mmm	
Boulders	>200mm	
Cobbles	200mm to 75mm	
Gravel	75mm to 2mm	
Sand	2-0mm to	
	0.074mm	
Silt	0.074mm to 0.002mm	
Clay	<0.002mm	

Sample Type Symbols



Split Spoon

No Recovery

Geoprobe

Drill Rig:

= Split Spoon

= Shelby Tube

Strength

Rimac test

Rimac test

SSA = Solid Stem Augers,

HSA = Hollow Stem Augers,

SPT = Standard Penetration Test

= Unconfined Compressive

P = Pocket Penetrometer

S = Shear failure of sample,

B = Bulge failure of sample,

SS

ST

Qu

TMR = Truck Mouted Rig ATV = All Terrain Vehicle Rig [--%] = SPT Hammer Efficiency

Rock Core

SPT = Standard Penetration Test N Value is the sum of the second and the third numbers

In-situ Vane Shear Test

Shelby Tube

Auger Cuttings



Geotechnical Construction **Quality Engineering Services Since 1982**

.



	Wang			BO	DI		10		רווי_∩ד					Page 2	2 of 2
	Engineering			DU		NG			JUL-07	Datum: N	GVD				
w l	/angeng@wangeng.com				WE	Job	No.	: 195-′	13-01	Elevation:	911.	70 ft	-		
1	145 N Main Street	Client			Stra	and A	<u>Asso</u>	ociates	, Inc.	North: 20 Fast: 957	37591 259 5	1.35 3 ft	ft		
	elephone: 630 953-9928	Project	!	L 47	betw	een	US	14 and	I S of IL 176	Station: 6	53+88	3.69			
F	ax: 630 953-9938	Location .	•••••	•••••	МсН	enry	Co	unty, ll	llinois	Offset: 7.	5 RT				
	c	ype	ġ	les (e %)		_			ype	ġ	les (e %)
rofile		epth (ft) ple T		Valu //6 ii	(tsf)	bistur tent (rofile	evatio (ft)	SOIL AND ROC	K the		ple l	Valı v/6 ii	(tsf)	bistur tent (
_ □		Sam	Sam	T dS (blv		Cont	с.	Ele	DESCRIPTION		Sam	Sam	SPT (blv	Ū	Cont
	Gray LOAM; damp														
		_													
	870.0	-													
	Stiff, pinkish brown CLAY LC	DAM, –													
	RD)R 2													
		_\/		6											
			15	7	1.72 B	14									
	Boring terminated at 45.00 f	45 / \ t	•	9											
		_													
		_													
		-													
		-													
		50													
		-													
		-													
		_													
		-													
		-													
		-													
		55													
		-													
		-													
		-													
15/18		1													
10															
19.92		_													
NGE															
301.GF	GENE	Complet	ES	lling	1	0-27	·_20^	17	While Drilling	<u>-K LEVE</u> ▽	LD	30 (A 90 ft		
Begin Drilling IO-27-2017 Complete Drilling IO-27-2017 Drilling Contractor Wang Testing Services Drill Rig D50 ATV [88%] Driller R&K Logger T. Rothschild Checked by C. Marin									At Completion of Drilling	÷ a ⊻		34	25 ft		
									Time After Drilling	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		. .	.	•••••	
Drilling Method 2.25 IDA HSA: 140 Ib autohammer: Boring backfilled									Depth to Water 2	Z NA					
WAN	upon completion	<u></u>			The stratification lines rep between soil types; the ac	present the app tual transition	oroxim may b	ate b e gra	oundar adual.	у					







APPENDIX B



LAB.GDT ŝ d C 1951301 ΗQ SIZE GRAIN



1951301.GPJ US LAB.GDT ATTERBERG LIMITS IDH



APPENDIX C



120

2300

0

FOR STRAND ASSOCIATES, INC.

195-13-01

3

Stiff to V Stiff Clay Loam





APPENDIX D



(847) 823-0500

LOT DATE = 4/11/2018

DATE

SPLANDATES

REVISED



SCALE: N.T.S.

SHEET 1

								NIRACI	NO. 1
OF	7	SHEETS	STA. 654+07	TO STA	ILLINOIS FED. AID		FED. AID PROJ	ECT	

GENERAL NOTES

- 1 The design fill height for this box is 3'-0". The precast box culvert sections shall conform to the requirements of ASTM C 1577.
- 2. Drain holes shall be provided on exterior culvert walls for each precast box segment with a clear rise greater than 3 ft. The drain hole shall be located within 1/3 of the clear rise of the box culvert, shall not intercept the haunch, and shall conform to the requirements of Article 503.11 of the Standard Specification.
- 3. The 6 in. thick layer of porous granular material required for the precast concrete box culvert per Art. 540.06 of the Standard Specifications shall also apply to the end sections. Cost of the porous granular material will not be paid for separately but shall be included in the unit price of the work for which it is required.
- 4. Nonwoven geotextile fabric shall conform to the requirements of Art. 1080.01 of the Standard Specifications. The minimum weight of the fabric shall be 6 ounces per square yard.
- 5. Precast concrete box culverts and box culvert end sections shall be backfilled with Porous Granular Embankment below the top of the box culvert extending to a vertical plane 2 ft from the exterior sides of the culvert, 2 ft from the back face of the end sections, and not closer than 2 ft from the face of embankment.

INDEX OF SHEETS

- 1 General Plan and Elevation
- 2 General Notes, Index of Sheets and Total Bill of Materials
- 3 4 Stage Construction Details
- 5 6 Precast Concrete Box Culvert Apron End Section Details
- 7 Existing Structure (For Information Only)

	CHRISTOPHER B. BURKE ENGINEERING, LTD.	USER NAME = prazalan	DESIGNED - AS	REVISED -		GENERAL NOTES, INDEX OF SHEETS AND TOTAL BILL OF MATERIALS	F.A.P RTE	SECTION	COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.
	9575 W. HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 60	DRAWN - PDR	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS		326	105-N-2(15)	MCHENRY	473	366	
ВD	ROSEMONT, ILLINOIS 60018	PLOT SCALE = 2.0000 / in.	CHECKED - MM	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	SIRUCIURE NU. USO-U311		CONTRACT NO. 6			B43
3)	(847) 823-0500	PLOT DATE = 4/11/2018	DATE - \$PLANDATE\$	REVISED -		SCALE: N.T.S. SHEET 2 OF 7 SHEETS STA. TO STA		ILLINOIS FE	ILLINOIS FED. AID PROJECT		

TOTAL BILL OF MATERIAL

ITEM	UNIT	TOTAL
Porous Granular Embankment	Cu. Yd.	240
Stone Riprap, Class A4	Sq. Yd.	95
Filter Fabric	Sq. Yd.	95
Removal of Existing Structures No. 3	Each	1
Structure Excavation	Cu. Yd.	354
Removal and Disposal of Unsuitable Material	Cu. Yd.	77
for Structures		
Name Plates	Each	1
Temporary Sheet Piling	Sq. Ft.	1,376
Box Culvert End Sections, Culvert No. 3	Each	2
Precast Concrete Box Culverts 8' X 6'	Foot	149
Membrane Waterproofing for Buried Structures	Sq. Yd.	195
Dewatering	L. Sum	0.25
Rock Fill	Cu. Yd.	77



MEMBER WATERPROOFING FOR PRECAST CULVERTS



TON DETAIL F.A.P SECTION		COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.
326 105-N-2(15)	1	MCHENRY	473	367
550 0511		CONTRACT	NO. 62	2B43
5 STA. 654+07 TO STA ILLINO	IS FED, A	ID PROJECT	ry Total Sheets RY 473 ACT NO. 6	



CHRISTOPHER B. BURKE ENGINEERING, LTD. 9575 W. HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 600 ROSEMONT, ILLINOIS 60018	USER NAME = prazalan	DESIGNED -	AS	REVISED -			STAGE CONSTRUCTION DETAIL	F.A.P BTE	SECTION	COUNTY TOTAL SHEET	
	9575 W. HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 600		DRAWN -	PDR	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS			326	105-N-2(15)	MCHENRY 473 368
	ROSEMONT, ILLINOIS 60018	PLOT SCALE = 20.0000 ' / in.	CHECKED -	MM	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		SINGCIONE NO. 050-0511	_		CONTRACT NO. 62B43
	(847) 823-0500	PLOT DATE = 4/11/2018	DATE -	\$PLANDATE\$	REVISED -		SCALE: N.T.S.	SHEET 4 OF 7 SHEETS STA. 654+07 TO STA		ILLINOIS FED. AID PROJECT	

~___

~ ~



_					-							
	Span (S)	Rise (R)	Τt	Тb	Ts	A	В	С	D	Е	Concrete Cu. Yd.	Culvert Ties Required
	8'-0"	6'-0''	8"	8"	8"	7'-5"	4'-3''	6'-11½"	9'-10''	24'-2 ¹ / ₄ "	11.0	Yes

Note: Two sets of apron end section dimensions are shown above for some box culvert sizes due to the top and bottom slabs having different thicknesses per ASTM C 1577 for design fill heights less than 2 ft.

ŗ

0

dot/1	SCB-AES	2-17-2017				(Sheet 1 of 2)		
	CHRISTOPHER B. BURKE ENGINEERING, LTD,	USER NAME = prazalan	DESIGNED - AS	REVISED -		PRECAST CONCRETE BOX CULVERT APRON END	F.A.P SECTION	COUNTY TOTAL SHEET SHEETS NO.
	9575 W. HIGGINS ROAD, SUITE 60	0	DRAWN - PDR	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS		326 105-N-2(15)	MCHENRY 473 369
	ROSEMONT, ILLINOIS 60018	PLOT SCALE = 2.0000 / in	CHECKED - MM	REVISED -	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	SECTION DETAILS - STRUCTURE NO. 056-0311		CONTRACT NO. 62B43
	(847) 823-0500	PLOT DATE = 4/11/2018	DATE - \$PLANDATE\$	REVISED -		SCALE: N.T.S. SHEET 5 OF 7 SHEETS STA. 654+07 TO STA	ILLINOIS FED. AID PROJECT	

APRON END SECTION DIMENSIONS



TOEWALL CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

- 4. Drill and epoxy grout reinforcement in toewall in accordance with Section 584 of the Standard Specifications.
- 5. Pressure grout voids using non-shrink grout conforming to Section 1024 of the Standard Specifications.
- * The Contractor may furnish a precast or cast-in-place toewall. The Contractor shall be responsible for the strength and stability of the precast toewall during handling. Additional lifting points may be required depending upon the length of the toewall or the Contractor may need to modify the design of the toewall for the
- ** If soil conditions permit, the sides of the toewall may be poured directly against the soil. The clear cover on the sides of the toewall shall be increased to 3" by increasing the thickness of

culvert tie detail shall be galvanized according to the requirements of AASHTO M 111 or M 232 the walls. Match marks shall be provided on the bolt and nut to verify relative rotation between the bolt and the nut. Holes in the walls for the culvert tie assembly may be drilled using core

of 2)							
ULVERT APRON END	F.A.P RTE	SECT	ION		COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.
CTUDE NO 056 0211	326	105-N-2(15)			MCHENRY	473	370
CIURE NO. 050-0311					CONTRACT	NO. 62	2B43
S STA. 654+07 TO STA			ILLINOIS	FED, AI	D PROJECT		