# Abbreviated Structure Geotechnical Report

(In-House)

# Illinois Route 121 over Jonathan Creek Bridge Widening

Structure Number: 070-0003

Route: FAP 320 (IL 121)

Section: (104BR)BR-1

County: Moultrie

Project Number: D-97-013-09

Prepared For: Derek G. Verhulst Lindsey N. Jones

Report Date: May 1, 2014

Revised Report Date: February 25, 2016

## Table of Contents

Abbreviated Structure Geotechnical Report Form (BBS 132)	1
Pile Length and Capacity Sheets	3
Boring Logs	9
Correspondence: EWSE & Updated Substructure Elevations	22
Existing Structure Pile Driving Records	26
Existing Structure Scour Documents	29



#### **Abbreviated Structure Geotechnical Report**

Original Report Date:	May 1, 2014	Proposed SN:	NA	Route:	FAP 320 (IL 121)
Revised Date: Febru	ary 25, 2016	Existing SN:	070-0003	Section:	(104BR)BR-1
Geotechnical Engineer	: Lindsey N. Jor	nes		County:	Moultrie
Structural Engineer:	Derek G. Verhulst	1		Contract:	74358

Indicate the proposed structure type, substructure types, and foundation locations (attach plan and elevation drawing): The proposed improvements include widening and replacing the bridge deck. The bridge will be widened both to the north and the south of the existing structure. The profile will be unchanged. The existing abutments rest upon 2 rows of concrete piles and the existing piers are supported by 3 rows of untreated timber piles. There is a single row of treated timber piles beneath the existing approach slabs and concrete piles supporting the abutment wingwalls.

Discuss the existing boring data, existing plans foundation information, new subsurface exploration and need for any additional exploration to be provided with SGR Technical Memo (attach all data and subsurface profile plot): Two (2) borings were drilled in 1963 on the south side of the structure. These boring logs have been name 1-1963 (Pier #2 -East Pier) and 2-1963 (Pier #1 - West Pier). Additional boring data was collected in 2012 for each of the abutments. These borings are 2012-1 (West Abutment) and 2012-2 (East Abutment). The information collected in these four (4) borings appears to be sufficient.

Provide the location and maximum height of any new soil fill or magnitude of footing bearing pressure. Estimate the amount and time of the expected settlement. Indicate if further testing, analysis, and/or ground improvement/treatment is necessary: The profile of the structure will not be changed, however due to the structure widening additional material will be added to the abutment embankments. The existing slope surrounding both abutments is 3H:1V. It is anticipated that after widening the same slope will be maintained. Less than 3.5 ft of new embankment fill is expected at either abutment embankment. This amount of material will produce negligible amounts of settlement. If more that 3.5 ft of fill is required for the site, then settlement will need to be re-evaluated.

Identify any new cuts or fill slope angles and heights. Estimate the factor of safety against slope failure. Indicate if further testing, analysis or ground improvement/treatment is necessary: Less than 3.5 ft of new embankment fill is expected at either abutment embankment. This minimal change will not effect the global stability. If more that 3.5 ft of fill is required for the site, then global stability will need to be re-evaluated.

Indicate at each substructure, the 100-year and 500-year total scour depths in the Hydraulics report, the non-granular scour depth reduction, the proposed ground surface, and the recommended foundation design scour elevations: See the next page for the Design Scour Elevations Table. For the abutments, the Q100, the Q500, and the Design scour elevation are to be set at the bottom of abutment elevation since the abutments are protected by a 2H:1V riprap slope. The bottom of abutment elevation for the West Abutment is 622.39 ft and is 622.82 ft for the East Abutment.

There is not a Hydraulic Report available for this structure, however a scour analysis was performed on July 28, 1994. From the 1994 analysis, the Pier 1 scour depths are 35 ft and 37 ft, respectively for the Q100 and Q500 design. The Pier 2 scour depths are 34 ft and 37 ft, respectively for the Q100 and Q500 design. The subsurface profile is made up of predominately granular material, therefore no reduction was made to the scour depths from the 1994 scour analysis. The proposed ground surface at the piers will basically be unchanged, with an elevation of 609.13 ft at Pier 1 and 608.13 ft at Pier 2. The Q100 scour elevations are 574.13 ft for Pier 1 and 574.13 ft for Pier 2. The Q500 scour elevations are 572.13 for Pier 1 and 571.13 ft for Pier 2. If no scour mitigation techniques are implemented, then the existing foundation for the piers is not sufficient. Scour mitigation will have to be implemented (see ABD Memo 14.2). Because this project only entails widening, designed riprap can be considered as an acceptable mitigation, as per Planning. With scour mitigation techniques implemented, the design scour elevations for the pier are set at the bottom of the pier footings. The recommended Design scour elevation is 603.84 ft for Pier 1 and 604.03 ft for Pier 2. As per ABD Memo 14.2, the Check scour elevation is not used for existing structures.

The Item 113 rating for the abutments is 8 and the rating for the piers is 7. Per ABD Memo 14.2, the lowest rating for the individual substructures should be used for the entire structure. The Item 113 rating for the structure is 7.

Determining the seismic soil site class, the seismic performance zone, the 0.2 and 1.0 second design spectral accelerations and indicate if that the soils are liquefiable: The latitude and longitude coordinates for the structure are approximately 39.600796 and -88.546146. The LRFD Seismic Soil Site Class is D and the Design Spectral accelerations for 0.2 and 1.0 seconds are 0.333 g and 0.169 g respectively. The Seismic Performance Zone (SPZ) is 2.

Using LFD code, the Seismic Performance Category (SPC) is A. The Horizontal Bedrock Acceleration Coefficient (A) is 0.05g, and the Site Coefficient (S) is 1.5.

Confirm feasibility of the proposed foundation or wall type and provide design parameters. Attach a pile design table indicating feasible pile types, various nominal required bearings, factored resistances available and corresponding estimated lengths at locations where piles will be used. Provide factored bearing resistance and unit sliding resistance at various elevations and confirm no ground improvement/treatment is necessary where spread footings are proposed. Estimated top of rock elevations as well as preliminary skin friction and end bearing values shall be indicated when drilled shafts are proposed: See attached sheets for pile information. The estimated values are per ASD design and assume scour mitigation will be implemented. Pile shoes do not appear necessary for this soil profile. It is recommended that test piles be driven at 1 abutment and 1 pier (2 total), preferably on the north side of the structure.

Calculate the estimated water surface elevation and determine the need for cofferdam(s) and seal coat: IDOT District 7 Hydraulics Unit calculated an EWSE of 613.65 ft. To widen in-kind or as a pile bent extension four (4) Type 2 Cofferdams with Seal Coats will be necessary.

Assess the need for sheeting/soil retention versus using a temporary construction slope and provide recommendation for the most feasible option: Proposed improvements include work on the backwall of the abutments. After removal of the existing pavement, Temporary Sheet Piling can be used at the east abutment. Temporary Soil Retention System will be required at the west abutment due to an approximately 1 ft thick layer of concrete located 8 ft below ground surface (elevation 622.7 ft). If stage construction sequencing allows for sloped excavation a 1:1 slope appears stable for both abutments.

Event/Limit	Desig	Design Scour Elevations (ft.)					
State	W. Abut.	Pier 1	Pier 2	E. Abut.	113		
Q100	622.39	574.13	574.13	622.82			
Q500	622.39	572.13	571.13	622.82	7		
Design	622.39	603.84	604.03	622.82	,		
Check	NA	NA	NA	NA			

Route: FAP 320 (IL 121)

Section: (104BR)BR-1

County: Moultrie

Existing SN: 070-0003

Contract: 74358

ASD Design

Assumptions used for West Abutment foundation estimate:

Boring Log Referenced	Scour Elevation (ft)	Estimated Service Load (kips)	Pile Embedment Depth (ft)	Pile Cutoff Elevation (ft)	Ground Surface Elev. Against Pile During Driving (ft)
2012-1	NA	110	1.0	623.39	622.39

#### West Abutment

Foundation Type	Ultimate Required Bearing (kips)	Allowable Geotechnical Loss (kips)	Allowable Resistance Available (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft)
	100	0	33	24
NAC 42"/0 470"	150	0	50	34
MS 12" w/0.179"	200	0	67	49
	256	0	85	82
	100	0	33	24
NAC 42!!/O 2E!!	150	0	50	34
MS 12" w/0.25"	250	0	83	82
W/0.23	355	0	118	86
	100	0	33	24
NAC 4 AU /O 25U	225	0	75	36
MS 14" w/0.25"	350	0	117	84
	416	0	139	88
	100	0	33	24
	225	0	75	36
MS 14" w/0.312"	350	0	117	84
	516	0	172	92
	100	0	33	83
LID 40 V 42	150	0	50	93
HP 10 X 42	250	0	83	116
	335	0	112	119
	100	0	33	73
UD 42 V 52	150	0	50	89
HP 12 X 53	250	0	83	114
	419	0	140	119
	100	0	33	73
UD 42 V 62	225	0	75	98
HP 12 X 63	350	0	117	116
	497	0	166	119
	75	0	25	16
Timeles - Dille	100	0	33	20
Timber Pile	125	0	42	23
	153	0	51	24
	100	0	33	20
Due 4 4 11 V 4 4 11	150	0	50	23
Precast 14" X 14"	200	0	67	28
	265	0	88	35

Route: FAP 320 (IL 121) Existing SN: 070-0003 Section: (104BR)BR-1 Contract: 74358 County: Moultrie **ASD** Design

Assumptions used for East Abutment foundation estimate:

Boring Log Referenced	Scour Elevation (ft)	Estimated Service Load (kips)	Pile Embedment Depth (ft)	Pile Cutoff Elevation (ft)	Ground Surface Elev. Against Pile During Driving (ft)
2012-2	NA	110	1.0	623.82	622.82

#### **East Abutment**

Foundation Type	Ultimate Required Bearing (kips)	Allowable Geotechnical Loss (kips)	Allowable Resistance Available (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft)
	100	0	33	15
MS 12" w/0.179"	150	0	50	20
WIS 12 W/U.179	200	0	67	32
	256	0	85	45
	100	0	33	15
MS 12" w/0.25"	150	0	50	20
IVIS 12 W/U.25	250	0	83	43
W13 12 W, 0.23	355	0	118	72
	100	0	33	15
NAC 14"/O 25"	225	0	75	29
MS 14" w/0.25"	350	0	117	50
	416	0	139	72
	100	0	33	15
NAC 4 411 /O 24211	225	0	75	29
MS 14" w/0.312"	350	0	117	50
	516	0	172	78
	100	0	33	63
LID 10 V 12	150	0	50	92
HP 10 X 42	250	0	83	* 119
	335	0	112	* 120
	100	0	33	42
UD 42 V 52	150	0	50	76
HP 12 X 53	250	0	83	* 110
	419	0	140	* 120
	100	0	33	42
UD 12 V C2	225	0	75	102
HP 12 X 63	350	0	117	* 119
	497	0	166	* 120
	75	0	25	17
Time hear Dile	100	0	33	22
Timber Pile	125	0	42	28
	153	0	51	34
	100	0	33	15
Dwo cost 1 4 11 V 4 4 11	150	0	50	17
Precast 14" X 14"	200	0	67	19
	265	0	88	24

<sup>\*</sup> Depth extends below boring exploration. Rock elevation assumed from boring 2012-1 for estimate.

2 of 6 Page 4 of 42 Pile Calculation

County: Moultrie ASD Design

Assumptions used for Pier 1 foundation estimate:

Boring Log Referenced	Scour Elevation (ft)	Estimated Service Load (kips)	Pile Embedment Depth (ft)	Pile Cutoff Elevation (ft)	Ground Surface Elev. Against Pile During Driving (ft)
2-1963	604.31	150	1.0	604.84	603.84

Pier 1 - Expand Existing Footing - With Scour Mitigation

Foundation Type	Ultimate Required Bearing (kips)	Allowable Geotechnical Loss (kips)	Allowable Resistance Available (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft)
	100	0	33	10
MS 12" w/0.179"	150	0	50	17
WIS 12 W/U.179	200	0	67	23
	256	0	85	28
	100	0	33	10
MS 12" w/0.25"	150	0	50	17
W13 12 W/U.23	250	0	83	28
	355	0	118	* 62
	100	0	33	
MS 14" w/0.25"	225	0	75	20
IVIS 14 W/U.25	350	0	117	45
	416	0	139	* 65
	100	0	33	
NAC 4 4!! /O 242!!	225	0	75	20
MS 14" w/0.312"	350	0	117	45
	516	0	172	* 70
	100	0	33	* 65
UD 10 V 13	150	0	50	* 80
HP 10 X 42	250	0	83	* 95
	335	0	112	* 100
	100	0	33	* 62
UD 12 V F2	150	0	50	* 74
HP 12 X 53	250	0	83	* 95
	419	0	140	* 100
	100	0	33	* 62
UD 42 V 62	225	0	75	* 80
HP 12 X 63	350	0	117	* 99
	497	0	166	* 100
	75	0	25	12
Time has a Dille	100	0	33	18
Timber Pile	125	0	42	22
	153	0	51	26
	100	0	33	
D + 4 4 !! >/ 4 4 !!	150	0	50	
Precast 14" X 14"	200	0	67	10
	265	0	88	20

<sup>\*</sup> Depth extends below boring exploration. Rock elevation assumed from boring 2012-1 for estimate.

3 of 6 Page 5 of 42 Pile Calculation

<sup>--</sup> Means pile would be embedded less than 10 ft. Piles should not be embedded less than 10 ft.

County: Moultrie **ASD** Design

Assumptions used for Pier 2 foundation estimate:

Boring Log Referenced	Scour Elevation (ft)	Estimated Service Load (kips)	Pile Embedment Depth (ft)	Pile Cutoff Elevation (ft)	Ground Surface Elev. Against Pile During Driving (ft)
1-1963	604.50	150	1.0	605.03	604.03

Pier 2 - Expand Existing Footing - With Scour Mitigation

Foundation Type	Ultimate Required Bearing (kips)	Allowable Geotechnical Loss (kips)	Allowable Resistance Available (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft)
	100	0	33	11
MS 12" w/0.179"	150	0	50	14
WIS 12 W/0.179	200	0	67	27
	256	0	85	33
	100	0	33	11
MS 12" w/0.25"	150	0	50	14
1VI3 12 W/U.23	250	0	83	32
	355	0	118	* 67
	150	0	50	12
NAC 14"/O 25"	225	0	75	19
MS 14" w/0.25"	350	0	117	36
	416	0	139	* 70
	150	0	50	12
NAC 44"/O 242"	225	0	75	19
MS 14" w/0.312"	350	0	117	36
	516	0	172	* 73
	100	0	33	* 67
UD 40 V 43	150	0	50	* 76
HP 10 X 42	250	0	83	* 97
	335	0	112	* 100
	100	0	33	* 60
UD 42 V 52	150	0	50	* 70
HP 12 X 53	250	0	83	* 97
	419	0	140	* 100
	100	0	33	* 63
UD 42 V 62	225	0	75	* 80
HP 12 X 63	350	0	117	* 98
	497	0	166	* 101
	75	0	25	14
Timber Dil	100	0	33	18
Timber Pile	125	0	42	20
	153	0	51	27
	100	0	33	
D	150	0	50	
Precast 14" X 14"	200	0	67	12
	265	0	88	16

<sup>\*</sup> Depth extends below boring exploration. Rock elevation assumed from boring 2012-1 for estimate.

4 of 6 Page 6 of 42 Pile Calculation

<sup>--</sup> Means pile would be embedded less than 10 ft. Piles should not be embedded less than 10 ft.

County: Moultrie ASD Design

Assumptions used for Pier 1 foundation estimate:

Boring Log Referenced	Scour Elevation (ft)	Estimated Service Load (kips)	Pile Embedment Depth (ft)	Pile Cutoff Elevation (ft)	Ground Surface Elev. Against Pile During Driving (ft)
2-1963	604.31	150	1.0	623.84	603.84

Pier 1 - Pile Bent- With Scour Mitigation

	Ultimate	Allowable	Allowable	Estimated
Foundation Type	Required	Geotechnical	Resistance	Pile Length
7,0	Bearing (kips)	Loss (kips)	Available (kips)	(ft)
	100	0	33	29
	150	0	50	36
MS 12" w/0.179"	200	0	67	42
	256	0	85	47
	100	0	33	29
146 4211 /0 2511	150	0	50	36
MS 12" w/0.25"	250	0	83	47
	355	0	118	* 81
	100	0	33	
NAC 4 All (O 25II	225	0	75	39
MS 14" w/0.25"	350	0	117	64
	416	0	139	* 84
	100	0	33	
NAC 4 AU	225	0	75	39
MS 14" w/0.312"	350	0	117	64
	516	0	172	* 89
	100	0	33	* 84
UD 40 V 42	150	0	50	* 99
HP 10 X 42	250	0	83	* 114
	335	0	112	* 119
	100	0	33	* 81
UD 12 V 52	150	0	50	* 93
HP 12 X 53	250	0	83	* 114
	419	0	140	* 119
	100	0	33	* 81
UD 12 V C2	225	0	75	* 99
HP 12 X 63	350	0	117	* 118
	497	0	166	* 119
	75	0	25	31
Timbor Dila	100	0	33	37
Timber Pile	125	0	42	41
	153	0	51	45
	100	0	33	
Precast 14" X 14"	150	0	50	
riecast 14 X 14	200	0 67		29
	265	0	88	39

<sup>\*</sup> Depth extends below boring exploration. Rock elevation assumed from boring 2012-1 for estimate.

5 of 6 Page 7 of 42 Pile Calculation

<sup>--</sup> Means pile would be embedded less than 10 ft. Piles should not be embedded less than 10 ft.

County: Moultrie **ASD** Design

Assumptions used for Pier 2 foundation estimate:

Boring Log Referenced	Scour Elevation (ft)	Estimated Service Load (kips)	Pile Embedment Depth (ft)	Pile Cutoff Elevation (ft)	Ground Surface Elev. Against Pile During Driving (ft)
1-1963	604.50	150	1.0	624.03	604.03

Pier 2 - Pile Bent - With Scour Mitigation

Foundation Type	Ultimate Required Bearing (kips)	Allowable Geotechnical Loss (kips)	Allowable Resistance Available (kips)	Estimated Pile Length (ft)
	100	0	33	30
MS 12" w/0.179"	150	0	50	33
W/U.1/9	200	0	67	46
	256	0	85	52
	100	0	33	30
MS 12" w/0.25"	150	0	50	33
W/U.25	250	0	83	51
	355	0	118	* 86
	150	0	50	31
NAC 14"/O 25"	225	0	75	38
MS 14" w/0.25"	350	0	117	55
	416	0	139	* 89
	150	0	50	31
NAC 14"/O 212"	225	0	75	38
MS 14" w/0.312"	350	0	117	55
	516	0	172	* 92
	100	0	33	* 86
UD 10 V 12	150	0	50	* 95
HP 10 X 42	250	0	83	* 116
	335	0	112	* 119
	100	0	33	* 79
UD 42 V E2	150	0	50	* 89
HP 12 X 53	250	0	83	* 116
	419	0	140	* 119
	100	0	33	* 82
UD 42 V 62	225	0	75	* 99
HP 12 X 63	350	0	117	* 117
	497	0	166	* 120
	75	0	25	33
Time le con Dille	100	0	33	37
Timber Pile	125	0	42	39
	153	0	51	46
	100	0	33	
D	150	0	50	
Precast 14" X 14"	200	0	67	31
	265	0	88	35

<sup>\*</sup> Depth extends below boring exploration. Rock elevation assumed from boring 2012-1 for estimate.

6 of 6 Page 8 of 42 Pile Calculation

<sup>--</sup> Means pile would be embedded less than 10 ft. Piles should not be embedded less than 10 ft.



To:

Gary Welton

Attn: Mike Allen

From:

Terry Hoekstra

By: David Miller

Subject:

Foundation Boring Logs\*

Date:

April 9, 2012

Route:

FAP 320 (IL 121)

Section:

(104BR)BR-1

County:

Structure No.: 070-0003 Moultrie

74358

Contract: Job No .:

D-97-013-09

Location:

Jonathan Creek, 3 miles East of Sullivan

Attached is one (1) copy of the foundation boring logs, and fence diagram, for the above captioned section.

If you have any questions, or require any additional information, please contact David Miller, District Geotechnical Engineer, at (217) 342-8233.

Terry Hoekstra, P.E.

District Materials Engineer

DKM

Attachments



Page 1 of 2

Date 8/8/63

ROUTE FAP 320 (IL 121) DESCRIPTION					lonathan Creek	LOGGED BY		Bake	er
SECTION104-BR(BR-1) LO	CATIO	ON _	NE co	rner o	f SE 1/4, <b>SEC.</b> 5, <b>TWP.</b> 13 N, <b>RNG.</b> 6	E, 3 PM			
COUNTY Moultrie DRILLING	MET	HOD			HAMMER	TYPE			
STRUCT. NO.         070-0003           Station         138+26.35           BORING NO.         1 - 1963           Station         138+46.9           Offset         34.0ft Rt           Ground Surface Elev.         616.90         ft	DEPTH (ft)	B L O W S	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.  Groundwater Elev.:  First Encounter  Upon Completion  After 24 Hrs. 614.4	ft F F T ft H	B L O W S	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)
Medium, dark brown to black, SANDY CLAY LOAM & CLAY LOAM alluvium.					Medium, gray, stratified fine and coarse, SAND w/ some fine Gravel.		14		
₹ 610.90	-5	8	0.8 B	20		-25	19		
Soft, híghly organic, CLAY LOAM alluvium.		4	0.3 B	27			21		
	-10	2	0.1 B	34			20		
Medium, gray, stratified fine and coarse, SAND w/ some fine Gravel,	-15	4	0,2 B	29			30		
		17					12		

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated) Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)



Page 2 of 2

Division of Highways 8/8/63 Jonathan Creek ROUTE FAP 320 (IL 121) DESCRIPTION LOGGED BY SECTION 104-BR(BR-1) LOCATION NE corner of SE 1/4. SEC. 5, TWP. 13 N, RNG. 6 E, 3 PM COUNTY Moultrie DRILLING METHOD HAMMER TYPE U M STRUCT, NO. 070-0003 Surface Water Elev. E C 138+26.35 L 0 Station Stream Bed Elev. P 0 S 1 T W S BORING NO. 1 - 1963 Groundwater Elev .: S Qu T Station 138+46.9 ☑ First Encounter Offset 34.0ft Rt ▼ Upon Completion 610.9 (ft) /6" (tsf) (%) Ground Surface Elev. 616.90 After 24 Hrs. 614.4 Medium, gray, stratified fine and coarse, SAND w/ some fine Gravel. -55 Limit of boring.

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated)
Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating
The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)



Page 1 of 2

Date 8/12/63

NOUTE FAP 320 (IL 121) DES	SCRIPTION	_	_		J	onathan Creek	LOGO	SED BY	-	Bake	-
SECTION 104-BR(BR-1)	LO	CATIO	ON _	NE co	rner o	SE 1/4, SEC. 5, TWP. 13 N, RNG,	6 E, 3 P	M			
COUNTYMoultrie	DRILLING	MET	HOD	_		HAMME	RTYPE	_			
STRUCT. NO.         070-0003           Station         138+26.35           BORING NO.         2 - 1963           Station         137+98.5           Offset         26.5ft Rt           Ground Surface Elev.         615.	90 ft	D E P T H (ft)	B L O W S	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T		ft ft 8_ft	D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)
Soft, dark brown to black, SILTY CLAY LOAM alluvium.	V					Medium, gray, fine, SAND w/ som medium, gray, Gravel.	e	-25			
Very soft, black, highly organic, SANDY CLAY LOAM alluvium.	611.40	-5	3	0.1 E	30			-25	18		
Stiff to very stiff, brown, CLAY LOAM TILL.	605.90	-10	8	1.2 B	24			-30	16		
			12	3.1 B	12						
Medium, gray, fine, SAND w/ som medium, gray, Gravel.	601.90 ee	-15	8					-35	20		
	17.00		10					=	26		

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated) Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)



Page 2 of 2

Date 8/12/63

ROUTE FAP 3	320 (IL 12	1) DESCR	PTION	_	_		- 0	Jonathan Creek	LOGG	ED BY	_	Вак	er
SECTION	104-BR	(BR-1)	LO	CATIO	ON _	NE co	rner o	f SE 1/4, SEC. 5, TWP, 13 N, RNG	6 E, 3 P	M			
COUNTY	Moultrie	DR	ILLING	MET	HOD	_		HAMM	ER TYPE				
STRUCT. NO. Station  BORING NO. Station Offset Ground Surface Medium, gray, fi medium, gray, C	070 138 2 - 137 26. se Elev.	0-0003 +26.35 1963 (+98.5 5ft Rt 615.90		D E P T H (fft)	HOD B L O W S /6"	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.  Groundwater Elev.:  First Encounter  Upon Completion  After Hrs. Cav  Medium, gray, fine, SAND w/ so medium, gray, Gravel.	ft ft ft sed ft	D E P T H (ft)	B L O W S /6"	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T T (%)
				-55	23								

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated) Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)



Page 1 of 4

Date 3/29/12

ROUTE FAP 320 (IL 121) DESC	RIPTION	_				Jonathan Creek	LOGGE	D BY	E.	Sands	chafe
SECTION 104-BR(BR-1)	LO	CATI	ION _	NE co	rner o	of SE 1/4, SEC. 5, TWP, 13 N, RNG. 6	E, 3 PM				
COUNTY Moultrie D	RILLING	ME	THOD	Ho	llow s	tem auger & split spoon HAMMER	TYPE	-	Auto	140#	
STRUCT. NO.         070-0003           Station         138+26.35           BORING NO.         2012 - 1 (SW)           Station         136+15           Offset         6.0ft Rt           Ground Surface Elev.         630.70		DEPTH (ft)	B L O W S	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.  Groundwater Elev.:  ▼First Encounter  ▼Upon Completion ▼After Hrs.  608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59 608.59	_ft _ft	D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T
1.5" asphalt on 12" concrete pavement.	629.60	5				Very soft to medium, very damp, gray, SANDY LOAM w/ many organics. (continued)		4	1 2	0.6 B	19
Stiff, damp, gray, CLAYTILL, embankment.			2			Very soft, damp, gray, SILTY	608.70	2	2		
			3	2.6 B	15	LOAM. Fine grained, SAND w/ gravel. 4% passing #200 sieve.			3	0.1 B	21
		-5	2	1.4	17	Very soft, wet, gray, SANDY LOAM.	606,20	-25	1	0.1	20
			2	В					2	S	
Medium, damp, gray, SILTY CLAY, embankment.	622.70	-	2	8.0	20	Very stiff, damp, gray, CLAY LOAM TILL.	603.70	1	3	3.1	11
Estimated Concrete, old pavement. Augered through to next sample. Stiff, damp, gray, SILTY CLAY, $\nabla$	621.70	2	0"/50/	_B_/					5	В	
embankment.		-10	3	1.7	13.	Gray, fine grained, SAND, 13%	600.70	-30	2	0.3	14
			4	В		passing #200 sieve.	-		8	В	Ä
Loose, very damp, dark gray, SANDY LOAM w/ many organics.	618.70		2 3 3		14						
Loose, damp, gray, fine grained,	616.20		4			Medium, wet, gray, SAND w/ many	596.20		à		
SAND, fluffy consistency. 5% passing #200 sieve.		-15	3		12	1/2" gravel. 1% passing #200 sieve.		-35	2 9		13
/ery soft to medium, very damp, gray, SANDY LOAM w/ many	613.70		1	0.2	27			=			
organics.	-		2	В			-	-			
		-20	0				590.70	-40	4	!	

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated) Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev, 8-99)



Page 2 of 4

3/29/12

ROUTE FAP 320 (IL 121) DESCRIPTION Jonathan Creek LOGGED BY E. Sandschafer 104-BR(BR-1) LOCATION NE corner of SE 1/4, SEC. 5, TWP. 13 N. RNG. 6 E, 3 PM SECTION Moultrie DRILLING METHOD COUNTY Hollow stem auger & split spoon HAMMER TYPE Auto 140# U STRUCT. NO. 070-0003 M B U M Surface Water Elev. 608.59 C E L 0 E C L 0 Station 138+26.35 Stream Bed Elev. 608.59 ft P 0 S 1 P 0 S 1 T W S W T S BORING NO. \_\_\_\_\_2012 ~ 1 (SW) Groundwater Elev.: S H Qu T H S T 136+15 Qu ∇ First Encounter 621.2 ft Offset ▼ Upon Completion 6.0ft Rt Caved at 22' (ft) /6" (%) /6" Ground Surface Elev. (tsf) (ft) 630.70 After (tsf) (%) Hrs. Backfilled Medium, wet, gray SAND w/ many 5 Medium, wet, gray, SAND w/ many 13 18 1/2" gravel. 1% passing #200 1/2" gravel. 1% passing #200 5 8 sieve. 5 6 14 2% passing #200 sieve. 10 3 3 2% passing #200 sieve. 17 2% passing #200 sieve 12 4 3 5 3 1% passing #200 sieve.

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated) Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)

12/04/09



3 of 4 Page

Date 3/29/12

ROUTE FAP 320 (IL 121) DESCRIPTION Jonathan Creek LOGGED BY E. Sandschafer 104-BR(BR-1) LOCATION NE corner of SE 1/4, SEC. 5, TWP. 13 N, RNG. 6 E, 3 PM DRILLING METHOD Moultrie Hollow stem auger & split spoon HAMMER TYPE Auto 140# В U M В U STRUCT, NO. 070-0003 M Surface Water Elev. 608.59 ft E L C 0 E L C 0 Station 138+26.35 Stream Bed Elev. 608.59 ft P 0 S 1 P 0 S 1 T W S T W S BORING NO. 2012 - 1 (SW) Groundwater Elev .: H S Qu T H S Qu T 136+15 ▽ First Encounter 621.2 ft Offset **▼ Upon Completion** Caved at 22' ft 6.0ft Rt /6" (tsf) /6" (ft) (%) (ft) (%) (tsf) Ground Surface Elev. 630.70 ▼ After Hrs. Backfilled ft 6 2.0 33 17 15 550.10 5 PP Wet, gray, coarse grained, SAND 33 Gray, SILTY LOAM. w/ 1/4" gravel. 7% passing #200 sieve. 541.20 Very soft, damp, gray, SANDY 2 Hard, damp, gray, CLAY LOAM 18 -90 LOAM. TILL. 0.1 21 27 8.5 15 3 S 38 BS Stiff, damp, bluish gray, LOAM. Very dense.

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated) Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)

5

12/04/09

4



Page 4 of 4

Date \_\_3/29/12

ROUTE FAP 320 (IL 121) DESCRIPTION	_				onathan Creek LOGGED BY	E. Sandschafer
SECTION104-BR(BR-1) LO	CATIO	ON_	NE co	rner o	f SE 1/4, SEC. 5, TWP. 13 N. RNG. 6 E, 3 PM	
COUNTY Moultrie DRILLING	MET	HOD	Ho	ollow s	tem auger & split spoon HAMMER TYPE	Auto 140#
STRUCT. NO.         070-0003           Station         138+26,35           BORING NO.         2012 - 1 (SW)           Station         136+15           Offset         6.0ft Rt           Ground Surface Elev.         630.70         ft           Stiff, damp, bluish gray, LOAM.         510.20           Very damp, SANDY LOAM.         510.20	D E P T H (ft)	B L O W S /6"	U c s Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.  Groundwater Elev.:  ☐ First Encounter ☐ Upon Completion ☐ After Hrs.  Surface Water Elev.:  ☐ 608.59 ft  ☐ 608.59 ft  ☐ 621.2 ft ☐ Caved at 22' ft ☐ Backfilled ft	
		2"/50 1"/50 0"/50		16		

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated) Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)

-140



Page 1 of 3

3/30/12

ROUTE FAP 320 (IL 121) DESCRIPTION Jonathan Creek LOGGED BY E. Sandschafer SECTION 104-BR(BR-1) LOCATION NE corner of SE 1/4, SEC. 5, TWP, 13 N, RNG, 6 E, 3 PM Moultrie DRILLING METHOD Hollow stem auger & split spoon HAMMER TYPE Auto 140# COUNTY U B U M STRUCT. NO. \_\_\_\_ 070-0003 Surface Water Elev. 608.59 ft E E C C L 0 138+26.35 L 0 Stream Bed Elev. 608.59 ft Station P P 0 S 1 0 S 1 W W T T S S BORING NO. 2012 - 2 (NE) Groundwater Elev.: S T S T Qu н Qu 139+74 ▽ First Encounter 614.2 Station ▼ Upon Completion Offset 10.0ft Lt 613.7 ft (ft) /6" (%) (ft) /6" (tsf) (%) Backfilled ft (tsf) Ground Surface Elev. 631.24 ▼ After Hrs. Very soft to medium, wet, dark gray, SANDY LOAM. With 1/2" 1.5" asphalt on 11" concrete 0.1 pavement. 2 B 630.24 Sandstone fragments. Medium to stiff, damp, gray, CLAY LOAM TILL, embankment. 2 0 3 1.5 18 5 0.1 11 With 3/4" topsize Gravel. 3 5 В B 606.74 Medium, wet, gray, fine grained. 5 0 SAND. 14% passing #200 sieve. 13 0.7 20 15 2 BS 12 0 6 With 3/4" topsize Gravel. 5% 23 0 0.8 8 16 passing #200 sieve. 9 With 3/4" topsize Gravel, 3% 20 13 1.0 8 passing #200 sieve. B 9 3 2 16 1.3 2 В With 3/4" topsize Gravel. 2% 2.1 19 2 6 15 passing #200 sieve. 9 3 B 1 22 2 1.5 613.04 2 B Dark gray, SANDY LOAM w/ organics. With 3/4" topsize Gravel. 2%

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated) Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)

1

611.24

passing #200 sieve.



Page 2 of 3

3/30/12 Date

Jonathan Creek ROUTE FAP 320 (IL 121) DESCRIPTION LOGGED BY E. Sandschafer SECTION 104-BR(BR-1) LOCATION NE corner of SE 1/4, SEC. 5, TWP. 13 N, RNG. 6 E, 3 PM Moultrie DRILLING METHOD Hollow stem auger & split spoon HAMMER TYPE Auto 140# STRUCT. NO. 070-0003 U M B U. M Surface Water Elev. 608.59 ft E C 0 L Station 138+26.35 E L C 0 Stream Bed Elev. 608.59 ft P 0 S 1 P 0 S T T W S BORING NO. \_\_\_\_\_\_ 2012 - 2 (NE) T W S Groundwater Elev .: 139+74 H S Qu T H S Qu T ▽ First Encounter 614.2 ft Offset 10.0ft Lt Upon Completion 613.7 ft (ft) /6" (%) Ground Surface Elev. (tsf) ▼ After (ft) /6" (tsf) (%) Hrs. Backfilled Medium, wet, gray, fine grained, Medium, wet, gray, fine grained, SAND. With 3/4" topsize Gravel, 15 9 15 10 9 2% passing #200 sieve. 5 1% passing #200 sieve. 20 8 With trace 3/8" topsize Gravel. 20 With 1 1/4" topsize Gravel. 2% 6 14 1% passing #200 sieve. passing #200 sieve. 9 7

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated) Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)

12/04/09

5

551.24



Page 3 of 3

Date 3/30/12

ROUTE FAP 320 (IL 121) DESCRIPTION	٧			, L	onathan Creek LO	GGED BY	E.	Sands	chaf
SECTION 104-BR(BR-1) LO	CATIO	ON _	NE co	rner o	f SE 1/4. SEC. 5, TWP. 13 N, RNG. 6 E,	3 PM			
COUNTYMoultrieDRILLING	3 MET	HOD	Ho	llow s	tem auger & split spoon HAMMER TY	PE	Auto	140#	
STRUCT. NO. <u>070-0003</u> Station <u>138+26.35</u> BORING NO. <u>2012 - 2 (NE)</u> Station <u>139+74</u> Offset <u>10.0ft Lt</u> Ground Surface Elev. <u>631.24</u> ft  Medium, wet, gray, medium grained, SAND, w/ 3/8" topsize Gravel. 1% passing #200 sieve.	D E P T H (ft)	B L O W S /6" 6 10	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev. 608.59 froundwater Elev.:  First Encounter 614.2 froundwater Elev.:  First Encounter 613.7 froundwater Elev.:  After Hrs. Backfilled froundwater Elev.:  After Sackfilled froundwater Elev.:  After Hrs. Backfilled froundwater Elev.:  Medium, wet, gray, medium grained, Estimated SAND, no return in sampler.	t E	B L O W S /6" 9 13	U C S Qu (tsf)	(%)
	-90				52	1.74			
	-90	5 13 15		10.	Hard, damp, gray, CLAY LOAM TILL	-110	14 21 23	6.3 B	
	-95				Extent of exploration.  Benchmark: BM 225 - RR Spike in PP in SW quadrant of existing structure, Sta 136+67, 26.2' S of IL 121 CL = 630.51' elevation.  Provided by Program Development.	0.24			

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer, E-Estimated) Abbreviations W.O.H - Sampler Advanced By Weight of Hammer, W.O.P - Advanced by Weight of Pipe, B.S. - Before Seating The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)

#### NOT TO HORIZONTAL SCALE

#### VARIATIONS IN SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS MAY EXIST Illinois Department of Transportation BETWEEN BORINGS

First Encounter
Completion
after (refer to log) hours

WOH - Sampler Advanced by Welg of Hammer, WOP - Weight of Pipe B.S. - Before Seating

#### SUBSURFACE DATA PROFILE

Route: FAP 320 (IL 121)

Section: 104-BR(BR-1)

County: Moultrie

Division of Highways

#### FW: request for information

Siudyla, Alexander S

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 08, 2014 3:02 PM

**To:** Jones, Lindsey N. **Attachments:** EWSE.xlsx (19 KB)

Lindsey: Here is some information on that inhouse bridge project. Do you need any other hydraulic information to complete the geotechnical report. If so I can try to get it from D7

From: Allen, Michael

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 08, 2014 9:16 AM

**To:** Verhulst, Derek G **Cc:** Siudyla, Alexander S

Subject: RE: request for information

Derek,

As requested, I've attached the estimated water surface elevation.

#### Mike

From: Verhulst, Derek G

Sent: Thursday, February 20, 2014 2:38 PM

**To:** Allen, Michael **Cc:** Siudyla, Alexander S

**Subject:** request for information

IL 121 over Jonathan Creek SN 070-0003 Moultrie Co.

Mike Allen,

I am in the process of preparing a TSL for the above referenced project. The proposed letting date is 11/17/2017. The proposed scope of work includes a new concrete deck built on existing steel beams with structure widening on both sides which involves sub-structure widening to accommodate the wider superstructure. In order to provide a complete TSL, we will need the following information:

- (1) Design High Water Elevation (to calc vertical clearance)
- (2) Streambed elevation (to determine bottom of footing elevations)
- (3) Estimated Water Surface Elevation at the time of construction (to determine if cofferdams are needed)
- (4) Design Scour Elevations (to verify capacity of piles)
- (5) Velocity of water during flood (to verify size of riprap)
- (6) Suggested riprap layout

Based on the above list of requested information, we were going to ask for a hydraulic study. However, as I was typing up this email, Lindsey from our foundations unit gave me some preliminary soils information. I just learned that she has been in contact with you and she shared with me the 1994 scour calcs from your office that show over 30 feet of anticipated

scour. I also know the district installed class A4 riprap in 2000 as a scour counter-measure.

In addition to the 6 items above, I was wondering what you thought about building a new deck on piers that are supported on 20 foot long timber piles? According to the scour calcs, the theoretical scour is well below the pile tips. How confident are you that the class A4 riprap will eliminate all scour? I am discussing this issue with several people in our office and wanted to get your thoughts and input. We can discuss by phone if more convenient.

Thanks for your help and let me know if you have any questions.

#### **DEREK G. VERHULST, PE, SE**

PLANNING SECTION
BUREAU OF BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES
ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
(217) 785-2918
Trying to fill the gap.

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email transmission (and /or the documents accompanying such) may contain legally privileged/confidential information. Such information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity above. If you are not the named or intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of such information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone to arrange for the secure return of the document.

#### SN 070-0003 ESTIMATED WATER SURFACE ELEVATION

Flowline Elevation of Channel 608.80
Please enter top of bank elevation 616.32
Enter number of month of survey 3
Enter water surface elevation taken during survey 612.9

April High 1 613.65 Sept. Low 609.90 Highest of flowline or Sept. Low 609.90 75% Bank - Sept Low 2 614.72

Estimated Water Surface Elev: 613.65

Cross Secion

RE: SGR 070-0003 - Jones, Lindsey N.

RE: SGR 070-0003

#### Verhulst, Derek G

Tue 12/9/2014 3:19 PM

To:Jones, Lindsey N. <Lindsey.Jones@illinois.gov>;

#### Lindsey,

As promised, I am sending you the "adjusted" bottom of abutment and pier elevations for the design scour elevation table. The adjusted elevations are the elevations given in the existing plans minus 0.47 feet which is the average difference between plan elevation and survey elevation provided by the district. Someone else is working on the design for the scour mitigation system.

West abut = 622.39 Pier 1 = 603.84 Pier 2 = 604.03 East abut = 622.82

Just to make sure we are on the same page, I believe you were going to revise the following items in the ABCR:

- Adjust design scour elevation table based on above
- Provide LFD seismic data (including seismic category)
- Provide info about temp soil retention system (previous emails say it is feasible)

Once again, thanks for your help. As you probably remember, this job is not hot so there is not a huge rush – as long as the ball is moving. If you have any questions or need anything else from me, please let me know. (Hopefully, we can finish this job before either one of us retires.)

#### Derek G. Verhulst, PE, SE

(217) 785-2918

# STRUCTURE INSPECTION RECORD DISTRICT #5 CODE NO.0003

COUNTY	ROUTE	SECTION		PROJECT	STATION	M.T.	s. s	.S
Maultmia	SBI 132	1014 BR			138+26.2	51 <b>2</b>	•	21 <b>君</b>
Moultrie	121	TO4 BK			130720.2	-7 #	2	
TYPE OF S	TRUCTURE			LOCATION	& STREAM	YEAR	BUILT	(BY <b>)</b>
			Ill.	121 over	Jonathan Cr	reek		
W.F. Beam	Deck Girde	er	East	of Sulli	van	19	968	
i)								
SPANS				SKEW		CLEA	RANCE	
				0	HOR	z. 3	32'6"	
<u>3 @ 66'3"</u>	; 84 <b>'</b> 5"; 66	5 <b>'</b> 3"		35°	VER	т.		
REMARKS:						R€	eel #5-	52
TOTAL W	T. OF STRU	CTURAL	STE	EL: 110	.725			
223'-0	"long							

		CAMBELOG ESTERNISH SECTION OF A CONTROL	NG DIAGR	CONCORDANGED THE RESIDENCE AND		5.8.7 132
& Barring	68	#W05476022300000.3243019/55		66	ở ở	SEC. 104 BR MOULTRIE COUNTY
	9.9	0-0-	010-	RIAD WAY	0-0	C-95-1/2-66 UNTREATED TIM. PILING
-2-	0 0					11 1
L	2/8 220	138 248	268 268	278 268	200 518	SOCON HAMMER 3FT DROP.
PILE #	- WEVEN	CUT- DEF	LN DAVEN	ERG-TONS	5	
18		0.0	30.0	23	140 PARKETTA PRODUCTION TO SERVICE	
28	PREEDS 2577 上"YE SEEDS NORTH	0.0	30.0	4 4		
3 B	AURI REPUBLICA DE EUROPEARANTEMENT	0.0	30.0	33		
48	GROUNDS-1-1-2-2020/09/19/20	0.0	30.0	39		
5 B	COLD MICHAEL STORY	140	30.0	35		
6 B	CONTROL OF MEMORY OF THE REAL	0.0	30.0	35		
8 B	Contract Con	0.0	30.0	30		
98		0.0	300	28		
10 B	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	0.0	30.0	47		
11	30.0	0.0	30.0	34		
12	30.0	10.4	19.6	32		
13	30.0	0.0	30.0	35		
14	30.0	0.0	50.0	31		
15	30.0	0.0	30.0	31	10.00	
16	30.0	0.0	30.0	34	经出地	
17	30.0	0.0		46		
18	30.0	. 0.0	30.0	31		
19	TEST		30.0	a lock of the		
20	30.0	0.0	30.0	36	Harris Co.	
21 B	30.0	0.0	30.0	36		***************************************
22 B	30.0	0.0	30.0	33		199
238	30.0	0.0	30.0	29		
24 B	30.0	0.0	30.0	26	- T	THE PARTY OF THE P
26 B	30.0	0.0	30.0	33		DATE: DRIVEN.
278	30.0	4.0	26.0	31	1	9/12-13/67
28 B	30.0	0.0	30.0	43	Chi.	111-1010
298	30.0	0.0	30.0	36		
30 B	30.0	0.0	30.0	37	100	
. 25 B	30.0	0.0	30.0	35		
TOTALS	870.0		the contract of the contract o	21 REQ'D	3	
A STATE OF A STATE	4年代。2015年代	900 1000	800阿阿斯岛和	PHONON PROPERTY.	A CONSTRUCTION	<b>建筑地位于1997年</b>

	7	HING DIA	SRAM F	4 2 57	138+48	
	5	Spiritual State of Line	6 8	ão c	DESCRIPTION OF STREET	10.8 O
· _					ر د	2 Garaina
	. 7	12 13	14 15	% %	18 19	20 N
Hotors and		-0-0-	0-0	0 0-	0 0	BEST CONTROL TO SELECT TO
	2/8	O -O	243 258	248 278	288 218	300
1/20	PILE #					SET 192
	THE	Tes Mensel	Cut-035	the Arren	By-Tons	500 10988
	18	(51.)	3.7	2.1	• )	Moultne County
	28	1711	0.0	30.0	33	0-95- 112-66
	38		1.6	25.4	30 29	Vulan 1-58
	48		0.0	30.0	35	5000 " HAMMER
	58		9.9	25.6	33 .	3' Drop
	48		2.6	27.4	38	
	78		0.0	30.0	30	DATE DEEVEN
	88		14.2'	15.8	27	10/26-27/67
	98		15.6	15.0	36	La La Conscionada
	11	1	8.5	21.5	35°	
	12		6.8	23,2	30	
	13		4.7	29.9	33	
	14		0.0	30.0	26	
	15		6.3	23.7	30	
	16		0.0	30.0	28	
	17		0.0	30.0	26	
	18		11.1	18.9	36	
CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	19	Y	15.2	14.8	26	
200 C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	20		18.6	11.4	35	
MRZUSE 200 LF	218		5.8	24.2	42	
	225	a beau	6.9	23.1	37	
SHELLOSE COLL HISTORY	238		4.7	25.3	30	
**************************************	248		0.0	30.0	38	
BMCB/SHIP CALLS AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF	258		0.0	30.0	37	
\$28 BEST \$15 SERVED SER	268		0.0	30.0	25	
ENGLY THE RESERVE	278	++	_0.0	30.0	29	
	98		8.6	21.4	26	
AND STREET, ST	00		1.8	20.2	24	
101	the same of the	900.0	173.1	13.7	ZITIN'S RE	10.0425 Marie 10.000 Marie 10.0

	S.N. 070-0003 SEC ILL. RTE. 121 OVE FILI	
* * @ SK *	50YR 100YR 500YR 4640 5240 6660 0.0004 0.0004 0.000	
* XS D8380 *\$ GR GR	620 10 % DOWNSTREAM 380 FT. (X,Y	-38.5,618.3 -29.6,617.7
GR GR W	-18.6,608.3 0.0,609.2 100,615.9 200,615.9 500,616.4 553,615.6	15.7,609.9 23.2,616.8 300,615.5 400,616.2 575,616.3 600,631.3
SA X	0.080 0.055 0.080 -29.4 23.2	
X\$ D\$280	720 30 DDWNSTREAM 280 FT RE	STATED 30 DEG.
GR GR GR GR GR	-107,630.6 -100,629.7 -9,609.9 0.0,607.4 100,616.6 200,615.1 315,616.1 400,616.2 627,612.9 648,615.1	-52,616.7 -26,616.0 19,609.0 38,616.8 300,616.0 305,616.3 500,615.3 600,614.9 666,627.8 675,630.0
N SA X	0.080 0.055 0.080 5-26 38	
XS EXIT	820 O.O DOWNSTREAM 180	gen opt
66 66 68	-136,630.5 -130,630.0 -13.4,610.4 0.0,608.8 34.7,617.3 100,617.0	-88,615.9 -26.1,617.1 16.6,610 29.6,615.3 . 200,616.0 300,615.1
OR * N SA	357,618.2 400,828,4 0.080 0.055 0.080 7 -28.1 34.7	405,630.0 
* XS FULLV *	1000 FULL VALLEY AT BRIDGE:	FROPAGATED FROM EXIT
* BR BRIDG *	SRD LSEL SKEW 1000 627.5 35 EXISTING BRIDGE	- LOOKING UPSTREAM
GR GR GR	0.0,627.5 0.0,626.4 14,624.5 49,617.1 25,616.9 82,616.1	3,626.4 3,625.1 65.5,616.8 67.5,616.2 89.5,611.6 106,610.5
OK GR OR	148,612.9 150,612.9 156,616.5 175,616.8 219,627.9 0.0,622.5	151,616.1 152,616.5 218.5,626.4 219,626.8
* 1	RIPRAF CHANNEL RIPRAF 0.050 0.055 0.050 49 175	
<b>PW</b>	PIER DATA: ELEV., SUMM. 616.5.5.2 627.7,4 BRTYPE BRWDTH EMBSS	EMBELV
XR RUAD	SRD EMBWID IPAVE	WSERCF SKEW
en e	200 PROFILE -	ILL. RTE. 121 Page 29 of 42

M	0.040	is the liver by topic and their best less	have two than ago have training to be	
PP	XREFLT 110			
AS APPE	(O 1224	e from Period affect force from which became after green palary limits affect which are proper active	alva likak hiji a ilak hijaw dhini wadi wali niny ahin inali wani ilak iwa unan un	en end van visi inne die helverbereit en voor dat jeld
*		STREAM 180 FT.		
GR	-1000,632.2	-930,618.8	-900,617.3	-800.616.0
CR	-200,616.0	-600,616.2	-500,616.5	-400,616.5
GR	-300,616.6	-200,616.4	-100,616.6	-26.4,616.9
GK	-22.1,611.4	0.0,611.4	19.8,612.9	31.5,616.6
GR	100,816.2	200,615.6	4297, å15.8	330,618.0
GR	358,42748	382,636.2		
***				
Physical Control	0.070 0.	055 🚋 🦿 0.070 👚		
SAt	- BO . O .	80.0		
*	XREFLE			
BF				
*	The time time time tody again propagation with their land and time again because in	dent sook aans news pers direct part solls while bally being solls to be will family.	The state of the second section of the second secon	* (*)** *kk* there were repr later repr. 5000 3154 5023 5525 5625
X8 USZ8	0 1324			
*		STREAM 280 FT.		
OR .	785, 334.5	-922,621.0	-900,61925	-800,617.3
GR	-700,616.8	~600,616.7	500,617.0	-400,616.9
64	-300,617.2	-150,616.5	-25,614.5	12.7,612.2
GR.	0.0,611.4	18.9,611.5	25.8.617.3	100,616.7
GR	200,615.5	300,615.6	400,616.0	413,616.5
	465,632.7			
*				
N		055 0.070		
SA	Control of the second			
- X	MANNE ATTEN THAT DEAD CARE WHEN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	ere eren eren eren eren eine eine eren vill hebr debe geler eren eren eren eren eren e	est and and diese man seek and their seek over the trace of the trace of the seek of the s	ords draftable established stabilished by the ones and ages save
HP 1 BRID		621.8		
HR 2 BRID	6 621.8	621.8	5240	
	See the see that the see that the			
HE I BEID		622.74		
MP 2 BRID	G 822.74	0 622.74	66.60	
ELEPTO A WATERWAY	georgia (1974) and a second area of the second area of the second area of the second area of the second area of			
FIR I AFER		623.06		
HF 1 APPR	624.23	0 624.23		
	ander nived delibe native name differe never recompanies asses years freeze parte construction name and a	the depth dille defen noon at in some pare becomes to be some some some some some some some som	die noom hoord ameer teetheelijkse varra varra enha lanne e rana isaali ee ee eegig eelijke eeder.	eers coup sade neve once ager over asia eres appa sade, sees

,WSPRO PO60188 FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION - U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY MODEL FOR WATER-SURFACE FROFILE COMPUTATIONS

S.N. 070-0003 SECTION 104 BR STA. 138+26.29 ILL. RTE. 121 OVER JONATHAN CREEK FILE: \$7003A.DAT BY: MLK

\*\*\* RUN DATE & TIME: 07-28-94 08:34

XSID:CODE SRDL LEW AREA VHD HF EGL CRWS Q WSEL SRD FLEN REW K ALFH HO ERR FR# VEL

D9380:XS \*\*\*\*\*\* -48. 3492. .04 \*\*\*\*\* 621.32 617.36 4640. 621.28 620. \*\*\*\*\*\* 583. 231844. 1.50 \*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*\*\* .12 1.33

D9280:XS 100. -69. 3752. .03 .04 621.36 \*\*\*\*\*\*\* 4640. 621.32 720. 100. 657. 256928. 1.45 .00 .00 .11 1.24

===135 COMVEYANCE RATIO OUTSIDE OF RECOMMENDED LIMITS. "EXIT " KRATIO = .68

FULLV:FV 180. -105. 2622. .08 .12 621.56 \*\*\*\*\*\* 4640. 621.48 1000. 180. 371. 179850. 1.58 .00 .00 .17 1.77 <<<<THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOW>>>>

===135 CONVEYANCE RATIO OUTSIDE OF RECOMMENDED LIMITS. "APPRO" KRATIO = 2.57

APPRO:AS 224. -945. 6855. .01 .06 621.62 \*\*\*\*\*\* 4640. 621.61 1224. 224. 340. 461828. 1.14 .00 .00 .06 .68 <<<<THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOW>>>>

KKKKKRESULTS REFLECTING THE CONSTRICTED FLOW FOLLOWS >>>>

XSID:CODE SRDL LEW AREA VHD HF EGL CRWS Q WSEL SRD FLEN REW K ALPH HO ERR FR# VEL

BRIDG:BR 180. 29. 832. .78 .31 622.16 618.05 4640. 621.38 1000. 180. 196. 79102. 1.62 .42 .00 .51 5.58

TYPE PPCD FLOW 6 F/A LSEL BLEM XLAB XRAB 3. 0. 1. .787 .029 627.50 \*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\*

XSID:CODE SRD FLEN HF VHD EGL ERR Q WSEL-ROAD:RG 1018: <<<<<EMBANKMENT IS NOT OVERTOFPED>>>>>

XSID:CODE SRDL LEW AREA VHD HF EGL CRWS O WSEL SRD FLEN REW K ALPH HO ERR FR# VEL

APPRO:AS 180, -950. 8060. .01 .14 622.55 617.33 4640. 622.54 1229. 282. 343. 599176. 1.11 .25 .00 .04 .58

M(G) M(K) KO XLKO XRKO OTEL .869 .881 71540. -307. -140. 622.53

TOTAL TEND OF BRIDGE COMPUTATIONS 2002

XSID:CODE SRDL LEW AREA VED HE EGL GRWS G WELL SRD FLEN REW K ALPH HO ERR FRM Page 31 of 42

```
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION - U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
             MODEL FOR WATER-SURFACE PROFILE COMPUTATIONS
P060188
                S.N. 070-0003 SECTION 104 BR STA. 138+26.29
                ILL. RTE. 121 OVER JONATHAN CREEK
                                FILE: 97003A.DAT BY: MLK
          *** RUN DATE & TIME: 07-28-94 08:34
                   LEW AREA VHD HF EGL CRWS Q WSEL
REW K ALPH HO ERR FR# VEL
 XSID:CODE SRDL
      SRD FLEN
DS380:XS ****** -50. 3783. .04 ***** 621.79 617.50 5240. 621.75 620. ****** 584. 261854. 1.45 ***** ******* .12 1.39
D$280:X8 100. -71. 4046. .04 .04 621.83 ****** 5240. 720. 100. 657. 288602. 1.41 .00 .00 %.11 1.30
 *==135 CONVEYANCE RATIO OUTSIDE OF RECOMMENDED LIMITS. ***
                        "EXIT" KRATIO = .48, 2%
EXIT :XS 100. -106. 2781. .09 .05 621.90 ****** 5240. 621.81
           100. 372. 196173. 1.55 .02 .00 .17
                                                            1.88
FULLV:FV 180. -106. 2844. .08 .12 622.03 ******* 5240.
1000. 180. 373. 202763. 1.53 .00 .00 .17 1.84
     <>><THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOW>>>>
===135 CONVEYANCE RATIO OUTSIDE OF RECOMMENDED LIMITS.
                        "APPRO" KRATIO = 2.81
AFFRO:AS 224. -947. 7458. .OI .O6 622.08 ****** 5240. 622.08
1224. 224. 342. 528845. 1.12 .OO .OO .O5 .70
        <<<<THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "MORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOW>>>>
        <>>> FLOW FOLLOW>>>>
 XSID:CODE SRDL LEW AREA VHD HF EGL CRWS 0
SRD FLEN REW K ALPH HO ERR FRW VEL
                                                                   WSEL.
BRING:BR 180.
                  27. 890. .88 .30 622.68 618.42 5240. (621.80
  1000. 180. 198. 67442. 1.64 .48 .00 .53 5.89
                                 LSEL BLEN XLAB XRAB
    TYPE PPCD FLOW C FZA
    3. 0. 1. .781 .029 627.50 ***** ***** ****
                                                                        100
             SRD FLEN THE WHO EGE ERR G WSEL
  -XSID:CODE
                                                                        STORM
 ROAD :RG 1018. <<<<EMBANKMENT IS NOT OVERTOFPEDS>>>
     CODE SRDL LEW AREA VHD HF EGL CRWS Q
SRD FLEN REW K ALPH HO ERR FR# VEL
XSID:CODE SRDL
                                                                    MAN HI
APPRO:AS 180. -952. 8735. .01 .14 623.07 617.41 5240. 1224. 283. 344. 682155. 1.10 .25 .00 .04 .60
  M(G) M(K) KO XLKO XRKO OTEL
.866 .877 83891. -317. -146. 623.05
                   4% << END OF BRIDGE COMPUTATIONS >>>>
 KSIDHOODE
                 LEW AREA VHD HF EGL CRWS
                 REW
                        K ALFH HO ERR FRM
```

Page 32 of 42

100. 432. 568394. 1,12 .00 .00

1324.

P060188

WSPRO FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION - U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY MODEL FOR WATER-SURFACE PROFILE COMPUTATIONS

> S.M. 070-0003 SECTION 104 BR STA. 138+26.29 ILL. RTE. 121 OVER JONATHAN CREEK FILE: S7003A.DAT BY: MLK

\*\*\* RUN DATE & TIME: 07-28-94 08:34

XSID:CODE SRDL LEW AREA VHD HF EGL, CRWS Q SRD FLEM KEW K ALPH HO ERR FRM VEL WSEL DS380:XS \*\*\*\*\* -53. 4422. .05 \*\*\*\* 622.82 617.81 6660. **622**77 620. \*\*\*\*\* 586. 332895. 1.37 \*\*\*\* \*\*\*\*\* .12 1.51 D9280:X8 720 . 100. 659. 363216. 1.34 .00 .00 .11

\*#==135 CONVEYANCE RATIO OUTSIDE OF RECOMMENDED LIMITS. "EXIT" KRATIO = .69

EXIT :XS 100. -109. 3271. .09 .05 622.93 \*\*\*\*\*\* 6660. 622.83 820. 100. 377. 249708. 1.47 0.03 .00 .17 2.04

FULLV:Fy 180. -109. 3335. .09 .12 623.05 \*\*\*\*\*\* 6660. **622.**96 1000. 180. 377. 257012. 1.46 .00 .00 .16 2.00 Second THE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOWS SEE

135 CONVEYANCE RATIO OUTSIDE OF RECOMMENDED LIMITS. "AFFRO" KRATIO = 2.68

224. 345. 688234. 1.10 .00 .00 .05 .76 % APPRO:AS - SSSSSTHE ABOVE RESULTS REFLECT "NORMAL" (UNCONSTRICTED) FLOWS >> SS

XSID:CODE SRDL LEW AREA VHD HE EGL ORWS WSEL SED FLEN REW K ALPH HO F R 44 BRIDG:BR 180. 22. 1025. 1.11 .30 623.85 619.23 6660. 622.74 1000. 180. 202. 107645. 1.69 .63 .00 .56 6.50 TYPE PPCD FLOW C FZA LSEL BLEN XLAB XRAB 500

YEAR

STORM

-3. 0. 1. .768 .030 627.50 xxxxxx xxxxxx xxxxxx

XSID:CODE SED FLEN HE VHD EGL ERR Q WSEL ROAD :RG 1018. KSKKKEMBANKMENT IS NOT OVERTOPPED>>>>>

XSID:CODE SRDL LEW AREA VHD HF EGL CRWS O SRD FLEN REW K ALPH HO ERR FRH VEL

APPRO:AS 180. -958. 10235. .01 .13 624.24 617.59 6660. (624.2 284. 348. 883485. 1.08 .25 .01 .04

M(G) M(K) KG XLKG XRKG OTEL .860 .869 115254, -332, -153. 624.22 M(G)

<<<<END OF BRIDGE COMPUTATIONS>>>>

XSID:CODE SKDL LEW AREA VHD La La Lance Filin Kilu KARALPHA ERR FRE 140 -VEL#  S.N. 070-0003 SECTION 104 BR STA. 138+26.29 ILL. RTE. 121 OVER JONATHAN CREEK

FILE: \$7003A.DAT BY: MLK

\*\*\* RUN DATE & TIME: 07-28-94 15:08

CROSS-SECTION PROPERTIES: ISEQ = 7: SECID = APPRO; SRD = 1224.

WSEL SA# AREA K TOPW WETP ALPH LEW REW QCR 1 5659. 418877. 872. 873. 81795. 2 (1265) (34243) (160.) 163. 20195. 3 1806. 138022. 264. 265. 26777. 623.06 8730. 691142) 1297. 1301. 1.06 -952. 344. 124575.

623.06 8730. **6**91142) 1297. 1301. 1.06 -952. 344. 124 HP 1 APPRO 624.23 0 624.23

WSFRO FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION - U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY F060188 MODEL FOR WATER-SURFACE PROFILE COMPUTATIONS

S.N. 070-0003 SECTION 104 BR STA. 138+26.29 ILL. RTE. 121 OVER JONATHAN CREEK

FILE: \$70030.DAT BY: MLK \*\*\* RUN DATE & TIME: 07-28-94 15:08

CROSS-SECTION PROPERTIES: ISED = Z: SECID = APPRO: SRD = 1224.

WSEL SAM AREA K TOPW WETP ALPH LEW REW QCR
1 6683, 550079, 878, 879, 104608,
2 (1453) (168946) (160) 163, 24838,
3 2117, 178342, 268, 269, 33780,
624.23 10253, 897367) 1306, 1311, 1.06 -958, 348, 158426,

S.N. 070-0003 SECTION 104 BR STA. 138+26.29 ILL. RTE. 121 OVER JONATHAN CREEK

FILE: SZOOZA.DAT BY: MLK

\*\*\* RUN DATE & TIME: 07-28-94 08:10

CROSS-SECTION PROPERTIES: ISEQ = 5: SECID = BRIDG; SED = 1000.

	SAW	AREA	K	TOPW	WETE	ALPH	LEW	REW	GOR
		43.		£8.				r.	3721
	22	801.	(82748)	103.	108.				12664.
		46.		A5- 5 88	19.				416.
621.80		890.	(87442)	140.	146.	106	27.	198.	12362

HP 2 BRIDG 621.8 0 621.8 5240

WSPRO FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION - U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY 5 PO60188 MODEL FOR WATER-SURFACE PROFILE COMPUTATIONS

S.N. 070-0003 SECTION 104 BR STA. 138+26.29 /00 VEAR ILL. RTE. 121 OVER JONATHAN CREEK STORM FILE: S7003A.DAT BY: MLK

\*\*\* RUN DATE & TIME: 07-28-94 08:10

VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION: ISEQ = 5; SECID = BRIDG; SRD = 1000.

	is tweet		LEW	REW	AF	KEA	984.0	<	(1)	VEL		
	-621.8	Q., 25	6.8	197.7	894	1.3	87442.	. 53	240.	5.89		
STA.		24.8		57.5	68	916R#2	)			91.1		98.2
A(I) V(I)			76.1 3.44		(4.03)	)	61.5 4.26				34.7 7.54	
STA.		75.2		99.1		102.5	3	106.6		110.4		114.2
A(I) V(I)			34.6		33.7		34.1		34.2		34.6 7.57	
STA.										13019		135.5
A(I) V(I)									7.31			
STA.		135.5		140.2	esse e une '	135.0	> (	154.3		168.3		197.7
A(I) V(I)			7.24		36.2		57.7 4.54	(4.47)				

FF 1 BRIDG 822.74 0 422.74

S.H. 070-0003 SECTION 104 BR STA. 138+26.29

ILL. RTE. 121 OVER JONATHAN CREEK FILE: S7003ALDAT BY: MLK

\*\*\* RUN DATE & TIME: 07-29-94 08:34

CROSS-SECTION PROPERTIES: ISEQ = 5; SECID = BRIDG; SRD = 1000.

WSEL.	SA#	AREA	regards	TOPW	WETE	ALFH	LEW	REW	<b>GCR</b>
	1	62.	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	22.	23 :				587.
	2	898.	(00116)	103.	108.				15033.
	2	65.			22.13 n				640
622.74		1025.	(107642)	147.	155.	1.07	2121	202.	14842.

HP 2 BRIDG 622.74 0 622.74 6660

F060188

WSPRO FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION - U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY MODEL FOR WATER-SURFACE PROFILE COMPUTATIONS

> S.N. 070-0003 SECTION 104 BR STA. 138+26.29 500 YEAR ILL. RTE. 121 ÖVER JONATHAN CREEK FILE: S7003A.DAT BY: MLK

\*\*\* RUN DATE & TIME # 07-28-94 08:34

VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION: ISEQ = 5; SECID = BRIDG; SRD =

		WEEL	LEW 1	AREA	**************************************	4		1
			22.3 *		107642	6660.	6.50	
X	STA. A(I)		22. 3 94. 2	69.6	3.2)	81.5		93,7
	V(I)	) 	3.95	4.78		5.93 -		
	STA. A(I) V(I)			39.7		396	109, <b>9</b> 40.1 8.31	
	S(A. A(I) V(I)		114.0 40.5 8.22	40.9	41.3 8.07	40.7		
	STA. A(I) V(I)		136.8 41.9 7.95	43.2	5.8 (63) 1 2028	58.4 64.9	171.4 83.2 4.00	
A								



# Computations

Computed	By <u>CAE</u>	·	12 Roule /2/
	7-29-94		Section /04 BR
	Э		MOULTRIE County
			Sheet of>
	COLUMNITATIONS	ITEM	CODE NUMBER
	COMPUTATIONS	COMPUTATIONS FOR SCOUR INPUT.	5.N. 070-0003
	PIER SCO	OUR: PIER # 1	
	I ATTACK ANGLE OF	= flow = . 0°.	
	2) LENGTH OF PIER =	46 FF	
	3 WIDTH OF PIER =	3 FT	7/27/
	4 VELOCITY OF FLOW	€ STEUTURE = 4.47 FOR 100 YR , 4.72	! FOR 500 YR
	5 DEPTH OF FLOW	€ STRUCTURE = 621.8 - 615 = 6.8 FT (100 10)	622.74 - 615 = 7.74FT (500 YE)
, Salar	6) PIER TYPE CAPE	= Z ROUND NOSE	
All	7 FOSTING WIDTH:	8.5 FT	
	8 FOOTING HEIGHT =	BFT	
	9 9 84 BEO MATERIA	ac= 1 mm	
	, PLER SC	our: PIER #2	
1.0	ATTACK MIGGE OF	FLOW = 0	
	2) LENGTH OF PIER =	46 FT	
	3) WIDTH OF PIER =	3 FT	
		SRUCNAL = 4.03 FOR 100 TR	
	5 DEPTH OF FLOW C	D STRUCTURE = 621.8 - 614.75 = 7.05 (100 PR)	622.74-614.75 = 17.99 FT 1500 9R
	6 PICK TYPE CODE	= 2 (ROUND NOSE)	
	7) FOOTING WITH =	8.5FT	
	8) FORTING HEIGHT		
	9) d-84 GED MATER	RINGS = /mm.	
has genormally a very life of the			
-		and the second of the second o	Page 38 of 42



# Computations

Computed ?	By <u>(#£</u>		12 Route 12/						
Daile	7-28-94	· S	ection <u>104 BR</u>						
	у		MOULTRIE County						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SI	heet of						
	COMPUTATIONS	ITEM	CODE NUMBER						
•	COMPUTATIONS	COMPUTATIONS FOR SCOUR INPUT S.N. 070-000	3						
		CONTRACTION							
A	1 100 YR 500 JR:								
	1 FLOW DEPTH @	APPROACH = 7.9 FT							
	21 WIOTH & MPRS	•							
		3 WOTH @ CONTACTION = 156 FT							
		= 5240 CFS	300						
		LOW @ APPROACH = 5240 (134243/691142) = 1018 C	FS.						
· / 1	6): VEATO = 0.1	and a second second							
` .	manuelle " RAT	0 = 1							
	1.34 6.7		Tall.						
4	3 500 YEAR STORM		1100						
	1 FLOW DEPTH @ AL	PROKEY = 1453/160 = 9.1. FT							
	2 WIOTH & APPROA	CH = 160 FT	The Table						
	3 WIOTH & CONSTRI	c77 on = 172 pr							
	A CONTACTED FLO								
	5) MAIN CHANKE	ZOW @ APPLOPON = 6660 (168946 897367) = 1253.5	a cfs						
764	6) VAMO = 0.1								
	1) MANUEL "" F	ATTO = /	100						
	The second second								
	VIEW PROPERTY.								
esta estable per este e	and a second of the second of	at some till som er styrette som er syn en eller som er syn eller er syn er en er en er en er en er en er en e En er en	8 18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
	ON THE RESERVED	water and a real water and a	Page 39 of 42						

#### CONTRACTION SCOUR

CASE 1 Overbank flow on a flood plain being forced back to the a channel by the approaches to the bridge.

BRIDGE NUMBER0700003 Q-

	у1	41.	****	7.9
2 width @ approach	WI.	4-6	*****	1.60
3 width @ constriction	wZ	华七	****	156
	674	arfs.	****	5240
5 main channel flow @ approach	000	cfs	1784	1018
6 Vratio ShearV/FallV			1001	. 1.
7 Manning nRatio contracted/approach			1011	
- 발명하는 사람이 가는 이 작가 지역을 하는 경소나는 불쾌활한 화장하지 않았다는 사람들이 하는 그는 사람들이 다른 사람들이 되었다.				

CONTRACTION SCOUR EQUATION 1 =

PIER SCOUR Equation (4) PIER NUMBER 1 BRIDGE NUMBER 0700003

attack angle of flow theta dea 2 length of pier 46 3 width of pier 4 velocity of flow @ approach fos 4,47 5 depth of flow @ approach 6.8 6 pier type code 1 - 5 2 7 footing width 8.5 (3) footing height 9 d-84 bed material

FIER SCOUR EQUATION 4 (COLUMN) PIER SCOUR EQUATION 4 (FOOTING) =  $A \circ F$ 

#### \*

FIER SCOUR Equation (4) PIER MUMBER 2 BRIDGE HUMBER 0700003

attack angle of flow theta dea 2 length of pier 3 width of pier 1... 46 4 velocity of flow @ approach fos 4.03 5 depth of flow @ approach 6 pier type code 1 - 5 7 footing width footing height 0 / Y Y 9 d-84 bød material 1.55 (4) (8)

PIER SCOUR EQUATION 4 (COLUMN) = 5<u>E</u>t PIER SCOUR EQUATION 4 (FOOTING) =(

#### CONTRACTOR NO.

CASE 1 Overbank flow on a flood plain being forced back to the main channel by the approaches to the bridge. BRIDGE NÜNTERSZOOSS (.) ....

1	flow depth & approach	3º 1.	44	***	9.1
2	width @ approach	wl	44	m	160
3	width @ constriction	W2	Tt	::::	172
4	contracted flow				6660
5	main channel flow @ approach	Qc	cfs	nz	1253.8
6	Vratio ShearV/FallV			<b>#</b>	-£
7	Manning mRatio contracted/approach			22	1

CONTRACTION SCOUR EQUATION 1 = (27 F

#### 

PIER SCOUR Equation (4) PIER NUMBER 1 BRIDGE NUMBER 0700003 Q-

1 2 3	attack angle of flow length of pier width of pier		deg ft ft	ım	46
4 5	velocity of flow @ approach depth of flow @ approach	V	fps	) Nu	4.72 7.74
6 7 8	footing width		ft		
	d-84 bed material	ks.	mm		

PIER SCOUR EQUATION 4 (COLUMN) = 5 F PIER SCOUR EQUATION 4 (FOOTING) = (10 F)

#### \*

PIER SCOUR Equation (4) PIER NUMBER 2

BRIDGE NUMBER 0700003

1	attack angle of flow	theta	deg	111	0
22	length of pier	L	11.	an.	46
3	width of pier	a	ft	and the	3
4	velocity of flow @ approach	V	fps		4.87
5	depth of flow @ approach	y1	ft	無	7.99
6	pier type code 1 - 5			===	2
	footing width	af	ft	HE.	8.5
	footing height	yf	ft	HE	8
9	d-84 bed material	ks ks			1

PIER SCOUR EQUATION 4 (COLUMN) = 5 PIER SCOUR EQUATION 4 (FOOTING) = (10 F

# SCOUR FIELD REVIEW

STRUCTURE NO. 270 - 0003	DATE: 8/8/94
LOCATION: Il. 121 OVER JONATHON CR. EAS;	OF SULLIUAN
INSPECTORS: ADRIAN GREENWELL, CRAIG C	EMBERION
GIVEN:	
STRUCTURE TYPE: 3 SPAN MULTIBEAM ON	Preind
PREDICTED SCOUR: UND EL MINIES PILLAY	
DRAINAGE AREA: 57. 50 mi	
STREAMBED MATERIAL: SHOTY CLAY	
OTHER:	
FINDINGS: No Scoup FOUND, SHEAM SIDE OF	EAST PICK
WAS RIP RAPPED IN 1991 SEKEM	
HOLDING WELL	
	The state of the s
RATING(ITEM 113):	
RATE ITEM 93B5:	
NOTES: STREAM LEVEL 13 VERY LOW U.S.	OF BRIDGE 13
DRYING UP FAIRLY DEEP POOL	DIRECTLY
UNDER BRIDGE	