STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

Double Box Culvert U.S. Route 45 over Unnamed Stream

> Proposed S.N. 097-2015 Existing S.N. 097-2007

F.A.P. Route 328 SECTION 109B-1 WHITE COUNTY, ILLINOIS JOB NO. D-99-018-10 CONTRACT NO. 78163 PTB 148/33 WO# 06 KEG NO. 08-0060.06

Authored By: Matthew D. Masterson, P.E. 208 East Main Street, Suite 100 Belleville, IL 62220 <u>mmasterson@kaskaskiaeng.com</u> (618)233-5877

Prepared for: Hampton, Lenzini, and Renwick, Inc. 3085 Stevenson Drive, Suite 201 Springfield, Illinois 62703

> July 30, 2014 Revised October 17, 2014

Kaskaskia
 Engineering Group, LLC



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Double Box Culvert – U.S. Route 45 over Unnamed Stream F.A.P. Route 328 Section 109B-1 White County, Illinois Job No. D-99-018-10 Contract No. 78163 PTB 148/33 WO #06 Proposed Structure No. 097-2015

This report summarizes the analysis of a proposed double box culvert for U.S. Route 45 over an Unnamed Stream near Sacramento, Illinois. The project is located in White County.

The bearing capacity of the natural soils indicates the ability to support the proposed loads.

Settlement should not be a concern for this replacement structure. The new culvert replaces an existing culvert in the same location, and substantial grading is not anticipated other than additional removal of existing soils for installation of the replacement culvert to proposed grades.

The slope stability analysis for the project was analyzed for an assumed wingwall sideslope geometry of 1 Vertical to 2 Horizontal (1V:2H) slopes. The required FOS for the three conditions modeled was met. If the design of the wingwall sideslopes exceeds the assumed geometry, Kaskaskia Engineering Group, LLC (KEG) should be notified to determine if the critical FOS are still met.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Project Description and Proposed Structure Information1
1.1	Introduction1
1.2	Project Description1
1.3	Proposed Structure Information1
2.0	Site Investigation, Subsurface Exploration, and Generalized Subsurface Conditions 1
2.1	Subsurface Conditions1
2.2	Bedrock 2
2.3	Groundwater 2
3.0	Geotechnical Evaluations 3
3.1	Bearing Resistance3
3.2	Settlement 3
3.3	Slope Stability
3.4	Seismic Considerations 4
3.5	Scour 4
3.6	Mining Activity4
4.0	Foundation Evaluations and Design Recommendations
4.1	Box Culvert 4
5.0	Construction Considerations
5.1	Construction Activities5
5.2	Temporary Sheeting and Soil Retention5
5.3	Site and Soil Conditions5
6.0	Computations
7.0	Geotechnical Data
8.0	Limitations

TABLES

<u>Page</u>

Table 3.1 – Slope Stability Critical FOS	4
Table 3.2 – Design Scour Elevations	4

EXHIBITS

- Exhibit A USGS Topographic Location Map
- Exhibit B TS&L and P&P Sheets

- Exhibit C Boring Logs Exhibit D Subsurface Profile Exhibit E Slope/W Slope Stability Analysis Exhibit F ISGS Mine Map

1.0 Project Description and Proposed Structure Information

1.1 Introduction

The geotechnical study summarized in this report was performed by Kaskaskia Engineering Group, LLC (KEG) for a proposed double box culvert to be constructed on U.S. Route 45 over an unnamed stream near Sacramento, Illinois. The project is located in White County. The purpose of this report is to document subsurface geotechnical conditions, provide analyses of anticipated site conditions as they pertain to the project described herein, and to present design and construction recommendations for the proposed structure.

1.2 **Project Description**

The project consists of construction of a new double box culvert (S.N.097-2015) on U.S. Route 45 over an unnamed stream near Sacramento, Illinois.

The general location of the double box culvert is shown on a USGS Topographic Location Map, Exhibit A. The site lies within the limits of the Third Principal Meridian, (T. 6S R. 8E Section 4) within the Till Plains section of the Central Lowland Province and the Mt. Vernon Hill Country.

1.3 Proposed Structure Information

The proposed structure (S.N. 097-2015) will consist of a cast-in-place (CIP) double box culvert with horizontal cantilever wingwalls. The proposed structure will be built on a 0 degree skew. The proposed culvert centerline Station will be 194+03.00. The culvert will consist of two, 10 x 7 ft. barrels and will measure 35 ft. - 0 in. out-to-out headwalls. A Type, Size, and Location plan (TS&L) and Plan & Profile sheet (P&P) are included in Exhibit B.

Further substructure details will be based on the findings of this SGR.

2.0 Site Investigation, Subsurface Exploration, and Generalized Subsurface Conditions

The site exploration plan was developed and conducted by the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT). No on-site observations have been made by KEG personnel relative to existing conditions of the structure, stream, or roadway or of subsurface sample conditions.

Two standard penetration test (SPT) borings, designated 1-S and 2-S were completed on October 22, 2013. Boring 1-S was located at Station 194+31 and was offset 15 ft. right of the centerline of U.S. Route 45, and Boring 2-S was located at Station 193+74 and was offset 15 ft. left of the centerline of U.S. Route 45. Detailed information regarding the nature and thickness of the soils encountered and the results of the field sampling and laboratory testing are shown on the Boring Logs, Exhibit C. The soil profile for the borings can be found in Subsurface Profile, Exhibit D.

2.1 Subsurface Conditions

The profile at the boring locations exhibited layers of silty clay, silty loam, silty clay loam, clay, clay loam, sand, and gravel. The borings were terminated at a depth of 40 ft. and 49.7 ft., in clay shale. In general, the lithologic succession is as follows:

a) Silt Clay/Silty Clay Loam –	Below 12-inches of crushed aggregate, the borings encountered approximately 17 ft. of silty clay and silty clay loam. The driving resistances (N-value) ranged from 1 to 9 blows per foot (bpf), with unconfined compressive strength (Q_u) values of 0.2 to 1.9 tons per square foot (tsf). The moisture content varied from 17 to 26 percent.
b) Sand and Gravel –	In boring 1-S, a 7.5 ft. layer of sand and gravel was encountered below the silty clay. N-values ranged from 3 to 20 bpf, with a moisture content of 20 percent. A gradation performed on the samples resulted in 69 percent sand, 13 percent silt, 6 percent clay, and 12 percent gravel.
c) Clay/ Clay Loam –	Below the sand and gravel in Boring 1-S and below the silty clay in Boring 2-S, 12 to 15 feet of clay and clay loam soils were encountered. N-values ranged from 2 to 27 bpf, with Q_u 's of 0.6 to 2.3 tsf, and moisture contents of 13 to 25.
d) Clay and Wx Clay Shale –	Below the clay and clay loam, the borings encountered 2.5 to 4.5 ft. of stiff clay and weathered clay shale, with N-values of 29 to 33 bpf, Q_u 's of 2.5 to 4.5 tsf, and moisture contents of 9 to 14 percent.
f) Clay Shale –	The borings were terminated at depths of 40 ft. and 49.7 ft., for Borings 1-S and 2-S, respectively, in hard, dry, clay shale. The clay shale had N-values ranging from 100 blows per 2-inches of penetration to 100 blows per 8-inches of penetration. Moisture contents were not obtained on the clay shales.

2.2 Bedrock

Bedrock consisting of clay shale was encountered consistently at elevation El. 379.4 in Boring 1-S and El. 379.4 in Boring 2-S. Each boring terminated in the clay shale at El. 368.4 and El. 378.4, respectively.

2.3 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered in Boring 1-S at El. 399.9 and in Boring 2-S at El. 397.9. Surface water in the stream was noted at El. 412.1 on the borings.

It should be noted that the groundwater level is subject to seasonal and climatic variations. In addition, without extended periods of observation, measurement of true groundwater levels may not be possible.

3.0 Geotechnical Evaluations

3.1 Bearing Resistance

The soil encountered in the borings at the proposed bottom elevation of the culvert consisted of a stiff, silty clay. The soil characteristics at or below El. 408.0 were used to calculate the bearing resistance of the culvert.

The calculated allowable bearing value for the box culvert was found to be 3,500 psf, using a Bearing Resistance Factor of 0.5 (2014 AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, 7th Edition). The applied bearing pressure from the culvert is estimated to be 412 psf.

If during construction, the conditions of the foundation subgrades encountered are not representative of the conditions of the borings, KEG should be contacted.

3.2 Settlement

The subsurface profile generally consisted of stiff cohesive, silty clays, and clays. Due to the proposed grades anticipated, the estimated applied pressures of the soils excavated for installation of the proposed structure weigh more than the weight of the proposed double box culvert, including the wingwalls and are such that settlement is not a concern for this structure.

3.3 Slope Stability

A stability analysis using Slope/W was performed using an assumed wingwall sideslope geometry of 6 feet, 1V:2H and soil characteristics from the borings. Three conditions were modeled: end-of-construction, long-term stability, and a design seismic event using a peak ground acceleration of 0.271g. A critical factor of safety (FOS) was calculated for each condition. According to current standard of practice, the target FOS is 1.5 for end-of-construction and long-term slope stability and 1.0 for the design seismic event. The slope stability indicated that the required minimum FOS for all conditions was met.

In order to model the end-of-construction condition, undrained soil parameters were used with a friction angle of 0 degrees assumed for cohesive soils. Drained soil parameters with assumed friction angles of 26 and 33 degrees were used to model the long-term and seismic conditions to analyze the condition where excess pore water pressure from construction has dissipated. For the cohesive materials, a nominal cohesion value of 50 psf was included in the drained strength parameters.

The Modified Bishop Method, which generates circular-arc failure surfaces, was used to calculate the critical failure surfaces and FOS for the analyzed conditions. The FOS obtained in the analysis is shown in Table 3.1. Slope/W program output from this analysis can be found in Slope/W Slope Stability Analysis, Exhibit E.

Location	End-of-Construction	Long Term	Seismic
East Wingwall Sideslope 6' high (1V:2H)	11.1	1.9	1.1
West Wingwall Sideslope 6' high (1V:2H)	7.9	1.9	1.1

Table 3.1 – Slope Stability Critical FOS

3.4 Seismic Considerations

As per IDOT Bridge Manual v. 2009, Section 2.3.10, seismic data is not required for buried structures, including box culverts.

3.5 Scour

The approximate elevation at the bottom of the culvert inlet (P&P, Exhibit B) is El. 409.25. The design scour elevations for the proposed culvert are approximately 3 ft. below the invert elevations of the culvert. See Table 3.2 below. Per the TS&L, placement of Class A5 stone riprap has been considered on the upstream and downstream ends of the double box culvert to reduce the potential for future scour.

 Table 3.2 – Design Scour Elevations

	Upstream	Downstream
Design Scour Elevation (ft.)	406.25 ft.	406.15 ft.

3.6 Mining Activity

According to the Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS) website, coal mining has occurred in White County. According to the White County, Illinois Coal Mines and Underground Industrial Mines Map, dated September 18, 2013, obtained from the Illinois Geological Survey (ISGS) website (<u>http://www.isgs.illinois.edu/maps-data-pub/coal-maps.shtml</u>), the project site was not undermined.

The listed disclaimer indicates locations of some features on the mine map may be offset by 500 ft. or more due to errors in the original source maps, the compilation process, digitizing, or a combination of these factors. Refer to the Illinois State Geological Survey Mine Map, Exhibit F, for White County, for additional information.

4.0 Foundation Evaluations and Design Recommendations

4.1 Box Culvert

As discussed in Section 3.2 Settlement; the estimated applied pressures of the proposed culvert versus the applied pressures of soils removed to install the new culvert, and the allowable bearing

pressures of the soils supporting the new culvert, are such that settlement is not a concern for this structure. Based on the Culvert Manual, horizontal cantilever wingwalls shall be used, if length of the wingwalls are equal to or less than 14 feet. Based on the geometry of the box culvert and the proposed slopes, horizontal cantilever wingwalls appear suitable for the proposed culvert. In addition, a pre-cast box culvert alternative is applicable, however, based on the TS&L, we understand that such an alternate is not allowed with this replacement.

5.0 Construction Considerations

5.1 Construction Activities

Construction activities should be performed in accordance with the current IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction and any pertinent Special Provisions or Policies.

Should any design considerations assumed by KEG change, KEG should be contacted to determine if the recommendations still apply.

5.2 Temporary Sheeting and Soil Retention

To accommodate stage construction, shoring will be required. The native soils indicate adequate unconfined compressive strength and densities to approximate EI. 383. If the retained height is less than 15 ft. and temporary shoring depths extend to, or are less than, the elevation noted above, IDOT Temporary Sheet Piling design charts should be feasible at this location. Temporary shoring using driving methods, may refuse as the tip elevations approach the hard, dry clay shales at or below EI. 379.4.

5.3 Site and Soil Conditions

Provisions of the Standard Specifications should adequately address site and soil conditions.

6.0 Computations

Computations and analyses for special circumstances, if any, are included as exhibits. Please refer to each section of the report for reference to the exhibit containing any such calculations or analysis used.

7.0 Geotechnical Data

The soil boring logs can be found in Exhibit C. The Subsurface Profile can be found in Exhibit D.

8.0 Limitations

The recommendations provided herein are for the exclusive use of Hampton, Lenzini, and Renwick, Inc. and the Illinois Department of Transportation. They are specific only to the project described and are based on the subsurface information obtained at two boring locations within the structure area, performed by IDOT in 2013, KEG's understanding of the project as described herein, and geotechnical engineering practice consistent with the standard of care. No other warranty is expressed or implied. KEG should be contacted if conditions encountered during construction are not consistent with those described.

EXHIBIT A USGS TOPOGRAPHIC LOCATION MAP



EXHIBIT B TS&L and P&P SHEETS



Existing Low Grade Elev. 416.4 © Sta. 200+00 Sq. Mi. Proposed Low Grade Elev. 416.4 © Sta. 200+00									
Freq.	Q (C.	F.S.)	Opening	Sq. Ft.	Natural	Head	- Ft.	Headwa	nter El.
Yr.	Exist.	Prop.	Exist.	Prop.	H.W.E.	Exist.	Prop.	Exist.	Prop.
	442	486	65	100					
10	137	93	20	20					
	579	579	85	120	415.25	0.84	0.00	416.09	415.25
	542	669	70						
25	253	126	20	20					
	795	795	90	20	415.61	1.10	0.33	416.71	415.94
	574	774	75	110					1
50	405	205	20	20	1				
	979	979	95	130	415.78	1.14	0.80	416.92	416.58
	602	814	80	115					
100	558	346	20	20					
	1160	1160	100	135	416.00	1.05	0.76	417.05	416.76

	GENE	RAL PLAN			
	US	ROUTE 45			
	OVER UN	NAMED STRE	EAM		
ABLE	FAP ROUTE 32	28 - SECTIO	ON 109B-	1	
ream	WHI	TE COUNTY		5	
.15	STATI	ON 194+03.0	0		
	STRUCTURE	NUMBER 09	7-2015		
	CAD	SECTION	COUNTY	TOTAL	SHEET
PLAN	328	1098-1	WHITE	SHEETS	N0.
. 097-2015			CONTRACT	NO 75	3163



EXHIBIT C BORING LOGS

ILLINOIS	DEPARTMEN	IT OF	TRANSPORTATION
D:	istrict Ni	ne M	aterials

Bridge Foundation Boring Log

	•			
eet	1	of	2	

FAP 328 (US 45) Over stream		_				5	- Sheet 1	of 2	
Route: FAP 328 (US 45) St	ructur	e Numbe	er: 097-	-2007	<u></u>	Date	: 1	0/22/20	13
Section 109	_				Boi	ed By:	R Mobe	erly	
County: White	Loca	tion:_S	Sacrame	nto	Check	ed By:	R Grae	ff	
Boring No 1-S Station 194+31 Offset 15' Rt CL Ground Surface 418.4 Ft	D E P T	B L O W	Qu tsf	W%	Surf Wat Elev: 412.1 Ground Water Elevation when Drilling 399.9 At Completion	- D E P T	B L O W	Qu	
Crushed aggregate					Modium unrumoint brown Oilte	<u></u>			VV 76
417.4					Clov A 6		1	0.6B	25
Medium, moist, brown, Silty Clay		÷						-	
Loam A-6					391.4				
-		2			Medium to stiff, very moist, grey,		WH		
_]	3	0.9S	17	Clay A7-6		1	0.7B	17
	_	4			-		1		
_							į		
413.9									
Very soft, very moist, brown,	5.0	1				30.0	1		
Slity Clay Loam A-6		1	0.2B	25			2	1.0B	16
-		WH			-		3		
411 4									
Stiff, moist, arey mottled brown		1			-				
Silt Loam to Silty Clay Loam A-4		4	1.35	17	A		<u>।</u> २	1 2B	16
		4			-		3	1.20	
ļ									
408.9					383.9				
Stiff, moist, brown mottled grey,	10.0	<u>1</u>			Stiff, moist, grey, Clay Loam	35.0	3	_	
Silty Clay A-6		3	1.1B	24	A7-6		12	1.9S	13
-		4					15		
					381.9				1
-			<u> </u>		Voru stiff maist group Clour AZ 6				
		4	1 6B		to weathered Clay Shale	<u> </u>	4	+ 2.59	
-		5		- 1	is weathered only online		16	2.00	14
_				i	379.4		10		
_					Hard, dry, grey, Clay Shale		ĺ		-
_	15.0	1				40.0	100/8"		
		3	1.2B	26					
		4							
401.4					Bottom of hole = 49.7 feet				
Medium dense very moist brown		3		- <u>,.</u>	II IFree water abserved at 19 5 feet		ł		
Sand and gravel with clay binder		9			in tee water observed at 16.5 leet				
A-4		11			Elevation referenced to TBM at		1		
_					pp SW; Elev.= 416.9 feet				
398.9							ĺ		
Very loose to Medium dense, wet, _	20.0	2			Borehole advanced with hollow	45.0	100/4"		
brown, Sand with some gravel		5		20	stem auger (8" O.D, 3.25" I.D.)				
and clay		6			<u> </u>		1		
12% Sano					To convert "N" values to "N60"		,		
	I				jjmultipiy by 1.25 li		ļ		
12% Gravel		1			<u>المحمد المحمد المحم</u>		-		
	——	2			Hard, drv. grev. Clav Shale		1		
			<u> </u>				1		
393.9							1		
	25.0	WH			368.4	50.0	100/2"		

ILLINOIS	DEPARTMENT	OF	TRANSPORTATION
Di	lstrict Nir	ie Ma	aterials

FAP_328 (US_45) Over stream

Bridge Foundation Boring^f Log

		-	
Sheet	1	of	1

Route: FAP 328 (US 45) St	ructur	e Numbe	er: 097-	-2007		Date	: 1	0/22/20)13
Section 109	_		_		Bo	red By:	R Mobe	erly	
County:_White	_ Loca	tion: <u>5</u>	acrame	nto	Checl	ced By:	R Grae	ff	
Boring No 2-S Station 193+74 Offset 15' Lt CL Ground Surface 418.4Ft	D E P T H	B L O W	Qu tsf	W%	Surf Wat Elev: 412.1 Ground Water Elevation when Drilling 397.9 At Completion At: Hrs:	- D E - P - T H	B L O W	Qu tsf	W%
Crushed aggregate					Very stiff, moist, grey, Clay to		5	2.3B	14
417.4 Stiff, moist, brown, Silty Clay to Clay A-6					Clay Loam A7-6		6		
		1	1.05		Stiff, moist, grey, Clay A7-6		1		
-		2	1.9B 	18	- - -		2 	1.3B	15
413.9	5.0								
brown, Silty Clay Loam A-6	0.0	<u> </u>	0.4B	24 ·		30.0	1	1.38	16
-		1					3		
411.4		-							
Stiff, moist, brown, Silty Clay		<u></u>				·	1		
-		2	1.15	18			2 3	1.0B	16
408.9					283.0				
Stiff, moist, grey mottled brown,	10.0	1	-		Hard, damp, grey, Clay A7-6 to	35.0	4		
Silty Clay A-6		3 3	1.1B	23	weathered Clay Shale		15 18	4.5S	9
406.4									
Stiff, moist, grey mottled brown,						_ _	4.		<u> </u>
Clay to Silty Clay A7-6		2	1.8B	18			12	4.1S	13
		3			379.4		20		
-					Hard, dry, grey, Clay Shale				
	15.0	2		:	378.4	40.0	100/6"		
		2 3	1.8B	21					
-		1			Bottom of hole = 40.0 feet	·	1		
-		3 3	1.2B	21	Free water observed at 20 5 feet				
-							j		
398.9	0.0				Elevation referenced to TBM at				
Clay Loam A-6 w/ sand lavers	20.0	<u> </u>	1 29		µpp SW; ⊨lev.≕ 416.9 feet	45.0			
		3	1.20	17	Borehole advanced with hollow		1		
					stem auger (8" O.D, 3.25" I.D.)] <u>;</u>		
Very stiff moist grey Clay to							ļ		
Clay Loam A7-6		<u> </u>	2.3B	17	multiply by 1.25		1		
		6		··]		
-	25.0	<u></u>	<u> . </u>						
<u></u>	دی.0	<u> </u>			1	50.0	1		

EXHIBIT D SUBSURFACE PROFILE



415 410 405 400 395 390 380 380 380 375 370 361 370 365	
415 410 405 406 407 408 409 400 335 336 337 380 370	
415 410 405 400 395 390 385 380 380 375	
415 410 405 400 395 390 385 380	
415 410 405 400 395 390 385	
415 410 405 400 395 390	
415 410 405 400 395	
415 410 405 400	
415 410 405	
415	
415	
420	

EXHIBIT E SLOPE/W SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS



SLOPE/W Analysis

Report generated using GeoStudio 2007, version 7.14. Copyright © 1991-2009 GEO-SLOPE International Ltd.

US Route 45 over Unnamed Stream – FAP Route 328 – Section 109B-1

East Side Slope: End of Construction (Undrained) Analysis

Project Settings

Length(L) Units: feet Time(t) Units: Seconds Force(F) Units: lbf Pressure(p) Units: psf Strength Units: psf Unit Weight of Water: 62.4 pcf View: 2D

Analysis Settings

SLOPE/W Analysis Kind: SLOPE/W Method: Bishop, Ordinary and Janbu Settings Apply Phreatic Correction: No **PWP Conditions Source: Piezometric Line** Use Staged Rapid Drawdown: No SlipSurface Direction of movement: Left to Right Use Passive Mode: No Slip Surface Option: Entry and Exit Critical slip surfaces saved: 1 Optimize Critical Slip Surface Location: No **FOS** Distribution FOS Calculation Option: Constant Advanced Number of Slices: 30 **Optimization Tolerance: 0.01** Minimum Slip Surface Depth: 0.1 ft **Optimization Maximum Iterations: 2000** Optimization Convergence Tolerance: 1e-007

Starting Optimization Points: 8 Ending Optimization Points: 16 Complete Passes per Insertion: 1 Driving Side Maximum Convex Angle: 5 ° Resisting Side Maximum Convex Angle: 1 °

Materials

Silty Clay Loam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion: 1700 psf Phi: 0° Phi-B: 0° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Clay

Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion: 1200 psf Phi: 0 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Slip Surface Entry and Exit

Left Projection: Range Left-Zone Left Coordinate: (0, 418) ft Left-Zone Right Coordinate: (20, 418) ft Left-Zone Increment: 8 Right Projection: Range Right-Zone Left Coordinate: (80, 412) ft Right-Zone Right Coordinate: (100, 412) ft Right-Zone Increment: 8 Radius Increments: 8

Slip Surface Limits

Left Coordinate: (0, 418) ft Right Coordinate: (100, 412) ft

Piezometric Lines

Piezometric Line 1

Coordinates

X (ft)	Y (ft)
0	397.9
100	397.9



SLOPE/W Analysis

Report generated using GeoStudio 2007, version 7.14. Copyright © 1991-2009 GEO-SLOPE International Ltd.

US Route 45 over Unnamed Stream – FAP Route 328 – Section 109B-1

East Side Slope: Long Term Analysis

Project Settings

Length(L) Units: feet Time(t) Units: Seconds Force(F) Units: lbf Pressure(p) Units: psf Strength Units: psf Unit Weight of Water: 62.4 pcf View: 2D

Analysis Settings

SLOPE/W Analysis Kind: SLOPE/W Method: Bishop, Ordinary and Janbu Settings Apply Phreatic Correction: No **PWP Conditions Source: Piezometric Line** Use Staged Rapid Drawdown: No SlipSurface Direction of movement: Left to Right Use Passive Mode: No Slip Surface Option: Entry and Exit Critical slip surfaces saved: 1 Optimize Critical Slip Surface Location: No **FOS Distribution** FOS Calculation Option: Constant Advanced Number of Slices: 30 **Optimization Tolerance: 0.01** Minimum Slip Surface Depth: 0.1 ft **Optimization Maximum Iterations: 2000** Optimization Convergence Tolerance: 1e-007

Starting Optimization Points: 8 Ending Optimization Points: 16 Complete Passes per Insertion: 1 Driving Side Maximum Convex Angle: 5 ° Resisting Side Maximum Convex Angle: 1 °

Materials

Silty Clay Loam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion: 50 psf Phi: 26 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Clay

Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion: 50 psf Phi: 26 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Slip Surface Entry and Exit

Left Projection: Range Left-Zone Left Coordinate: (30.80545, 418) ft Left-Zone Right Coordinate: (50, 415) ft Left-Zone Increment: 8 Right Projection: Range Right-Zone Left Coordinate: (50, 415) ft Right-Zone Right Coordinate: (80, 412) ft Right-Zone Increment: 8 Radius Increments: 8

Slip Surface Limits

Left Coordinate: (0, 418) ft Right Coordinate: (100, 412) ft

Piezometric Lines

Piezometric Line 1

Coordinates

X (ft)	Y (ft)
0	397.9
100	397.9



SLOPE/W Analysis

Report generated using GeoStudio 2007, version 7.14. Copyright © 1991-2009 GEO-SLOPE International Ltd.

US Route 45 over Unnamed Stream – FAP Route 328 – Section 109B-1

East Side Slope: Seismic Analysis

Project Settings

Length(L) Units: feet Time(t) Units: Seconds Force(F) Units: lbf Pressure(p) Units: psf Strength Units: psf Unit Weight of Water: 62.4 pcf View: 2D

Analysis Settings

SLOPE/W Analysis Kind: SLOPE/W Method: Bishop, Ordinary and Janbu Settings Apply Phreatic Correction: No **PWP Conditions Source: Piezometric Line** Use Staged Rapid Drawdown: No SlipSurface Direction of movement: Left to Right Use Passive Mode: No Slip Surface Option: Entry and Exit Critical slip surfaces saved: 1 Optimize Critical Slip Surface Location: No **FOS Distribution** FOS Calculation Option: Constant Advanced Number of Slices: 30 **Optimization Tolerance: 0.01** Minimum Slip Surface Depth: 0.1 ft **Optimization Maximum Iterations: 2000** Optimization Convergence Tolerance: 1e-007

Starting Optimization Points: 8 Ending Optimization Points: 16 Complete Passes per Insertion: 1 Driving Side Maximum Convex Angle: 5 ° Resisting Side Maximum Convex Angle: 1 °

Materials

Silty Clay Loam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion: 50 psf Phi: 26 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Clay

Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion: 50 psf Phi: 26 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Slip Surface Entry and Exit

Left Projection: Range Left-Zone Left Coordinate: (30.80545, 418) ft Left-Zone Right Coordinate: (50, 415) ft Left-Zone Increment: 8 Right Projection: Range Right-Zone Left Coordinate: (50, 415) ft Right-Zone Right Coordinate: (80, 412) ft Right-Zone Increment: 8 Radius Increments: 8

Slip Surface Limits

Left Coordinate: (0, 418) ft Right Coordinate: (100, 412) ft

Piezometric Lines

Piezometric Line 1

Coordinates

X (ft)	Y (ft)
0	397.9
100	397.9

Seismic Loads

Horz Seismic Load: 0.271 Ignore seismic load in strength: No



SLOPE/W Analysis

Report generated using GeoStudio 2007, version 7.14. Copyright © 1991-2009 GEO-SLOPE International Ltd.

US Route 45 over Unnamed Stream – FAP Route 328 – Section 109B-1

West Side Slope: End of Construction (Undrained) Analysis

Project Settings

Length(L) Units: feet Time(t) Units: Seconds Force(F) Units: lbf Pressure(p) Units: psf Strength Units: psf Unit Weight of Water: 62.4 pcf View: 2D

Analysis Settings

SLOPE/W Analysis Kind: SLOPE/W Method: Bishop, Ordinary and Janbu Settings Apply Phreatic Correction: No **PWP Conditions Source: Piezometric Line** Use Staged Rapid Drawdown: No SlipSurface Direction of movement: Left to Right Use Passive Mode: No Slip Surface Option: Entry and Exit Critical slip surfaces saved: 1 Optimize Critical Slip Surface Location: No **FOS** Distribution FOS Calculation Option: Constant Advanced Number of Slices: 30 **Optimization Tolerance: 0.01** Minimum Slip Surface Depth: 0.1 ft **Optimization Maximum Iterations: 2000** Optimization Convergence Tolerance: 1e-007

Starting Optimization Points: 8 Ending Optimization Points: 16 Complete Passes per Insertion: 1 Driving Side Maximum Convex Angle: 5 ° Resisting Side Maximum Convex Angle: 1 °

Materials

Silty Clay Loam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion: 1000 psf Phi: 0° Phi-B: 0° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Sand

Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 110 pcf Cohesion: 0 psf Phi: 33 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Clay

Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion: 900 psf Phi: 0 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Slip Surface Entry and Exit

Left Projection: Range Left-Zone Left Coordinate: (15.7, 418) ft Left-Zone Right Coordinate: (41.5, 418) ft Left-Zone Increment: 8 Right Projection: Range Right-Zone Left Coordinate: (60.9, 412) ft Right-Zone Right Coordinate: (86.5, 412) ft Right-Zone Increment: 8 Radius Increments: 8

Slip Surface Limits

Left Coordinate: (0, 418) ft Right Coordinate: (100, 412) ft

Piezometric Lines

Piezometric Line 1

Coordinates

X (ft)	Y (ft)
0	399.9
100	399.9



SLOPE/W Analysis

Report generated using GeoStudio 2007, version 7.14. Copyright © 1991-2009 GEO-SLOPE International Ltd.

US Route 45 over Unnamed Stream – FAP Route 328 – Section 109B-1

West Side Slope: Long Term Analysis

Project Settings

Length(L) Units: feet Time(t) Units: Seconds Force(F) Units: lbf Pressure(p) Units: psf Strength Units: psf Unit Weight of Water: 62.4 pcf View: 2D

Analysis Settings

SLOPE/W Analysis Kind: SLOPE/W Method: Bishop, Ordinary and Janbu Settings Apply Phreatic Correction: No **PWP Conditions Source: Piezometric Line** Use Staged Rapid Drawdown: No SlipSurface Direction of movement: Left to Right Use Passive Mode: No Slip Surface Option: Entry and Exit Critical slip surfaces saved: 1 Optimize Critical Slip Surface Location: No **FOS Distribution** FOS Calculation Option: Constant Advanced Number of Slices: 30 **Optimization Tolerance: 0.01** Minimum Slip Surface Depth: 0.1 ft **Optimization Maximum Iterations: 2000** Optimization Convergence Tolerance: 1e-007

Starting Optimization Points: 8 Ending Optimization Points: 16 Complete Passes per Insertion: 1 Driving Side Maximum Convex Angle: 5 ° Resisting Side Maximum Convex Angle: 1 °

Materials

Silty Clay Loam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion: 50 psf Phi: 26 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Sand

Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 110 pcf Cohesion: 0 psf Phi: 33 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Clay

Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion: 50 psf Phi: 26 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Slip Surface Entry and Exit

Left Projection: Range Left-Zone Left Coordinate: (35, 418) ft Left-Zone Right Coordinate: (50, 415) ft Left-Zone Increment: 8 Right Projection: Range Right-Zone Left Coordinate: (50, 415) ft Right-Zone Right Coordinate: (70, 412) ft Right-Zone Increment: 8 Radius Increments: 8

Slip Surface Limits

Left Coordinate: (0, 418) ft Right Coordinate: (100, 412) ft

Piezometric Lines

Piezometric Line 1

Coordinates

X (ft)	Y (ft)
0	399.9
100	399.9



SLOPE/W Analysis

Report generated using GeoStudio 2007, version 7.14. Copyright © 1991-2009 GEO-SLOPE International Ltd.

US Route 45 over Unnamed Stream – FAP Route 328 – Section 109B-1

West Side Slope: Seismic Analysis

Project Settings

Length(L) Units: feet Time(t) Units: Seconds Force(F) Units: lbf Pressure(p) Units: psf Strength Units: psf Unit Weight of Water: 62.4 pcf View: 2D

Analysis Settings

SLOPE/W Analysis Kind: SLOPE/W Method: Bishop, Ordinary and Janbu Settings Apply Phreatic Correction: No **PWP Conditions Source: Piezometric Line** Use Staged Rapid Drawdown: No SlipSurface Direction of movement: Left to Right Use Passive Mode: No Slip Surface Option: Entry and Exit Critical slip surfaces saved: 1 Optimize Critical Slip Surface Location: No **FOS Distribution** FOS Calculation Option: Constant Advanced Number of Slices: 30 **Optimization Tolerance: 0.01** Minimum Slip Surface Depth: 0.1 ft **Optimization Maximum Iterations: 2000** Optimization Convergence Tolerance: 1e-007

Starting Optimization Points: 8 Ending Optimization Points: 16 Complete Passes per Insertion: 1 Driving Side Maximum Convex Angle: 5 ° Resisting Side Maximum Convex Angle: 1 °

Materials

Silty Clay Loam Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 120 pcf Cohesion: 50 psf Phi: 26 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Sand

Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 110 pcf Cohesion: 0 psf Phi: 33 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Clay

Model: Mohr-Coulomb Unit Weight: 125 pcf Cohesion: 50 psf Phi: 26 ° Phi-B: 0 ° Pore Water Pressure Piezometric Line: 1

Slip Surface Entry and Exit

Left Projection: Range Left-Zone Left Coordinate: (35, 418) ft Left-Zone Right Coordinate: (50, 415) ft Left-Zone Increment: 8 Right Projection: Range Right-Zone Left Coordinate: (50, 415) ft Right-Zone Right Coordinate: (70, 412) ft Right-Zone Increment: 8 Radius Increments: 8

Slip Surface Limits

Left Coordinate: (0, 418) ft Right Coordinate: (100, 412) ft

Piezometric Lines

Piezometric Line 1

Coordinates

X (ft)	Y (ft)
0	399.9
100	399.9

Seismic Loads

Horz Seismic Load: 0.271 Ignore seismic load in strength: No

EXHIBIT F

