

#### **Abbreviated Structure Geotechnical Report**

Original Report Date: 6/30/22	Proposed SN:		Route:	US Route 14
Revised Date:	Existing SN:	056-0263	Section:	2018-118-I
Geotechnical Engineer: GSG Consul	County:	McHenry		
Structural Engineer: ABNA Engineer	ing, Inc.		Contract:	P-91-029-19

Indicate the proposed structure type, substructure types, and foundation locations (attach plan and elevation drawing):

According to the proposed preliminary plan drawings and information provided by ABNA, the existing 5.5 ft X12 ft concrete box culvert will be removed and replaced with a new 7 ft X 12 ft concrete box culvert. The upstream invert elevation will be 936.6 feet and the downstream invert elevation will be 936.1 feet. The preliminary plans are attached.

Discuss the existing boring data, existing plans foundation information, new subsurface exploration and need for any additional exploration to be provided with SGR Technical Memo (attach all data and subsurface profile plot):

The exploration program included advancing two (2) standard penetration test (SPT) borings at locations on either end of the proposed culvert.

The soil borings were drilled using truck-mounted Diedrich D-50 (hammer efficiency 98%) drill rig using 3¼-inch I.D. hollow stem augers and an automatic hammer. Soil sampling was performed according to AASHTO T 206, "Penetration Test and Split Barrel Sampling of Soils." Soil samples were obtained at 2.5-foot intervals to the boring termination depths of 40 feet below grade.

The borings initially noted 8 inches of asphalt. Beneath the asphalt, the borings encountered brown silty clay fill to a depth of 8.5 to 9 feet below grade (elevation of 937.5 feet). The borings then encountered gray sand fill to a depth of 10.5 feet below grade (elevation of 935.7). The borings then noted soft to medium stiff gray silty clay to a depth of 16 feet (elevation of 930.3 feet) followed by stiff to very stiff gray silty clay loam to the boring termination depths of 40 feet below grade (elevation of 906.2 feet). Boring CB-01 noted a sand seam at 13.5 feet and at 27 feet below grade and cobbles at 31 feet below grade.

The unconfined compressive strength values of the silty clay fill ranged between 0.3 tsf and 1.0 tsf. The unconfined compressive strength values of the gray silty clay ranged between 0.4 tsf and 0.8 tsf. The unconfined compressive strength values of the gray silty clay loam ranged between 0.4 tsf and 2.3 tsf. The SPT blow count 'N' values of the sand fill ranged between 6 and 13 bpf.

Groundwater was encountered in the each borings at a depths of 8.5 feet below grade (elevation of 937.7 feet) during drilling. Water was not encountered during drilling at any of the other soil boring locations. Perched water may also be present within the confined existing fill materials.

Provide the location and maximum height of any new soil fill or magnitude of footing bearing pressure. Estimate the amount and time of the expected settlement. Indicate if further testing, analysis, and/or ground improvement/treatment is necessary:

Due to the presence of unsuitable low strength materials at the invert elevations of the culvert, undercuts to reach suitable soil will be required. Following undercutting to suitable native soils, the over-excavations should be backfilled to the design bearing grade with structural fill. The structural fill should be placed in accordance with the Construction Considerations section of this report. It is anticipated that 3 feet of undercut is necessary below the proposed invert elevations based on the Table 8.9-1 of the IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2020). Soil should be tested to a depth 3 feet below the bottom of the culvert as per section 8.9. The undercut values shall be field verified during construction.

Anticipated Bearing Elevation (feet)=936.6 to 936.1 Estimated Settlement at Culvert Inlet (inches) = less than 1.0 inch Estimated Settlement at Culvert Outlet (inches) = less than 1.0 inch Differential Settlement (inches) = less than 0.5 inch Identify any new cuts or fill slope angles and heights. Estimate the factor of safety against slope failure. Indicate if further testing, analysis or ground improvement/treatment is necessary:

IDOT requires that slope stability analysis be performed in areas where the cut or fill heights will exceed 15 feet in height. Based on the preliminary design plan, the maximum cut height will be less than 15 feet; therefore, no slope stability analysis was required for this report.

Indicate at each substructure, the 100-year and 200-year total scour depths in the Hydraulics report, the nongranular scour depth reduction, the proposed ground surface, and the recommended foundation design scour elevations:

Scour analysis is not warranted for closed bottom box culvert per All Bridge Designers memo 14.2, dated November 7, 2014. Therefore, no additional scour analysis is warranted.

The design scour elevation should be taken at the bottom of the cutoff walls. To help prevent local erosion, it is recommended to place stone riprap at the end of the culverts. This will help prevent sediments from entering and accumulating in the culvert, reduce long term maintenance, and provide protection to the streambed at the interface.

Unsuitable materials are generally replaced with aggregate when soil strength and groundwater conditions dictate. A special provision for Aggregate Subgrade Improvement or Rockfill should be included in the plans to indicate the replacement material properties and capping requirements.

# Determining the seismic soil site class, the seismic performance zone, the 0.2 and 1.0 second design spectral accelerations and indicate if that the soils are liquefiable:

As per section 7.4.5.4 "Geotechnical Evaluations and Recommendations" in the IDOT Geotechnical Manual 2020, "Box culverts and retaining walls are not typically designed for seismic loading, and as such, seismic design parameters should on not be provided in SGRs for these types of structures."

Confirm feasibility of the proposed foundation or wall type and provide design parameters. Attach a pile design table indicating feasible pile types, various nominal required bearings, factored resistances available and corresponding estimated lengths at locations where piles will be used. Provide factored bearing resistance and unit sliding resistance at various elevations and confirm no ground improvement/treatment is necessary where spread footings are proposed. Estimated top of rock elevations as well as preliminary factored unit side and tip resistance values shall be indicated when drilled shafts are proposed: Due to the presence of unsuitable low strength materials at the invert elevations of the culvert, undercuts to reach suitable soil will be required at the proposed culvert location. Following undercutting to suitable native soils, the over-excavations should be backfilled to the design bearing grade with structural fill. The structural fill should be placed in accordance with the Construction Considerations based on the Table 8.9-1 of the IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2020). Soil should be tested to a depth 3 feet below the bottom of the culvert as per section 8.9. The undercut values shall be field verified during construction.

The subgrade soils at bearing grade should be evaluated per the guidelines provided in Section 8.9 of IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2020) for suitability/workability prior to placing any portion of the proposed culvert structure. Loose granular fill soils and soft silty clay soils were noted at the invert depths of the proposed culverts. These materials may not provide sufficient subgrade stability for the proposed construction of the culvert. However, if a precast box culvert is considered, according to Section 540, IDOT SSRBC (2016) a minimum of 6-inches of porous granular material should be provided as bedding material, which will serve as a working platform for box culverts.

Calculate the estimated water surface elevation and determine the need for cofferdams (type 1 or 2), and seal coat: Not applicable

Assess the need for sheeting or soil retention or temporary construction slope and provide recommendation for other construction concerns:

If stage construction is used for the proposed improvement, temporary sheet piling is feasible because the existing soils strengths are less than 4.5 tsf. The Temporary Soil Retention System (TSRS) should be designed in accordance with the IDOT Bridge Design Manual, Section 3.13.1, Temporary Sheet Piling Design, Temporary Soil Retention Systems and Braced Excavations and the IDOT Design Guide. The design of the temporary earth retention system is the responsibility of the contractor. The contractor should submit the TSRS plans to the structural design team for review prior to commencing construction of the TSRS.

APPENDIX A

PRELIMINARY PLANS





																965
																960
																955
/	—EXIS	T./ PR(	DP. NO	RTHBO	JND DI	тсн										950
/	·				1		/ 	8" CUL	v. 			_7-7				945
			;===	= = =	5-5-	1	125									940
			EXIST.	PROP	SOUT	HBOUN	D DITC	H								935
			943.03				944 04				944.68					930
			942.56				943.54				944.45					925
			946.37				946.32				946.27					
			22-	+00			234				244	-00				SHEET
8	& PR	OFILI					R	TE		SECTI	ION		СО	UNTY	SHEET	S NO.
M	ILES	S⁄0	STAT	ELIN	IE		-	56					McH	IENRY		
	STA			TO 5	ΓΑ						ILLINOIS	EED A		ITRACT	NO.	
	9.774			.0.0							ICCINOIS	LIED, AI	ID FRUIE	м I		





¢ R.O.W. R.O.W. 46'-49' 40'-50' 3′ 8′ 12.8′-13.2′12.8′-13.2′ 8′ ٦ ¥ 1.5% 1.5% 9 U  $\bigcirc$  $\mathbf{0}$ 向向介

U.S. ROUTE 14

PROPOSED TYPICAL SECTION STA. 17+00 TO STA. 17+50

USER NAME = jiangc	DESIGNED -	REVISED -				TVDICA	SECTI
	DRAWN -	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS			44 0 14	
PLOT SCALE = 20.0000 / In. CHECKED - REVISED - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION					0.5. KUUTE	14, 3 IV	I. 5001
PLOT DATE = 10/14/2021	DATE -	REVISED -		SCALE:	SHEET 1	OF	SHEETS

(1) EXISTING HMA PAVEMENT ② EXISTING HMA SHOULDER ③ EXISTING GUARDRAIL (4) EXISTING CULVERT (5) EXISTING TOPSOIL 6 PROPOSED 2" HMA SC ,MIX "D", N70 () PROPOSED 8' HMA SHOULDER (8) PROPOSED 3' AGG SHOULDER 9 PROPOSED GUARDRAIL **()** PROPOSED CULVERT ① PROPOSED TOPSOIL PROPOSED HMA FULL DEPTH PAVEMENT PATCH (3) PROPOSED STREAM GRADING

10	ONS			F.A.P. RTE	SECT	FION		COUNTY	TOTAL SHEETS	SHEET NO.
Т١	4 OF	STATE	LINE	66				MCHENRY	3	3
		JIAIL		_				CONTRACT	NO.	
s	STA.	15+00	TO STA. 19+00			ILLINOIS	FED. A	ID PROJECT		

			ORIGINAL SURVEY NOTE BOOK	SURVEYED PLOTTED K TEMPLATE		BY		DATE						FINAL SURVEY NOTE BOOK	SURVEYED PLOTTED TEMPLATE		BY	DATE			
Defa	90	<u>) 8</u> (	 7 7		□ 50	50	40	3	0 2	20	10	<u> </u>	0 2	<u>0</u>	AREAS CHECKED _	40 5	50	60	70 (	<u>809</u>	0
\\ldot-p₩																					
bentley.c																					
om:PWID0T																					
\Documen																					
ts/IDOT																					
PLOT SC4	955					R.O.W.	-		4.0	47	U.S. R	OUTE 14		40.05		R.	<b>∲.₩.</b> ──				95:
strict 1\F LE = 20.0	950								40.		=====	×		49.95					_		950
rojects\f 000 '/ ir										8%	0.0%	0.1%	9%								
۰.	945					-++~、	3.8:1						T1	<u> -                                   </u>	<u>\</u>		<u> </u>				94
ADData\\$	940						`\\`		5.11						4:1	4.8:1					940
CHECKE																			10.		
02919-sht	j																				
-xssht_USI																					
4.dgn																					
문문	960																				960
VISED						R.O.W.										R.	<b>o</b> .w.				
	955								18 1		U.S. R	OUTE 14	50								955
	950								3	, 8'  = 8'	12.9′	12.7	/ <u>8′</u>	3'			>				950
									97	6 <u>%</u>	0.0%	0.0%	67	.   <sub>9%</sub>							
	945					i	2′ >2′	3:1/							4:1	2′ 					945
	940					3.1:1															940
DEPA																					
ST	935						_												18 -	+ 00.00	935
ATE																					
F TR																					
LINO	960						_														960
S ORTA																					0.5.6
TION	955					R.Q.W.			48.366	9	U.S. R	OUTE 14	50.	0536		R.(	Q.W.				955
	950								->->	′ <u>8</u> ′	12.9′	12.7	<sup>′</sup> >< <sup>8′</sup>	>≤′<							950
	-								9%	B 6%	0.5%	0.5%	6%	9%							
	945						2′	2	1 Tes										+		945
U. <u>S</u>	940					2.3		//							`````		, ·	`			940
ROUTE																					
14 OV	935						_												<b>17</b> ∙	+ 72.00	935
/ER DI																					
TCH (3																					

s		965																			965
TA. 17-	ES S																				
+26.07	o S	960																			960
10 ST,		955																			955
1. 19+0						F	.o.w.		48.35		U.S. RC	UTE 14	50	.07		R.(	).W.				
0.00		950					<		3′ -> >	<<	13.0′	< 12.7′	>< 8′	3′ <del>K K</del>		>					950
	6 R.F										946.6										
	0	945							H <sup>4</sup>	4%	1.5%	1.5%	4%								945
		0.0							- <u></u>					<u></u> 1							
	SECT 2018-1	940			PROP			37 1		P	ROP. 7'H >	I2'₩ BOX_CULV	/FRT		PRO	P. U.S. ST	REAMBED.	. 937.6			9 <i>4</i> N
ILL INOIS	10N 18-1				1101.	D.3. 311(L				W	/ITH 1' EM	BEDMENT		/	1_						
FED.		075						14.4:1	-}		 \				1%						075
AID PRO	<u>s</u> 0	935												\		EAMBED	approxim	ATELY 11	FEET		935
DJECT	OUNTY CHENR					PROP.	D.S. INV. 9	36.1 —				1' 6	MBEDMEN	IT		P. U.S. IN	V. 936.6		17 +	26.07	
	- ¥-1																				
ļ	EETS																				
	SHEET	9	<u>0 8</u>	0 7	 '0 6	 50 5	 50 4	0 7	0 2			 0 1	0 2	0	30 4	0 5	  0	50 7	<u>0 8</u> 1	<u>7 9</u> 1	0

E-4.2

APPENDIX B SOIL BORING LOCATION PLAN AND SUBSURFACE PROFILES

US HWY 14	
LEGEND         Solid Dorings         Main Met         HINDIG         DDT         202-016         Main         Section           SSG_COMSULTANTS, INC.         Main Met         HINDIG         STATE OF ILLINDIS         HIS_14 HABVARD ILLINDIS         Main         Section	





01 ), B	120.0													
6 LLIN ORIN STA														
OIS Ng Pi														
ROFIL														
<b>Е</b> то s														
та	150.0(	0.												
F R														
A														
SECT.	175.0(	0,												
ION														
FED. A														
CO MCł CON ID PROJE														
UNTY HENRY TRACT														
TOT SHEE 2 NO. PT														
AL SHEET TS NO. 2 B 202-016			890	 900	510	Q10	 920	 930		940	 950	 960	 970	

**APPENDIX C** 

SOIL BORING LOGS

## SOIL BORING LOG

Illinois Department of Transportation

Division of Highways GSG Consultants Inc Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Date 5/31/22

ROUTE	14	DE	SCRI	PTION		F	roposed Culvert Replacement	L0	DGGI	ED BY	C	D
SECTION	2018-118-I		I		10N _	, SEC.	, TWP. , RNG. ,					
	McHenry D	DRI RILLING	LLIN G ME	g rig Thod		Latitu Diedri	de, Longitude <u>ch D-50</u> ΗΑΜΜΕΙ HSAΗΑΜΜΕΙ	R TYPE R EFF (%)		A	<u>uto</u> 98	
STRUCT. NO. Station BORING NO. Station Offset	CB-1 17+26.07		D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu	M O I S T	Surface Water Elev. N// Stream Bed Elev. N// Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter 937. Upon Completion N//	$\frac{\Delta_{1}}{\Delta_{2}} ft$ $\frac{\Delta_{2}}{\Delta_{2}} ft = \Psi$ $\frac{\Delta_{2}}{\Delta_{2}} ft = \Psi$	D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu	M O I S T
Ground Surfa	<b>ce Elev.</b> 946.09	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs. N//	∖_ ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)
8 inches of Asp Dark Brown, D FILL: SILTY CI gravel	ohalt ry to Moist _AY, with sand and	945.42		4 3 3	1.0 P	15	Stiff Gray, Very Moist SILTY CLAY, trace sand and grav (CL/ML)	925.09 el		3 3 4	1.3 B	21
			 	3 2 3	0.3 P	11	Soft to Stiff Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY LOAM (ML/CL)	922.59		2 3 4	0.6 B	15
1 inch of concr recovery	ete at 6.0 feet, low			5 2 2		12	Sand seam at 27.0 feet			9 9 7	0.4 B	12
Brown and Gra FILL: SAND, tr	ay, Moist ace gravel	937.59	 	5 3 3		13				5 5 7	1.5 B	14
Soft to Medium Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY, ti (CL/ML) Low recovery a	) Stiff race sand and grave It 11.0 feet	<u>935.59</u> I	 	4 5 4		14	Cobbles at 31.0 feet			4 6 6		15
Sand seam at	13.5 feet			10 12 10		16				6 6 5	1.7 B	13
Stiff Gray, Moist to V SILTY CLAY L	Very Moist OAM, trace gravel	930.09		2 3 4	1.0 B	23				3 5 5	1.0 B	13
			  	3 2 3	1.8 B	17		906.09		6 7 8	2.1 B	15

End of Boring

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

## Illinois Department of Transportation SOIL BORING LOG

Division of Highways GSG Consultants Inc

Page  $\underline{1}$  of  $\underline{1}$ 

Date 5/31/22

ROUTE	14	DE	SCRI	PTION		F	Proposed Culvert Repla	acement	LC	OGGE	ED BY	D	D
SECTION	2018-118-I		_ L	OCAT	'ION _	, SEC.	, TWP. , RNG. ,						
	McHenry D	drii Rilling	LLING ME	g rig Thod		Diedri	<u>ch D-50</u> HSA	HAMMER	<b>ГҮРЕ</b> EFF (%)		<u>A</u>	uto 98	
STRUCT. NO. Station			D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.	N/A N/A	_ ft _ ft	D E P	B L O	U C S	M 0 1
BORING NO Station Offset	CB-2 17+26.07		H (fft)	W S (/6")	Qu (tsf)	S T (%)	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion	937.8 N/A	_ft ⊻_ _ft	H (fft)	W S (/6")	Qu (tsf)	S T (%)
8 inches of Asr	bhalt	π	(14)	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		(70)	Soft to Very Stiff	N/A	_ π	(14)	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	((3))	(70)
Dark Brown, M FILL: SILTY CL	oist _AY, with sand and	945.64		5	0.0		Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY LOAM, (ML/CL) (continued)	trace gravel			3	0.0	- 00
gravei				7	0.3 P	9					6 4	2.3 B	26
			_	4	NR	NR					2	17	24
			5	2						-25	2	В	
		020 01		3							5		
Light Brown, M FILL: SILTY CL gravel	loist .AY, with sand and	939.01		2	0.4 B	16					3 5	1.9 B	13
		937.31	<b>▼</b>	3							3		
Gray, Moist FILL: SAND, tra	ace gravel	025.94	-10	5 8		14				-30	6 6	1.5 P	12
Soft to Medium Gray, Moist	Stiff	935.61		2							4		
SILTT GLAT, II				3 3	0.4 B	12					5 4	1.7 B	14
			_	3							3		
			- <u>15</u>	6 10	0.8 B	13				- <u>35</u>	6 5	0.5 P	14
Soft to Very Sti	ff	930.31		2							4		
Gray, Moist SILTY CLAY LO (ML/CL)	OAM, trace gravel			2	0.4 B	29					5 6	2.1 B	14
				2							6		
			-20	2 3	0.8 B	18			906.31	-40	6 7	2.3 B	14

End of Boring The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

APPENDIX D

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS



735 Remington Road Schaumburg, IL 60173 Tel: 630.994.2600 www.gsg-consultants.com

## Table D-1 – Atterberg Limits

Boring ID	Sample Depth (ft)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Soil Classification
CB-01	16-17.5	29.0	19.0	10.0	CL
CB-02	11-12.5	18.0	11.0	7.0	CL-ML

## Table D-2 – Dry Unit Weight

Boring ID	Sample Depth (ft)	Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	Wet Unit Weight (pcf)
CB-01	11-12.5	110.5	136.0

