**Prepared for:** Illinois Department of Transportation, District 2 819 Depot Avenue Dixon, Illinois 61021

Structure Designer: Modjeski and Masters, Inc.

### **Prepared By:**

LINO

11/2011

Hanson Professional Services Inc. 1525 South Sixth Street Springfield, Illinois 62703 (217) 788-2450

# Structure Geotechnical Report

F.A.I. Route 74 Section 81-1HVB Rock Island County Job No. P-92-032-01 Contract No. 64C08 PTB No. N/A Retaining Wall IL-RW3 Structure Number 081-6012

March 2011



# **Table of Contents**

1. Project Description	
2. Location	
3. Proposed Structure	
4. Site Investigation	
5. Laboratory Investigation	4
6. Subsurface Profile	4
7. Design Recommendations	
8. Construction Considerations	7
References	8
Appendix	
Tables	0
Table 6.1. Groundwater Elevations	

Copyright © 2011 by Hanson Professional Services Inc. All rights reserved. This document is intended solely for the use of the individual or the entity to which it is addressed. The information contained in this document shall not be duplicated, stored electronically, or distributed, in whole or in part, without the express written permission of Hanson Professional Services Inc., 1525 S. Sixth Street, Springfield, IL 62703-2886 (217)788-2450, www.hanson-inc.com. Unauthorized reproduction or transmission of any part of this document is a violation of federal law.



# 1. Project Description

This report provides geotechnical data and recommendations for the proposed Retaining Wall IL-RW03, which is part of the Central Section of the I-74 over the Mississippi River Project. The project includes reconstruction of I-74 between 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue in Moline, Illinois and Lincoln Road in Bettendorf, Iowa. The retaining wall covered by this structure geotechnical report will be a new structure, constructed to retain fill for the proposed Ramp 6<sup>th</sup>-D roadway.

Nearby project features that have an impact on the design or construction of the proposed retaining wall include the Ramp 6<sup>th</sup>-D Bridge (S.N. 081-0187), the Ramp 6<sup>th</sup>-D roadway, and the 21<sup>st</sup> Street roadway. Geotechnical recommendations for the bridge are presented in a structure geotechnical report prepared by Jacobs Civil Inc. in June 2008. Geotechnical recommendations for the ramp and street will be contained in soil survey reports currently being prepared by Hanson Professional Services Inc. (Hanson).

This report supersedes the structure geotechnical report prepared by CH2M HILL in September 2009.

## 2. Location

The proposed Retaining Wall IL-RW03 is located in the north central portion of Rock Island County, within Section 32 of Township 18 North, Range 1 West. The wall is adjacent to and parallel to the right shoulder of Ramp  $6^{th}$ -D. The wall separates the ramp on the high side from  $21^{st}$  Street on the low side. The wall begins at Ramp  $6^{th}$ -D Sta. 422+75.00 and traverses northward to Sta. 426+00.75.

## 3. Proposed Structure

The proposed structure will be a mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) wall, as determined by a previous value engineering study. A wall using precast panels with the minimum reinforced soil mass width is preferred for cost and construction schedule. The wall will have a height, measured from the theoretical top of leveling pad to the finished grade line, between 3.5 and 27.8 feet. With this range of heights a typical MSE wall section would have an equivalent uniform bearing pressure varying from 500 to 5,200 psf along the length of the wall.

The cross-section of the wall is typical for an Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) structure. A parapet and anchorage slab bears on the reinforced soil mass. This wall has an unusual configuration at the Ramp  $6^{th}$ -D Bridge. The wall continues in a straight line past the bridge abutment, terminating at the toe of the abutment spill slope. Piles for the bridge pass through the reinforced soil mass.

Construction of the wall will be governed by a performance specification. The MSE wall supplier will be responsible for the internal stability of the reinforced soil mass. This report provides geotechnical recommendations for external stability and global stability, which are the responsibility of the wall designer.

# 4. Site Investigation

The field exploration completed for this structure was completed in three phases. The first two phases were completed in November 2005 and September 2007 by another consultant. IDOT provided the data collected from those two phases. The third phase was completed in July 2010 by Hanson. The primary purpose of the third phase was to collect additional soil samples for strength and consolidation testing. A representative from Hanson logged the borings and performed a general site reconnaissance during the third phase.

The alignment for the proposed retaining wall cuts through two city blocks that are currently occupied by several one-story and two-story homes, a parking lot, two alleys, and 5th Avenue. The existing parking lot and 5<sup>th</sup>



Avenue are hot mix asphalt pavement with thickness ranging from 2 to 6 inches. The topography slopes gradually down toward the north (riverward direction) between 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, with a change of elevation from 580 feet to 574 feet.

Six borings were drilled in the first two phases and three borings were drilled in the third phase. Locations of the borings were selected to avoid the numerous obstructions currently occupying the site. The maximum spacing between borings was approximately 100 feet. Standard Penetration Test samples were collected at 2.5 ft. to 5.0 ft. intervals in all borings between the ground surface and bedrock. Several Shelby tube samples were collected at representative locations in cohesive strata. A 25 ft. long core sample of the bedrock was collected in Boring PRMPD-05 and a 10 ft. long core sample was collected in Boring ILR0302. The boring depths ranged from 13.0 ft. to 42.5 ft.

The boring locations are shown on the Boring Location Plan included in the Appendix. Boring logs are included in the Appendix.

## 5. Laboratory Investigation

Soil samples from the first and second phase borings were tested by others. The testing generally was limited to index testing of representative samples. One unconfined compression test of a rock core sample was completed.

The soil samples obtained from the third phase borings were delivered to Hanson's soils laboratory and subjected to a testing program. Natural moisture content and visual classification tests were competed on all samples. Unconfined compressive strength tests, using a Rimac spring tester, were also completed when possible. Six unconfined compression tests, one consolidated undrained triaxial test envelope, one unconsolidated undrained triaxial test envelope, and one consolidation test were performed on Shelby tube samples. Index testing was completed on two samples to help correlate the strength and consolidation testing data with the other borings drilled for the project.

The strength and consolidation properties of the soils were rather unusual. Although the cohesive soils had low shear strengths, they were highly overconsolidated. Samples collected for other portions of the I-74 project have had similar test results.

The locations of the index tests, triaxial tests, and consolidation tests are indicated on the subsurface data profile. All laboratory test data is included in the Appendix.

# 6. Subsurface Profile

A subsurface data profile has been developed from the boring logs. It is presented in the Appendix for use by the structure designer.

The subsurface profile consists of upper fill materials of varying depths overlying natural soil and bedrock strata. The fill consists of mostly cohesive and sometimes granular materials with variable thicknesses ranging from less than 1.0 ft to as much as 9.0 ft. The fill materials are underlain by natural cohesive soils that extend to depths of 8.5 to 13.5 ft below ground surface. Underlying the cohesive soils is a somewhat continuous stratum of waterbearing granular soils that extends to depths of 13 to 18 ft below the ground surface. Shale, siltstone, sandstone and limestone bedrock was encountered beginning at depths of 13.0 to 18.0 ft. below the ground surface. The bedrock stratigraphy is very erratic, with no apparent sequencing pattern.

The upper fill materials and cohesive natural soils exhibit decreasing unconfined compressive strengths with depth ranging from a high of 1.5 tsf in the upper profile to less than 0.5 tsf at the base of the natural cohesive



stratum. The N-values exhibit a similar trend ranging from a high of 10 to less than 5 in the lower portions of the natural cohesive stratum. Some penetration values are recorded as the weight of hammer (WOH), indicating very soft materials. The N-values in the underlying natural granular stratum are higher, generally exceeding 8 and much higher. Penetration refusal was encountered in the bedrock strata and rock coring techniques were required to obtain samples.

Groundwater was encountered in most of the borings. The groundwater elevation measured at first encounter in the borings varied between Elevation 561.4 and Elevation 566.7 as shown in Table 6.1. Stabilized readings were not taken in any of the borings. The depths at which groundwater was encountered correlate fairly well with the depths at which the granular stratum is present. For comparison, the water level in the Mississippi River, approximately 1,500 ft to the north of the site, is usually about Elevation 561.0.

# Table 6.1 Groundwater Elevations

Boring No.	During Drilling	At End of Boring	24-hour Reading
ILR0301	564.8	-	-
ILR0302	566.7	-	-
ILR0303	565.8	-	+ (
PRMPD02	-	-	
PRMPD03	561.4	-	X
PRMPD-05	564.1	-	
PRMP6thD-03	562.4	-	
RW03-1	-	-	
RW03-2	566.7	-	-

The Illinois State Geological Survey Directory of Coal Mines does not list any mines in the immediate vicinity of the site.

# 7. Design Recommendations

Considering the proposed maximum height of the wall and the existing ground configuration, the most feasible wall type will be an MSE wall. Although MSE wall systems are extremely flexible and can tolerate significant total and differential settlements without undue distress, they require good foundation soils to provide acceptable factors of safety against bearing capacity or global stability failures. The native cohesive soils found at this site are relatively weak and will not support the weight of a conventional MSE wall. This is not an insurmountable problem. Typically, the alternative solutions are to either reduce the wall's bearing pressure or to increase the foundation soils' strength.

When designing for the external stability of the MSE wall, it should be assumed that the reinforced soil mass will be composed of a granular select backfill and the fill behind the reinforced soil mass will be embankment material as defined by the IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction (IDOT Standard Specifications). Both materials should be assumed to have a total unit weight of 125 pcf. The active earth pressure coefficient of the embankment fill could vary greatly depending on the actual material used, but should be assumed to be 0.36 for design.

The native soils at the base of the wall have shear strengths of 650 to 1,500 psf under undrained loading or 0.60 times the effective vertical stress under drained loading. The native soils have an allowable bearing capacity between 900 and 1,850 psf when all soil layers within the zone of influence are considered. If a 0.70 length to



height ratio is assumed for the reinforced soil mass, the equivalent uniform bearing pressure of the wall will vary between 500 and 5,200 psf. The equivalent uniform bearing pressure can be reduced to 4,500 psf, if a 0.90 length to height ration is specified. A shear strength of 100 to 1,550 psf would be needed to satisfy a 1.50 factor of safety for sliding. The proposed wall would not meet the Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges (AASHTO) requirements for bearing pressure and sliding stability.

Most of the native soils exhibit low compressibility. The estimated total settlement under the weight of the proposed wall ranges from 0.3 to 1 inch. Miscellaneous fill with high relative moisture content was encountered in Boring PRMP6THD-03. This material has the potential for much higher settlement, perhaps as much as 4.5 inches based on assumed parameters. The settlement is expected to occur quickly, with 90 percent complete within three weeks of completion. The magnitude of settlement is not of concern; however, differential settlement has the potential to cause distress to the concrete facing panels.

A slope stability analysis of the wall's highest point was completed to determine the overall stability of the wall. Results of that analysis are included in the Appendix. The 0.88 factor of safety is much lower than the minimum 1.50 value required by AASHTO.

Several potential treatment options were considered. Widening the reinforced soil mass, using lightweight fill, and raising the wall in stages are not feasible for this wall. Removal and replacement of the foundation soils and ground improvement with aggregate columns are viable solutions. Removal and replacement would require excavation below the water table, underwater placement of granular backfill, and shoring along the northeast corner of the excavation. These factors increase the complexity and cost. Aggregate column ground improvement is the recommended treatment option. The lump sum cost of the treatment is expected to be \$100,000 to \$200,000.

Our analyses indicate that stone column ground improvement of an area bounded by a line 4 ft. beyond the perimeter of the reinforced soil mass would satisfy the AASHTO requirements for bearing capacity, sliding resistance, and overall stability. The stone columns would also reduce the total settlement and shorten the settlement period. The stone columns would extend from the base of the reinforced soil mass to bedrock or dense granular material at approximately Elevation 561. An area replacement ratio between 21 and 31 percent would be required. Our analyses used a 2.0 factor of safety against bearing capacity failure, because the use of a 2.5 factor of safety requires very high replacement ratios. It should be noted that a factor of safety of 2.0 is commonly used with stone columns supporting other types of structures and is explicitly allowed by AASHTO when "justified by a geotechnical analysis".

Although ground improvement with tamper compacted aggregate columns was not expressly investigated, it is expected that the wall could be successfully constructed using that technology. Stone column (vibrator compacted aggregate columns) and tamper compacted aggregate columns may be collectively referred to as aggregate column ground improvement. With either type of construction, the results are highly dependent upon the equipment and techniques used to install the aggregate columns. The contractors that perform this type of work routinely design the improvement to specific geotechnical performance requirements.

We recommend that the approximate horizontal limits of the aggregate column ground improvement be defined as an area bounded by a line 4 ft. beyond the perimeter of the reinforced soil mass. The contractor should be required to satisfy the following performance requirements:

- 1. Minimum factor of safety of 1.5 against global slope stability failure.
- 2. Minimum factor of safety of 2.0 against equivalent uniform service bearing pressure failure if a load test is performed.



- 3. Minimum factor of safety of 2.5 against equivalent uniform service bearing pressure failure if a load test is not performed.
- 4. Total settlement measured at the base of the wall not to exceed 4.0 inches.
- 5. Total settlement measured on the pavement not to exceed 1.0 inch.
- 6. Differential settlement measured along the base of the wall not to exceed 1/100.

With the ground improvement, a conventional precast panel MSE wall is feasible. The theoretical top of leveling pad or base of reinforced soil mass may be located at the minimum embedment required by IDOT (3'-6" below finished grade). Any removals or other excavation below the reinforced soil mass should be backfilled with either the select backfill used in the reinforced soil mass or the granular material used as a drainage layer or working platform for the aggregate column ground improvement design. Other material outside the limits of the reinforced soil mass may be embankment fill in accordance with the IDOT Standard Specifications. The external stability design should be completed using a unit weight of 125 pcf and an active earth pressure coefficient of 0.36. The calculated bearing pressures should not be compared to allowable bearing pressures of the native soils. Instead, the calculated bearing pressures will be given as a performance requirement for the aggregate column ground improvement. We recommend limiting the equivalent uniform bearing pressure to approximately 4,500 psf in order to keep the area replacement ratio reasonable. To accomplish this, a 0.90 length to height ratio should be specified from Sta. 425+00.00 to 425+64.75. The minimum ratio specified by AASHTO (0.70) will be acceptable for the remainder of the wall.

# 8. Construction Considerations

The construction of MSE walls and aggregate column ground improvement are not covered by the IDOT Standard Specifications. Guide Bridge Special Provisions No. 38, Mechanically Stabilized Earth Retaining Walls (Revised: January 18, 2011), and No. 71, Aggregate Column Ground Improvement (Revised: October 4, 2010), should be included in the construction documents. These special provisions require that the contractor take responsibility for the final design of much of the structure.

The general contractor will hire a specialty contractor to design and install the aggregate column ground improvement. He will also hire an MSE wall supplier to complete the MSE wall design and furnish the materials. The interdependence of the ground improvement and MSE wall designs must be considered when developing the plans. The MSE wall supplier will typically design a wall with a horizontal base with vertical steps at convenient locations. This results in a wall that is slightly taller and wider than the theoretical size shown on the construction plans. The wall supplier may also use different assumptions for unit weight and lateral earth pressure on the reinforced soil mass. Because of these factors, the target bearing pressure for the ground improvement contractor should be 5% to 10% higher than the theoretical value calculated during preliminary design.

The ground improvement contractor will need to assign strength and consolidation properties to the native soils in order to design the aggregate columns. All of the soils laboratory data in the appendix to this report should be included in the contract documents. Usually, this is accomplished by adding a "Geotechnical Investigation Laboratory Data" section to the special provisions.

Obstructions, such as old foundations, pavements, utilities, etc., that are within the area to be treated with aggregate column ground improvement should be removed. Although it is possible to predrill the columns through large obstructions or space the columns around smaller obstructions, this increases the cost and reduces the effectiveness of the ground improvement.

The piles for the Ramp  $6^{\text{th}}$ -D Bridge (S.N. 081-0187), which are located within the reinforced soil mass for this wall, will interfere with the placement and compaction of the select backfill. The piles must either be driven prior to placing the select backfill or driven through sleeves after placing the select backfill.



## References

- American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (2002). *Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges*, 17<sup>th</sup> Edition.
- CH2M HILL (2009, September). Structure Geotechnical Report Ramp 6th-D Retaining Wall Structure Number 081-6012.

Illinois Department of Transportation (2009). Bridge Manual.

Illinois Department of Transportation (1999). Geotechnical Manual.

- Illinois Department of Transportation (2007). Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction.
- Illinois State Geological Survey, Rock Island County coal data, Retrieved July 30, 2010 from <a href="http://www.isgs.illinois.edu/maps-data-pub/coal-maps/counties/rockisland.shtml">http://www.isgs.illinois.edu/maps-data-pub/coal-maps/counties/rockisland.shtml</a>.
- Jacobs Civil Inc. (2008, June). Structure Geotechnical Report Proposed Structure No. 081-0187.
- U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (1983, December). *Design and Construction of Stone Columns* (Report No. FHWA/RD-83/026).
- U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (1997, August). *Mechanically Stabilized Earth Walls and Reinforced Soil Slopes Design and Construction Guidelines* (Publication No. FHWA-SA-96-071).



# Appendix

Boring Location Plan Subsurface Data Profile Boring Logs Soils Laboratory Test Results Summary of Slope Stability Analysis



\_\_\_\_\_

ILR0. Sta. 422+0	0303 08, 9′, F	۲ <i>۲</i>	<i>,</i>		ILRC Sta. 422+5	)302 58, 14,		
576.78 <sub>-</sub>	<u>N</u>		Concrete		576.65	N	<u>uu wž</u>	Topsoil - dark brown
574.78	2	0.6	Silty Sand (SM) - gravish brown,	slightly moist, very loose,	575.65-	8	4.5P	Silt (ML) - Dark brown to yellow or fine sand
572.78-	2	1.0P	Sample 1: grain size analysis period	ormed	570.05	10	4.5P	trace coarse sand
	2	0.9	Sample 2: Atterberg limit (LL=36 Rimac: Pu = 48 lbs	PI=21) test performed	570.65	7	1.5P	Lean Clay (ML-CL) - Little gravel, i streaks, dry to moist, very loose to
566.78	5		Sample 3: Atterberg limit (LL=45,	PI=24) test performed	566.65 V	4	1.5P	Sample 4 (8'-10'): Atterberg limit te
565.78 V	50/4	1"	Slity Sand (SM) – dark gray, mois trace gravel	t to wet, medium dense,	565.65-			Very Silty Fine to Coarse Sand (SM) gravel, wet, possible gumpo, tried to
565.76			Bottom of hole = 13.0 feet		562.65	18		Coarse grained soil af 12' prevented Shelby tube recovery collected in bo cobbles from 12' to 13' (heavy aring
					560.45	50/4"	0.8	Sample 5 (13'-15'); grain size analy Clayey Fine to Coarse Sand (SC) -
					555 75	•	$\square$	Sample 6 (16'-18'): grain size analystests performed
					555.75	Rec ROI	. = 85% ) = 50%	Weathered Sandstone - Top 2" mea light gray rock fragments, possible clay, wet, some fine to coarse sand
								gray streaks, dry, hard, impermeable cementation, possible completely week
						Red ROL	5. = 82% 5 = 43%	Limestone -
					545 75-			MISSING ROCK CORE
								Bottom of hole = 39.0 feet
				XV				
				$\sim$				
			X					





ange, dry, loose, little medium to

medium brown with yellowish orange o loose

test performed (LL=35, PI=20)

1) - Gray with mingled brown, little to obtain ST from 11' to 13' but ad from push a full sample ag sample, coarse gravels and fine nding) ysis performed

little gravel, greenish gray with gray dium dense, possible weathered rock ysis and Atterberg limit (LL=30, PI=19)

dium to fine gravel sized very angular lightly weathered rock with silt and ds: remainder: light gray with greenish ole, silt with fine sands, very strong eathered sandstone

<u>LEGEND</u>

Ν Standard Penetration Test N (blows/ft)

Qu Unconfined Strength (tsf)

w% Natural Moisture Content (%)

Q Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Test

R Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test

С Consolidation Test

DD Water Surface Elevation Encountered in Boring

 $558.10 \frac{1}{24h} = 24 \text{ hours after completion}$ 

N∩. 1	F.A.I RTE.			S	ECī	TION			CO	UNTY	T( SH	DTAL EETS	SHEET NO.
	74			8	1-1)	HVB			ROCK	ISLAND		-	
HEETS									CON	TRACT	NC	). 6	4008
	FED. R	≷OAD	DIST.	N0.	_	ILLINOIS	FED.	ΑI	D PROJ	IECT			





PROFESSIONAL DESIGN FIRM LICENSE #184-001084



FILL - Very dark brown, moist to wet, medium stiff to stiff, silty, lean CLAY

FILL - Brown, iron staining visible, moist, medium stiff, sandy, clayey SILT with trace gravel, and small metal scraps, debris

Brown, moist, medium stiff, silty CLAY

Brown, wet, GRAVEL, limestone fragments

Gray, WEATHERED LIMESTONE, clayey shale filled voids Bottom of hole = 18.1 feet

#### <u>LEGEND</u>

- N Standard Penetration Test N (blows/ft)
- Qu Unconfined Strength (tsf)
- w% Natural Moisture Content (%)
- [] Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- R Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- C Consolidation Test
- DD Water Surface Elevation Encountered in Boring

DD = during drilling 24h = 24 hours after completion

NO. 2	F.A.I RTE.			S	EC1	FION		CO	UNTY	T ( SH	)TAL EETS	SHEET NO.	
	74			8	1-1	HVB			ROCK	ISLAND		-	
IEETS									CON	TRACT	NC	). 6	4008
	FED. F	ROAD	DIST.	N0.	_	ILLINOIS	FED.	AI	D PROJ	ECT			





<u>u</u>	<u>w%</u>		
	7		ASPHALT FILL - Dark brown, SILT with fine-grained sand and gravel
50P 50P 50P 57S 55S	19 19 23 22 27 31 33		FILL - Brown and gray, moist, loose, very-fine to medium-grained SAND and SILT with gravel FILL - Gray, moist, loose, silty, medium-grained SAND with clay, wood debris Gray with brown mottles, silty, lean CLAY with fine-grained sand (LL=36, PI=17)
	62	_/	Gray, wet, very soft, clayey SILT INTACT ROCK Battom of hole = 13.5 feet

LEGEND

- N Standard Penetration Test N (blows/ft)
- Qu Unconfined Strength (tsf)
- w% Natural Moisture Content (%)
- [] Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- R Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- C Consolidation Test
- DD Water Surface Elevation Encountered in Boring

558.10

DD = during drilling 24h = 24 hours after completion

NO 3	F.A.I RTE.			SE	ECT	ION			CO	UNTY	T ( SH	)TAL EETS	Sł 5  - I	HEET NO.
	74			81	l - 1H	HVB			ROCK	ISLAND	)	-		
IEETS									CON	TRACT	NC	. 6	54C	80
	FED.	ROAD	DIST.	N0.	-	ILLINOIS	FED.	AI	D PROJ	IECT				
ILEIS	FED.	ROAD	DIST.	N0.	-	ILLINOIS	FED.	AI	CON D PROJ	IRACI IECT	NU	) <b>.</b> (	540	<u> </u>

PRMF Sta. 425+7	2002 74, 26' RT	
574.20-	<u>N Qu w%</u>	
		Clay (CL) - Clay, few gravel, trace sand, dark brown, dry to moist, homogeneous.
	8 1.3P	Clay, few gravel and sand, dark brown, dry to moist, homogeneous.
	3 0.9P	Clay, trace sand and gravel, dark brown, dry to moist, homogeneous.
	3 0.6P 13.0	No Sample
565 20-	24	
303.20	1 0.3P 37.0 50/3" 0.8P	Silty Clay (CL) - Silty Clay, trace sand and gravel, gray mottled orange brown and dark brown, moist, homogeneous. Shelby tube sample T-1 from 9'-11' from adjacent location having mc: 28%, dry density: 84.5pcf and UC: 920psf
560.70-	50/2"	Silty Clay, trace sand and gravel, gray mottled orange brown and dark brown, moist,
500.70	Rec. = 82%	Limestone - Limestone aray fine to coarse arained moderately weathered weak
	Rec. = 100%	rock, laminated to thin beds, vugs present. Auger refusal at 13.5; begin rock core at 13.5' at 10:27, horizontal and vertical fractures, extremely fractured to slightly fractured, extremely close to close discontinuity, rough to smooth (undulating and planar) joints, tightly healed to sandy particles in joints with no rock wall separation, stylolites present. Coring rate smooth, slow beginning, but overall fast; no rod drops.
	Rec. = 100%	Limestone, gray, fine to course grained, moderately weathered, strong to very strong rock, laminated to thin beds, vugs present. Horizontal fractures, extremely fractured to slightly fractured, extremely close to close discontinuity, rough to smooth (undulating and planar) joints, tightly healed to slightly altered with sandy particles in joints, stylolites present.
	Rec. = 97% ROD = 97%	Limestone, gray, fine to medium grained, slightly weathered, medium strength, thin to medium beds, vugs present. At 23.5' changed bit to one for limestone coring. Horizontal fractures, sound, moderate to wide discontinuity, rough to smooth (planar) joints, tightly healed to unaltered joints with hard dark mineral on joints walls, stylolites present.
542.70		Linestone, grad, The To mealum grained, slightly wednered, mealum strength, medium beds. Ran out of water; stopped at 18' of rock core. Horizontal fractures, sound, moderatel discontinuity, rough undulating joints, slightly altered joints with sondy particles and <1/4" thick rock wall separation, stylolites present.
		Bottom of hole = 31.5 feet





#### <u>LEGEND</u>

- N Standard Penetration Test N (blows/ft)
- Unconfined Strength (tsf) Qu
- Natural Moisture Content (%) w%
- Q Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- R Consolidated Undrained Triaxial Test
- С Consolidation Test
- DD Water Surface Elevation Encountered in Boring
- DD = during drilling 24h = 24 hours after completion

NO 4	F.A.I RTE.			S	EC1	ION			CO	UNTY	T O She	TAL EETS	SHEET NO.
	74			8	1-1	HVB			ROCK	ISLAND		-	
HEETS									CON	TRACT	NO.	. 6	4C08
	FED.	ROAD	DIST.	N0.	-	ILLINOIS	FED.	AI	D PROJ	ECT			

R	Illinois Dep	partme	nt	9	SC	IL BORIN	IG LOG	Page	<u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
ROUTE	Division of Highways CH2M HILL	DESCR		New	1-74	Bridge Over Mississip Approach	pi River - Illinois	Date LOGGED BY	<u>9/19/07</u> KB
SECTION	I-74 Bridge over Miss River	sissippi		ON (N	N=563	3805.847. E=2459619	.415). SEC. 32. TW	P. 18N. RNG.	1W, 4 <sup>th</sup> PM
COUNTY	Rock Island DI	RILLING ME	THOD	_	F	ISA, CME 55	HAMMER TYPE	CME AU	TOMATIC
STRUCT. Station BORING I Station Offset Ground	NO NOILR0301 Surface Elev575.78	D E P T H ft (ft)	B L O W S (/6")	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion After Hrs.	ft ft ft ft		3
Lean Clay yellowish wet deepe plasticity, Sample 4 performed	<b>(CL)</b> brown, moist to 11.0', er, loose, moderate trace sand : Atterberg limit test d (LL=31, PI=11)	569.78	5 3 3 2 3 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3						
Fine to Co yellowish Sample 6 analysis p Fine to Co light brow dense, tra End of Bo	oarse Silty Sand (SM) brown, little gravel, loose (12'13.5'): grain size berformed oarse Sand (SP) m, wet, dense to very ace silt	563.78 	3 4 4 30 5 50/2"						

of Transpo	rtati	on	nt		SC	DIL BORING LOG		uge	<u> </u>	-
Division of Highways CH2M HILL				No	w 1.74	Bridge Over Mississippi Biver - Illinois		Date	9/2	6/07
ROUTE I-74	_ DES	SCRI	PTION		w 1-74	Approach	LOGGE	D BY	F. A	breu
I-74 Bridge over Missi SECTION River	issippi	_ L	OCAT		(N=56	3752.136, E=2459609.413), SEC. 32, TW	P. 18N,	RNG.	1W, 4	1 <sup>th</sup> PN
COUNTY Rock Island DR	ILLING	ME	THOD		ł	HSA, CME 55 HAMMER TYPE	CM	E AU	тома	TIC
		D	в	п	м	a		B	u I	м
Station	-	E	L	c	0	Surface Water Elev ft	E	Ľ	č	0
Station		P	0	S	1		P	0	S	1
BORING NO II R0302		Т	w		S	Groundwater Fley :	Т	W		S
Station		н	S	Qu	T	First Encounter 566.7 ft	H	S	Qu	Т
Offset	_					Upon Completion ft				
Ground Surface Elev. 576.65	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs. ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
Topsoil		22.3				Weathered Sandstone				
dark brown	E7E 05	-	1			Top 2" medium to fine gravel				
Silt (ML)	575.65	-	3			sized very angular light gray rock	<u> </u>			
Dark brown to vellow orange. drv.		-	3	45		fragments, possible lightly	-			
pose, little medium to fine sand			1	- T.U		weathered rok with silt and clay,	-			
		_	4	F		wet, some coarse to fine sands	-			
		ينشي	4		-	grav streaks dry bard				
race coarse sand		_	5			impermeable silt with fine sands	_		1 1	
		_	4	4.5		very strong cementation, possible				
			5	P		completely weathered sandstone				
		-5	5	2.5		(continued)	-25			
						Borehole continued with rock		4		
	570.65	_	1		h	coring.				
ean Clay <b>&gt; (ML-CL)</b>			4							
ittle gravel, medium brown with		-	4	1.5		•	-			
ellowish orange streaks, dry to			3_	Р						
moist, very loose to loose		-	4				-			
Sample 4 (9' 10'): Attachara limit			2		-	1				
est performed (1 1 = 35 PI=20)		-	4	15	-	-1	-			
est performed (EE=55, PI=20)		—		1.5 D				6		
		-	2	P			_			
		<b>V</b> -10	2	<u> </u>	-	-	-30			
		_					_			
	565.65									
Very Silty Fine to Coarse Sand							_			
SM)										
Gray with mingled brown, little										
o obtain ST from 11' to 13' but			1							
coarse grained soil at 12'		-	5				-			
prevented from push a full sample	562 65	-	7				_			
Shelby tube recovery collected in	002.00		4							
bag sample, coarse gravels and		45	14				25			
fine cobbles from 12' to 13' (heavy		-15			-	-	-35			
grinding)		-	-							
analysis performed	560.45	_	26							
Clavey Fine to Coarse Sand (SC)		_	30	0.0		-1	_			
ittle gravel greenish grav with	) I:		45	0.8						
aray streaks, dry, trace fine sands		-	50/4				_			
medium dense. possible						4				
weathered rock			50/5							
Sample 6 (16'-18'): grain size										
analysis and Atterberg limit			1							
(LL=30, PI=19) tests performed			1				40			

Illinois Depar	rtme	nt			Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
of Transport	ation	1		SC	DIL BORING LOG
ROUTE I-74	DESCR	IPTION	Nev	w I-74	Bridge Over Mississippi River - Illinois Approach LOGGED BY KB
I-74 Bridge over Mississi SECTION River	ppi	LOCAT		(N=56	3709.105, E=2459644.732), <b>SEC.</b> 32, <b>TWP.</b> 18N, <b>RNG.</b> 1W, 4 <sup>th</sup> <b>PM</b>
COUNTY Rock Island DRILL	ING ME	THOD		ŀ	HSA, CME 55 HAMMER TYPE CME AUTOMATIC
STRUCT. NO.           Station           BORING NO.           ILR0303           Station	D E P T H	B L O W S	U C S Qu	M O I S T	Surface Water Elev ft Stream Bed Elev ft Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter 565.8 ft
Offset	6 (ft)	(/6")	(tef)	(%)	Upon Completionft
Ground Surface Elev. 576.78	π (11)	(/0 )	(151)	(70)	After Hrs ft
57					
Silty Sand (SM) grayish brown, slightly moist, very	-	1	0.6		
trace fines⊡Rimac: Pu = 30 lbs Sample 1: grain size analysis	2.78	1			
Lean Clay (CL) brown to dark brown, moist, very loose	5	0	1.0 P		
Sample 2: Atterberg limit (LL=36, PI=21) test performed Rimac: Pu = 48 lbs		0	0.9		
Sample 3: Atterberg limit (LL=45, PI=24) test performed	_	0			
56	3 78 10	23			
Silty Sand (SM) dark gray, moist to wet, medium	<u> </u>	2			
dense, lidee graver		12			
563		00/0			
End of Boring		-			
		-			
	_				
	_				
	-20	0			

Illinois Depart	me	nt		SC	Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
Division of Highways CH2M HILL			No	W 1-74	Date <u>11/1/05</u>
ROUTE I-74 D	ESCR	IPTION	1	W 1-7-4	Approach LOGGED BY L. Hunt
I-74 Bridge over Mississip	oi I	LOCAT		(N=56	4067.532, E=2459567.858), <b>SEC</b> . 32, <b>TWP</b> . 18N, <b>RNG</b> . 1W, 4 <sup>th</sup> <b>PM</b>
COUNTY Rock Island DRILLI	NG ME	THOD		ł	HSA, CME 55 HAMMER TYPE CME AUTOMATIC
STRUCT. NO Station BORING NO Station Offset Ground Surface Elev574.20 ff	D E P T H	B L O W S (/6")	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev.       ft         Stream Bed Elev.       ft         Groundwater Elev.:       ft         First Encounter       ft         Upon Completion       ft         After Hrs.       ft
Clay (CL) little gravel, trace sand, dark brown, dry to moist, firm to	-				
stiff		6			
		4	1.3	-	
	-	4	F		
	-	WOH			
		1	0.9		
÷	-	1	P		
	{	2	-		
	-	1	0.6	13.0	
			P	10.0	
		2			
	_	WOH			
		WOH			
	-	8			
Silty Clay (CL) trace sand and	20	16		-	
gravel, gray mottled orange brown	-10	WOH	0.3	37.0	-
and dark brown, moist, soft to		WOH	Р		
9'-11' from adjacent location		1	<u> </u>		
having mc: 28%, dry density:	-	WOH	0.8	-	
64.5pci and 00. 920psi	-	50/3	P.0.0		
	-	1			
560.	70	50/2			
Borehole continued with rock coring.	_				
	-1	5			
	-	-			
		1			
	-	1			
		]			
	_	-			
	-	-			
		1			
	-20	D			

Illinois Dep	artme	ent		sc		G		Page	1	of <u>1</u>
Division of Highways CH2M HILL	Tatio		Ne	w I-74	Bridge Over Mississippi River - Illin	nois		Date	11/	1/05
ROUTE I-74	DESC	RIPTION	۱		Approach	L	OGGE	DBY	L.F	lunt
SECTION I-74 Bridge over Miss	sissippi	LOCAT		(N=56	3955.586, E=2459599.114), <b>SEC.</b>	32, <b>TWP</b>	. 18N,	RNG.	1W, 4	4 <sup>th</sup> PM
COUNTY Rock Island DR		ETHOD		ł	HSA, CME 55 HAMME	RTYPE	CN	IE AU	тома	TIC
STRUCT. NO	D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S T	Surface Water Elev Stream Bed Elev Groundwater Elev.:	ft ft	D E P T	BLOW	UCS	M O I S T
Station	—  "	3	Gu		First Encounter 561.4	ft T		3	Qu	•
Ground Surface Elev. 573.45	ft (ff	) (/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs.	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
Fill Clay (CL) trace gravel, sand, and brick, dark brown, dry to moist, homogeneous.		5			Shale Shale, gray, moist, homogeneous. (continued)	7				
aravel on top of sediment.		6	1.5				_		6	
grandi di top di detamini	-	6 4	P				_			
Clay (CL)trace to little silt, trace		2					_			
stiff	-	2	1.6		No Cample			50/0		
		-5 4			No Sample.		-25	50/0	-	
		1	10			F 47 45	_			
		3	P			547.45	) 			
Silty Clay (CL, CL-ML) gray brown, mottled orange brown and dark brown, dry to moist, soft to firm		- 3 WOH 2 2	0.6 P			544.95				
	564.45	3			Auger refusal at 28.5'; end of					
	X	1 10 2	0.4 P		End of Boring		-30			
Sandy Clay to Sand (SC) Sandy Clay to Sand, gray, moist to wet	Ţ	$-\frac{3}{1}$								
Siltstone Siltstone, little sand, gray, moist, homogeneous.	560.45	10			-		1			
2,0		9 16 15 30			-		-35			
	-						_			
	_	_								
	554.45						-			
Snale Shale, gray, moist, homogeneous.		20			-		-40			

of Transpo	rtati	on			30	Date
ROUTE 1-74	DE	SCRI	PTION	Nev	w I-74	Bridge Over Mississippi River - Illinois
I-74 Bridge over Miss	issippi				(N) 50	
SECTION River		- '	OCA1	ION _	(N=56	4029.213, E=2459513.152), <b>SEC.</b> 32, <b>TWP</b> . 18N, <b>RNG.</b> 1W, 4 <sup></sup> F
COUNTY Rock Island DF	RILLING	ME	THOD	_	ł	HSA, CME 55 HAMMER TYPE CME AUTOMATIC
STRUCT. NO.		D	в	U	М	Surface Water Elev ft
Station 437+80.7	_	P	L O	C S	0	Stream Bed Elev ft
BORING NO PRMPD-05		Т	W	0	S	Groundwater Elev.:
Station	-	"	3	Qu		First Encounter <u>564.1</u> ft Upon Completion ft
Ground Surface Elev. 575.10	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs ft
PAVEMENT - asphalt and base course	574.40	-				
SILT - black, with rubble (FILL)	574.10	_	4			
			6			
		-	5			
	571.60					
brown, slightly to medium plastic,			2	0.5	24.9	X
medium stiff, moist		-5	2	В		
		-				
		-	1			
			2	0.7	38.9	
Attempted Shelby tube at				в		
8.5'-10.5'; no recovery]	566.60					
SAND - red brown, fine grained, loose, wet		-				
	X	-10				
		-				
[Attempted Shelby tube at 11'-13';		<b>Y</b>	1			
no recovery; followed up with		_	1			
		~ <del>~</del>	1		-	-
		-				
SHALE, green grey clayey	561.10		1	11	23.6	
severely weathered		-15	13	B	23.0	
					1	
			12	11		
Borehole continued with rock	558.40		50/1"	B		4
coring.		-				
		_				
		-				

CH2M HILL	New I-74 Bridge Over Mississipp	pi River - II	linois		D	ate	9/7/07
ROUTE 1-74	DESCRIPTION Approach			_ LO	GGED	BY	SL
SECTION River	LOCATION (N=564029.213, E=2459513)	.152), <b>SEC</b>	. 32,	TWP.	18N, F	RNG. 1W	, 4 <sup>th</sup> <b>PM</b>
COUNTY Rock Island CO	ORING METHOD NQ Core		_	R E	R	CORE	S T
STRUCT. NO.	CORING BARREL TYPE & SIZE NQ Wireli	ne D	C	C	i		R
Station 437+80.7	Core Diameter 18 in	E	o	v		M	N
BORING NO PRMPD-05	Top of Rock Elev. 561.60 ft	Р	R	E	D	E	G
Station	Begin Core Elev. 558.40 ft	T	E	R			T
Offset		E CON		1	10/1		п (1-0
Ground Surface Elev. 575.10	ft	(#)	(#)	(%)	(%)	(min/ft)	(tsf)
SANDSTONE - medium gray, very partings, conglomeratic at 17.5'-18	/ fine grained, silt in matrix, abundant shale 5 8.1' (TRANSITIONAL)	558.40	Run 1	82	23	1	
			Run 2	100	95	1.2	
			-				
			Run	97	87	1	
			Run 3	97	87	1	
	50		Run 3	97	87	1	
			Run 3	97	87	1	
			Run 3	97	87	1	1081.2
			Run 3	97	87	1	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across st	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6'		Run 3	97	87	1	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6'		Run 3	97	87	1	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6'		Run	97	87	1	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6'		Run Run	97	87	2.6	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty -thick bedded, occasional stylolites	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6' s at 30.6'-35.6'		Run 3 Run 4	97	87	2.6	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty -thick bedded, occasional stylolites	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6' s at 30.6'-35.6'		Run 3 Run 4	97	87	2.6	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty -thick bedded, occasional stylolites -minor pitting with some "birdseye"	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6' s at 30.6'-35.6' " texture from 32.1' to 35.6'		Run 3 Run 4	97	87	2.6	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty -thick bedded, occasional stylolites -minor pitting with some "birdseye'	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6' s at 30.6'-35.6' " texture from 32.1' to 35.6'		Run 3 Run 4	97	87	2.6	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty -thick bedded, occasional stylolites -minor pitting with some "birdseye'	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6' s at 30.6'-35.6' " texture from 32.1' to 35.6'		Run 3 Run 4	97	87	2.6	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty -thick bedded, occasional stylolites -minor pitting with some "birdseye'	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6' s at 30.6'-35.6' " texture from 32.1' to 35.6'		Run 3 Run 4	97	87	2.6	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty -thick bedded, occasional stylolites -minor pitting with some "birdseye"	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6' s at 30.6'-35.6' " texture from 32.1' to 35.6'		Run 4	97	87	2.6	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty -thick bedded, occasional stylolites -minor pitting with some "birdseye'	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6' s at 30.6'-35.6' " texture from 32.1' to 35.6'		Run 3 Run 4	97	87	2.6	1081.2
-slightly rough fractures across sty -thick bedded, occasional stylolites -minor pitting with some "birdseye"	ylolites at 28.3'-30.6' s at 30.6'-35.6' " texture from 32.1' to 35.6'		Run 3 Run 4	97	87	2.6	1081.2

Color pictures of the cores Yes Cores will be stored for examination until

The "Strength" column represents the uniaxial compressive strength of the core sample (ASTM D-2938)

of Transpor	tation ROCK COR	E LO	G		D	ate 9	9/7/07
CH2M HILL	New I-74 Bridge Over Mississipp	i River - II	linois		- 5		
ROUTEI-74	DESCRIPTION Approach			_ LO	GGED	BY	SL
SECTION River	LOCATION (N=564029.213, E=2459513.	152), <b>SEC</b>	. 32,	TWP.	18N, F	RNG. 1W	, 4 <sup>th</sup> <b>PM</b>
COUNTY Rock Island CO	RING METHOD NQ Core			RE	R	CORE	S T
STRUCT. NO.	CORING BARREL TYPE & SIZE NQ Wirelin	ne n	c	C	ó		R
Station 437+80.7		E	õ	V	w.	M	N
	Core Diameter <u>1.8</u> In	P	R	E	D	E.	G
BORING NO. PRMPD-05	Bogin Core Elev. 558.40 ft	T	E	R			Т
Station		H		Y			н
Offset		(ff)	(#)	(%)	(%)	(min/ft)	(tsf)
Ground Surface Elev. 575.10	_ π	109	(#)	(70)	(70)	(IIIIIIIII)	(131)
LIMESTONE - gray, fine grained, wi and seams, locally stylolitic, hard, th very low angle fractures, planar to s (continued) -occasional soft rock-like green sha along shale, occasional pitting, at 38	th occasional to some thin green shale partings in to medium bedded, predominantly horizontal to ightly irregular, smooth to slightly rough, fresh le partings and clasts in limestone with fractures						
-green rock-like shale seam with 85	5° fracture at 40.3'-40.8'						
1							
-medium gray, line to medium grain	ed, occasional shale partings	32.50					

Color pictures of the cores Yes
Cores will be stored for examination until

The "Strength" column represents the uniaxial compressive strength of the core sample (ASTM D-2938)



SOIL BORING LOG

									Date 7/1/10
ROUTE	F.A.I. 74	DES	SCRI	PTION			I-74 Over Mississippi I	River LO	GGED BY JMB
SECTION	81B / 81-HVB		_ L	OCA1		NE 1/4	4, SEC. 32, TWP. 18N,	RNG. 1W, 4th P.M.	
	Rock Island D	RILLING	ME	THOD		Hol	low Stem Auger	_ HAMMER TYPE _	Auto
STRUCT. NO. Station BORING NO. Station Offset Ground Surf	PRMP 6th D-03 424+49 16 Lt. ace Elev576.4	ft	D P T H	B L O W S (/6")	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion After Hrs.		
FILL - Very da wet, medium t CLAY	rk brown, moist to o stiff, silty, lean	572.00	 2	3 4 4	0.65B	39			
FILL - Brown, moist, medium SILT with trace metal debris	iron staining visible, n, sandy, clayey e gravel, iron and	572.90	4	7333	C	19			
Brown, moist,	medium, silty CLAY	567.40	10	3 3					
Gray, weather clayey shale fi End of Boring	ed LIMESTONE, lled voids		1 <del>4</del>  16 18	7 13 10					



# SOIL BORING LOG

								Date 7/1/10
ROUTE	F.A.I. 74	DESC	RIPTION	۱		I-74 Over Mississippi F	River LC	JGGED BY JMB
SECTION	81-1HVB		LOCAT		<u>NE¼ c</u>	of SEC. 32, TWP. 18N,	RNG. 1W, 4th P.M.	
	Rock Island D		ETHOD		Hol	low Stem Auger	_ HAMMER TYPE _	Auto
STRUCT. NO. Station BORING NO. Station Offset Ground Surfa	081-6012 RW 03-1 425+60 9' Lt. ace Elev. 574.2	D E P T T H (fi	B L O W S	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion After Hrs.	NE ft	
ASPHALT FILL - Dark bro fine-grained sa	own, SILT with and and gravel	<u>573.70</u> - 2-			7			
FILL - Brown a loose, very-fir medium-graine with gravel	and gray, moist, ne to ed SAND and SILT	<u>570.70</u> 4 - - 6 -	4 4 2 -	3.50P	19			
FILL - Gray, m medium-graine wood debris Gray with brov lean CLAY wit	ioist, loose, silty, ed SAND with clay, vn mottles, silty, h fine-grained sand	<u>567.20</u> <u>566.20</u> 8-	5	0.60P 1.00P 0.37S 0.29S 0.55S	23 22 27 31 33			
Gray, wet, ver	y soft, clayey SILT	<u>563.20</u> 12-	1 4 7		62			
INTACT ROC	K	<u>560.70</u>	50/0"					



CHANSON SOIL BORING LOG

								Date 7/1/10
ROUTE	F.A.I. 74	DESCF	RIPTION	N		I-74 Over Mississippi I	River LO	GGED BYJMB
SECTION	81-1HVB		LOCA		NE¼ d	of SEC. 32, TWP. 18N,	RNG. 1W, 4th P.M.	
	Rock Island D	RILLING MI	ETHOD		Hol	low Stem Auger	_ HAMMER TYPE _	Auto
STRUCT. NO. Station BORING NO Station Offset Ground Surfa	081-6012 RW 03-2 423+60 14' Rt. ice Elev. 575.2	D E P T H ft (ft)	B L O W S	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion After Hrs.	ft ft	
Very dark brow medium stiff, si	n, moist, soft to lty, lean CLAY	_ <del>574.95 _</del> - 2- -	3 2 3		26			
Dark gray, mois stiff, silty, lean very-fine graine	st, soft to medium CLAY with trace ed SAND	571.70 4-	3 2 3	1.50P	21	X		
Gray, wet, stiff,	silty CLAY	<u>569.70</u> 6- - ⊻ 10-		0.89S	22 23 24 24 25 26	0		
Brown, wet, de medium-graine with limestone	nse, silty, fine- to d SAND and grave fragments	563.70   12- 	7 8 10 10		9			
			18 23 20 23 24					
Very dark gray SHALE End of Boring	, WEATHERED	557.20 <sub>18</sub>	25 50/5"	2.23S	17			



.







.











# UNCONFINED COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF INTACT ROCK CORE

CLIENT: CH2M HILL

JOB NO.: 07045052

## PROJECT: INTERSTATE I-74 IMPROVEMENTS BETTENDORF, IOWA

DATE:

2/22/06

h				
TEST NO.	5	6	7	8
BORING NO,	PRMPD2	PRMPA1	RW1401	RW1401
RUN NO.	1	2	5	5
DEPTH (FT.)	171/2 –18	25 – 25 1/2	38 1/2 – 39	39 – 39 ½
PREPARED CORE (IN.)	4.50	4.52	3.06	3.07
ROCK DESCRIPITION (Note 1)	LIMESTONE	LIMESTONE	SHALE SANDSTONE	SHALE SANDSTONE
MOISTURE CONTENT %	0.2	0.1	2.2	7.0
		00		
	COMPR	ESSIVE STRENGTH TES	TS	
DIAMETER (IN.)	1.87	1.86	1.87	1.88
AREA (SQ.IN.)	2.74	2.72	2.74	2.77
L/D RATIO	2.4	2.4	1.6	1.6
TOTAL LOAD (LBS.)	18,420	25,830	7,540	11,300
COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH (PSI) (Note 2)	6,720	9,500	2,750	4,080
TYPE FRACTURE	VERTICAL FRACTURE	VERTICAL FRACTURE	VERTICAL FRACTURE	VERTICAL FRACTURE
DATE TESTED	2/21/06	2/21/06	2/21/06	2/21/06
DENSITY (PCF)	157	162	130	122

Note 1: Note 2: Rock type based on visual and tactile observation of core.

Tests No. 7 and 8 are below the L/D ratio of 2.0 to 2.5 stated in the ASTM 4543 Standard, compressive strength values may not be representative.



Checked By: GS

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Unconfined Compression Test - Results** 

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

Phase calculations based on start of test.

![](_page_43_Figure_0.jpeg)

\* Saturation is set to 100% for phase calculations.

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

Thu, 16-DEC-2010 14:51:20

\* Saturation is set to 100% for phase calculations.

# CONSOLIDATION TEST DATA

SUMMARY REPORT

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

					Before Test	After Test		
Overburden F	Pressure: 0 tsf			Water Content, %	24.59	21.82		
Preconsolidat	tion Pressure: C	tsf		Dry Unit Weight, pcf	Dry Unit Weight, pcf 101.3			
Compression	Index: 2.54639	e-313		Saturation, %	103.06	103.78		
Diameter: 2.499 in Height: 0.996 in			996 in	Void Ratio	0.63	0.56		
LL: 0	PL: 0	PI: 0	GS: 2.65					

	Project: 174	Location: Quad Cities	Project No.: 08H0120E					
	Boring No.: RW03-2	Tested By: Rin	Checked By: JCC					
-	Sample No.: 4-3	Test Date: 8/3/10	Depth: 9.0-9.2					
HANSON	Test No.: 1	Elevation:						
$\checkmark$	Description: Red. & gray vf. sandy silty clay.							
	Remarks:							

Project: I74 Boring No.: RW03-2 Sample No.: 4-3 Test No.: 1 Location: Quad Cities Tested By: Rin Test Date: 8/3/10 Sample Type: Tube Project No.: 08H0120E Checked By: JCC Depth: 9.0-9.2 Elevation:

Soil Description: Red. & gray vf. sandy silty clay. Remarks:

	Applied	Final	Void	Strain	T50	Fitting	Coeffi	icient of Con	solidation
	Stress	Displacement	Ratio	at End	Sq.Rt.	Log	Sq.Rt.	Log	Ave.
	tsf	in		oto	min	min	in^2/sec	in^2/sec	in^2/sec
1	0.064	-0.0004536	0.633	-0.05	0.0	1.4	0.00e+000	5.83e-004	5.83e-004
2	0.125	-0.0005591	0.633	-0.06	14.4	0.0	5.67e-005	0.00e+000	5.67e-005
3	0.25	-0.0001238	0.633	-0.01	1.9	0.0	4.25e-004	0.00e+000	4.25e-004
4	0.5	0.001302	0.630	0.13	1.8	1.3	4.53e-004	6.38e-004	5.30e-004
5	1	0.004732	0.625	0.48	0.9	0.5	8.87e-004	1.61e-003	1.14e-003
6	2	0.01146	0.614	1.15	0.5	0.5	1.76e-003	1.66e-003	1.71e-003
7	4	0.01949	0.600	1.96	0.4	0.3	2.15e-003	2.46e-003	2.30e-003
8	1	0.01957	0.600	1.97	0.1	0.1	6.76e-003	1.50e-002	9.32e-003
9	0.25	0.01744	0.604	1.75	1.8	0.5	4.46e-004	1.70e-003	7.07e-004
10	0.064	0.01493	0.608	1.50	3.5	3.2	2.23e-004	2.46e-004	2.34e-004
11	0.125	0.01432	0.609	1.44	0.2	0.0	4.84e-003	0.00e+000	4.84e-003
12	0.25	0.01451	0.609	1.46	0.4	0.0	2.21e-003	0.00e+000	2.21e-003
13	0.5	0.01543	0.607	1.55	0.3	0.5	2.31e-003	1.64e-003	1.92e-003
14	1	0.01642	0.605	1.65	0.5	0.3	1.58e-003	2.55e-003	1.95e-003
15	2	0.01816	0.603	1.82	0.3	0.0	2.43e-003	0.00e+000	2.43e-003
16	4	0.02103	0.598	2.11	0.3	0.1	2.30e-003	8.23e-003	3.59e-003
17	8	0.02978	0.584	2.99	0.5	0.3	1.70e-003	2.39e-003	1.99e-003
18	16	0.04281	0.562	4.30	0.3	0.3	2.19e-003	2.82e-003	2.47e-003
19	32	0.05842	0.537	5.87	0.2	0.2	3.17e-003	4.02e-003	3.55e-003
20	8	0.05805	0.537	5.83	0.0	0.0	3.65e-002	8.64e-002	5.13e-002
21	2	0.05587	0.541	5.61	0.2	0.2	3.19e-003	4.48e-003	3.73e-003
22	0.5	0.05185	0.547	5.21	1.8	1.4	4.01e-004	5.31e-004	4.57e-004
23	0.125	0.04762	0.554	4.78	6.5	0.0	1.14e-004	0.00e+000	1.14e-004
24	0.064	0.04585	0.557	4.60	36.4	28.1	2.04e-005	2.64e-005	2.30e-005

•

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

Engineering | Architecture | Planning | Allied Services

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)