#### **IDOT PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT DETERMINATION**

10:	Ann L. Schneider, Secretary
From:	William R. Frey, Interim Director
Date:	August 23, 2012
Re:	FAI, I-70 Reconstruction, Contract Number 74416, Cumberland County
	{November 9, 2012}
labor a	ordance with Executive Order 2010-03 (Quinn), it is recommended that a project greement (PLA) be utilized for the above-captioned Project. This mendation is based on the considerations indicated below.
	) The Project is being awarded and administered by IDOT (i.e., not by another mental agency).
2 funds).	2) The Project is being constructed using state or local funds only (i.e., no federal
make i	t) The overall size, scope, sequencing, logistics or other aspects of the Project t particularly challenging to manage, and use of a PLA is expected to help assure construction work is performed properly and efficiently under the circumstances.
constru	The duration of construction activity on the Project is expected to exceed one action season (i.e., 110 or more working days), or the nature of the Project results ightened need for labor force continuity and stability over a substantial period of
_⊠_5 increas	i) There is a firm construction completion date established for the Project therebying the adverse consequences of any work stoppage or other labor disruption.
expirat likely t	The time required to complete the Project is expected to extend beyond the ion date of one or more existing collective bargaining agreements covering trades to be involved in the Project, thereby increasing the likelihood of work stoppage(s) a labor disruption(s) during construction of the Project.
dispute the Pro	In the absence of a PLA, there is an increased likelihood of jurisdictional as among unions or of conflict between unionized and non-unionized workers on eiget that could have a potentially material adverse effect on the time, cost, or of work performed on the Project.

PA1, 1-70 Reconstruction Contract Number 74416 Cumberland County Item

N This project presents specific safety concerns to the traveling public and a PLA, will ensure labor force continuity and stability, decreasing the length of the safety concern.			
∑10) Use of a PLA on the Project is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the competitive bidding process.			
No. 11) Use of a PLA on the Project is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the ability of the Department to achieve other Departmental goals (e.g., utilization of disadvantaged businesses, utilization of Illinois domiciled businesses, development of competitive vendor alternatives over time, etc.).			
12) The this Project a	re are other material considerations favoring or disfavoring use of a PLA on s follows:		
on this Project faith a PLA v specifications	the identified considerations, we recommend that you approve use of a PLA ct. Upon your approval, the Department shall undertake to negotiate in good with the relevant labor organization(s), and shall include in all necessary bid s and other documents information regarding the actual or form of PLA that upon all contractors and their employees.		
Agreed:	{Division Chief} (Date)		
Agreed:	{Bureau of Design & Environment} (Date)		
Agreed:	Regional Engineer (Date)		
Approved:	Ann L. Schneider, Secretary (Date)		
FHWA concurrence in the PLA for the above mentioned contract			
	Gregory G. Nadeau 10/01/2012 .		
	FHWA Deputy Administrator (see attached approval page)		
	2		

#### Attachment A:

Justification for the use of Project Labor Agreement on Contract # 74416, Cumberland County

The use of a Project Labor Agreement on this project is consistent with all State and Local statutory and regulatory requirements.

Item 2: This project is federally funded with a state match.

Item 3: The Estimated Cost of this Project is \$30,610,000.

The work on this project is located on FAI 70, Marked Route I-70 from 1.5 miles east of IL 130 then east to the Clark County Line. The average daily traffic at this location is 19,100 with 56% (10,825) trucks. In order to complete the I-70 Reconstruction project without any adverse traffic delays for the traveling public or delays in commerce, IDOT feels a Project Labor Agreement in necessary for this project.

The work on this project consists of reconstruction 7.2 miles of 4 lane Interstate pavement. The existing pavement will be rubblized then overlaid with 11.5 inches of Hot Mix Asphalt along with various safety improvements. With the large number of different construction trades that will be involved in the reconstruction of this section of roadway, IDOT feels the use of a Project Labor Agreement is necessary to avoid any labor related delays.

The rubblization and the Hot Mix Asphalt overlay work involve various construction sequences creating numerous traffic control challenges. The 7.2 miles of reconstruction will be done by stage construction so the contractor will need a reliable work force to complete the work in a timely manner to maintain safe travel for the traveling public during all stages of traffic control. It is IDOT's findings that the large skilled workforce needed to meet all the challenges with this project can be provided by the union trades involved and is a necessary requirement for a Project Labor Agreement.

The posted speed limit is 65 MPH. Once the projects limits are under construction the speed limit will be 55 MPH while no workers are present. Based on user cost of \$10.00/hour for passenger vehicles and \$20.00/hour for trucks, a user cost of \$6,026/day will incur due to any work stoppages. Based on the adverse travel due to construction zones, IDOT feels the use of a Project Labor Agreement is necessary to avoid any Labor related delays.

Item 5: There is a firm completion date of November 15, 2012 to complete the project. The project has to be completed in one construction season. To meet this completion date the contractor will need an accelerated work schedule, so avoiding any possible labor issues would be a benefit to the project. A Project Labor Agreement would ensure the stability of the work force allowing the work to be completed in the time allotted.

<u>Item 6:</u> This project could extend beyond the expiration date of the collective bargaining agreements with the following locals.

Labor Local 159 contract expires 4-30-2013
Operators Local 841 contract expires 12-31-2012
Iron Workers Local 46 contract expires 4-30-2013
Concrete Finishers Local 143 contract expires 3-31-2013

Work Zone User cost ca	alculations	
Project Number: CRS:		8/23/2012
No lane closure cost calculat	ion procedure	
	Passenger Car	B/C Truck
Cost per hour:	\$19.22	<b>\$</b> 51.88
Length of Work zone in miles:	4.2	4.2
Free flow speed (normal 85% speed) in mph:	72	72
Work zone speed (85%) in mph:	62	62
Average AADT of full section:	45000	15000
Duration of Closure in days	300	300
Calculated values:		
Travel time in free flow (secs):	210	210
Travel Time in work zone (secs):	244	244
Delay (secs):		34
Delay (hours):	0.009408602	0.009408602
Cost per Vehicle:	\$0.181	\$0.488
Cost per day per closure:	\$8,137.50	\$7,321.77
Total Cost for closure duration:		\$2,196,532.26
Total Cost for all vehicles:		
Average cost per day	\$15,459.27	

The Average cost per day is the MAXIMUM that may be used as incentive / disincentive

Spreadsheet protection password: CONSTRUCTION

See Contact Reynaldo Stargell in the Office of Traffic Engineering for the latest adjustment factor based on the CPI: ftp://ftp.bls.gov/pub/special.requests/cpi/cpiai.txt

## Work Zone User cost calculations

8/23/2012

Project Number: 74416, I-70 Reconstruction

CRS: 4.9

Detour cost calculation procedure

Detoui Cost calculation procedure		
	Passenger Car	B/C Truck
Cost per hour:	\$10.00	\$20.00
Length of work zone in miles:	7.2	7.2
Length of Detour in miles:	7.2	7.2
Free flow speed (normal 85% speed) in mph:	65	65
Detour zone speed (85%) in mph:	55	55
Average AADT of full section:	8275	10825
Duration of Closure in days	270	270
Calculated values:		
Travel time in free flow (secs):	398.7692308	398.7692308
Travel Time in detour (secs):		471
Delay (secs):	73	73
Delay (hours):	0.02013986	0.02013986
Cost per Vehicle:	\$0.20	\$0.40
Cost per day per closure:	\$1,666.57	\$4,360.28
Total Cost for closure duration:	\$449,974.83	\$1,177,275.52
Total Cost for all vehicles:	\$1,627,250.35	
Average cost per day	\$6,026.85	

The Average cost per day is the MAXIMUM that may be used as incentive / disincentive

Spreadsheet protection password: CONSTRUCTION

See Contact Reynaldo Stargell in the Office of Traffic Engineering for the latest adjustment factor based on the CPI: ftp://ftp.bls.gov/pub/special.requests/cpi/cpiai.txt

Work Zone User cost calculations	ons	
		8/23/2012
Project Number: CRS:		
Defour cost calculation procedure	2	
	Passenger Car	B/C Truck
Cost per hour:	\$19.22	\$51.88
Time to drive existing route at free-flow speed in minutes:	4	4
Time to drive detour route in minutes:	15	15
Average AADT of full section:	200	20
Duration of Closure in days	20	20
Calculated values:		
Delay (minutes):	F	7
Delay (hours):	0.18333333	0.18333333
Cost per Vehicle:	\$3.52	\$9.51
Cost per day per closure:	\$1,761.83	\$190.23
Total Cost for closure duration:	\$35,236.67	\$3,804.53
Total Cost for all vehicles:	\$39,041.20	
Average cost per day	\$1,952.06	

The Average cost per day is the MAXIMUM that may be used as incentive I disincentive

Spreadsheet protection password: CONSTRUCTION

See Contact Reynaldo Stargell in the Office of Traffic Engineering for the latest adjustment factor based on the CPI: ftp://ftp.bls.gov/pub/special.requests/cpi/cpiai.bt

# **PLA Request**

<u>/</u>	Approval of Project Labor Agreement
	Disapproval of Project Labor Agreement
Reason	for disapproval:

Signature

Date

## **Execution Page**

Illinois Department of Transportation	
William R. Frey, Interim Director of Highways	
Matthew R. Hughes, Director Finance & Adminis	stration
Ann L. Schneider, Secretary	10-24-1 <u>Z</u> (Date)
Illinois AFL-CIO Statewide Project Labor Agunions listed below:	greement Committee, representing the local
Michael Marigan	October 16, 2012
0	(Date)
List Union Locals:	

Jim Allen Bricklayers **Curtis Cade** United Association Ed Christensen, Elevator Constructors Terry Fitzmaurice **Painters** Pat Gleason **Teamsters** Térrence Healy LIUNA Tadas Kirlelinski Iron Workers

Terry Lynch
Heat & Frost Insulators & Allied
Workers

Richard Mathis
Roofers

Paul Noble
IBEW

Robert Paddock
IUOE

Robert Ferinar Jr.
Carpenters

Robert Lulucialan

Robert Schnelder Sheet Metal Workers

John Skermont Bollermakers

\*only if Elevator Constructors master agreement language is attached to PLA

Patrick J. LaCassa

**OPCMIA**