STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT 38TH AVENUE AND ILLINOIS ROUTE 5 **RETAINING WALL (FAP 595)** SN 081-P004, SECTION (142-1, 142)R IDOT P-92-097-10, PTB 157/ITEM 025 **ROCK ISLAND COUNTY, ILLINOIS**

for Wills Burke Kelsey Associates, Inc. 116 West Main Street, Suite 201 St. Charles, IL 60174

(815) 744-4200

submitted by

Wang Engineering, Inc.

1145 North Main Street

Lombard, IL 60148

(630) 953-9928

Original Report: July 8, 2013 Revised Report: September 9, 2013

| 1. Title and Subtitle | 2. Report Date | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Structure Geotechnical Report | September 9, 2013 | | | |
| Station 63+20 to Station 70+50 | 3. Report Type ⊠ SGR □ RGR □ Draft □ Final ⊠ Revised | | | |
| 4. Route / Section / County | 5. IDOT Job / Contract No. | | | |
| Coaltown Road/ 142-R / Rock | P-92-097-10 | | | |
| 6. PTB / Item No. | 7. Existing Structure Number(s) | 8. Proposed Structure Number(s) | | |
| 157/025 | | SN 081-P004 | | |
| Work Order 15 | a | | | |
| 9. Prepared by | Contributor(s) | Contact Information | | |
| Wang Engineering, Inc. | Author: Mickey Snider, P.E. | (630) 953-9928 ext 27 | | |
| Lombard IL 60142 | QC/QA: Jerry W.H. Wang, PhD, P.E. | MSnider@wangeng.com | | |
| 10 Propaged for | Contact | Contact Phone Number | | |
| Wills Burke Kelsey Associates | Andy Underwager SE PE | (630) 443-7755 | | |
| 116 W Main Street Ste 201 | Andy Onderwager, S.E., T.E. | (050) +35-7755 | | |
| St. Charles, IL 60174 | | | | |
| The following report provi wall along 38 th Avenue, eas plans call for ditch cuts alon wall. We recommend a solo The foundation soils, shown loam overlying very stiff to strength, or moisture of sou | des recommendations for the design a st of 53 rd Street and north of John Deere ng the north side of John Deere Road th lier pile and lagging wall. n in boring logs provided by IDOT, com hard shale. The shale identified in the nd bedrock and we recommend modelin | and construction of a new retaining e Road, in Rock Island County. The lat will require the installation of the sist of medium stiff to stiff silty clay e borings does not have the density, ng this layer as a clayey soil. | | |
| The proposed wall will be a south of the proposed 38 th feet. The wall will sit at ap John Deere Road, and show remainder of wall supportin soldier piles drilled through | constructed between Stations 63+20 and Avenue centerline, and will have a map proximately the mid-slope of the embar ald be designed to retain about 3.5 to 4 ing the medium stiff to stiff silty clay lo the silty clay loam and into the very sti | d 70+50, will be offset about 28 feet uximum exposed height of about 10 hkment separating 38 th Avenue from .0 feet of new fill material with the bam. The wall will be supported by ff shale underneath. | | |
| We estimate HP14x89 sold the wall) will have a factor lateral movement of about to achieve deflection and n be advanced along the alig change from soil to bedroce 1.6 FOS against global insta | lier piles, spaced at 6 to 8 feet on-centro of safety greater than 1.5 in lateral earth 0.5 inch at the top of the wall. The wall noment fixity than is provided by the bo | er (depending on the final height of n pressure design and will undergo a l, however, will require more length pring logs. We recommend a boring ock prior to final design to quoid a | | |
| | nment to locate the top of sound bedra c excavation quantities during construct ability. | ion. The wall has an adequate 3.1 to | | |
| 12. Path to archived file | nment to locate the top of sound bedra c excavation quantities during construct ability. | ion. The wall has an adequate 3.1 to | | |

Technical Report Documentation Page



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION1 |
|-----|--|
| 2.0 | SITE CONDITIONS AND GEOLOGICAL SETTING1 |
| 2.1 | Physiography |
| 2.2 | SURFICIAL COVER |
| 2.3 | BEDROCK |
| 3.0 | METHODS OF INVESTIGATION |
| 3.1 | SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION |
| 3.2 | LABORATORY TESTING |
| 4.0 | RESULTS OF FIELD AND LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS |
| 4.1 | SOIL CONDITIONS |
| 4.2 | GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS |
| 5.0 | FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 4 |
| 6.0 | CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS |
| 7.0 | QUALIFICATIONS |
| REF | ERENCES |
| EXH | IIBITS |
| 1. | Site Location Map |
| 2. | Boring Location Plan |
| 3. | Soil Profile |
| APP | ENDIX A |
| В | oring Logs |
| APP | ENDIX B |
| G | lobal Stability Analyses |
| | |
| | |



1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT 38th AVENUE AND ILLINOIS ROUTE 5 RETAINING WALL (FAP 595) STATION 63+20 TO STATION 70+50 SN 081-P004, SECTION (142-1, 142)R IDOT P-92-097-10, PTB 157/ITEM 025 ROCK ISLAND COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR WILLS BURKE KELSEY ASSOCIATES

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the Wang Engineering, Inc. (Wang) geotechnical evaluations and recommendations for the design and construction of a retaining wall along 38th Avenue, also referred to as Coaltown Road, east of 53rd Street in Moline, Rock Island County, Illinois. A *Site Location Map* is presented as Exhibit 1.

Based on information and drawings provided by Wills Burke Kelsey Associates (WBK) and Ciorba Group (Ciorba), Wang Engineering, Inc. (Wang) understands several portions of 38th Avenue will be reconstructed and widened as part of the John Deere Road Improvement project. Due to an increase in the profile grade and cuts into the existing slope between 38th Avenue and John Deere Road, the higher-elevation portion of 38th Avenue between Stations 63+20 and 70+50 will require a retaining wall for support. The retaining wall will have an exposed height of about 4 to 12 feet and will be offset 28 feet right of the proposed centerline.

The purpose of our investigation was to characterize the site soil and groundwater conditions, perform geotechnical analyses, and provide recommendations for the design and construction of the new retaining wall.

2.0 SITE CONDITIONS AND GEOLOGICAL SETTING

On the USGS *Coal Valley Quadrangle 7.5 Minute Series* map, the project area is located in the NW ¹/₄ of Section 14, Tier 17 N, Range 1 W of the Fourth Principal Meridian.

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



The following review of published geologic data, with emphasis on factors that might influence the design and construction of the proposed engineering works, is meant to place the project area within a geological framework and confirm the dependability and consistency of the present subsurface investigation results. For the study of the regional geologic framework, Wang considered northwestern Illinois in general and Rock Island County in particular.

2.1 Physiography

The Rock Island County is part of the northern Galesburg Plain physiographic section. The project is located 0.8 mile north of the Rock River. The Rock River has a well-developed floodplain which extends approximately a mile along its valley. The river drains west into the Mississippi River. The general topography slopes southwestward, with the proposed roadway at the base of an existing bluff. The surface elevation varies from about 630 to 565.0 feet, NAVD88.

2.2 Surficial Cover

The surficial cover is the result of glacial activity, and it rests unconformably over Paleozoic bedrock. Along the site, the glacial deposits consist primarily of clayey Kellerville Till that form a massive mound in the area between the Mississippi River to the north and the Rock River to the south. The drift cover along the bluff measures about 25 feet or less in thickness.

2.3 Bedrock

In north Rock Island County, the surficial cover rests unconformably on top of Pennsylvanian and Devonian-age shale, sandstone, and limestone (Kolata and Nimz 2010). The borings drilled during the current investigation reached the top of very weak, clayey shale about 11 feet bgs.

The subsurface investigation results fit into the local geologic context. The borings drilled in the project area revealed the native deposits consist of glacial Kellerville Till overlaying Upper Devonian shale.

3.0 METHODS OF INVESTIGATION

The following sections outline the subsurface and laboratory investigations.

3.1 Subsurface Investigations

The subsurface investigation along the proposed retaining wall alignment consisted of seven soil borings, designated as B-1 through B-7, drilled by IDOT in July 2011. The borings were drilled along

KL



the south side of 38th Avenue from elevations of 596.3 to 598.0 feet to depths of 16 feet bgs. Stations, offsets and elevations were provided on the boring logs; northings and eastings were taken from design drawings provided by Ciorba. The as-drilled boring locations are shown in the *Boring Location Plan* (Exhibit 2) and the *Boring Logs* are attached in Appendix A.

The boring logs provided by IDOT include lithological descriptions, results of unconfined compressive strength testing on cohesive soils, and Standard Penetration Test (SPT) results recorded as blows per 6 inches of penetration.

Groundwater observations at the completion of drilling are recorded on the boring logs.

3.2 Laboratory Testing

The borings show laboratory test results for moisture content (Appendix A).

4.0 RESULTS OF FIELD AND LABORATORY INVESTIGATIONS

Descriptions of the soil and groundwater conditions encountered during the subsurface investigations are shown in the attached *Boring Logs* (Appendix A). Please note that strata contact lines represent approximate boundaries between soil types. The actual transition between soil types in the field may be gradual in horizontal and vertical directions.

4.1 Soil Conditions

Beneath approximately 24-inch thick shoulder aggregate, the general lithologic succession recorded in the borings includes: 1) medium stiff to very stiff silty clay loam to silty clay; and 2) very stiff to hard shale.

1) Medium stiff to very stiff silty clay loam to silty clay

Immediately beneath the aggregate, the borings encountered 11 to 16 feet of medium stiff to very stiff, brown silty clay loam with occasional silt lenses and gravel. The silty clay loam has unconfined compressive strength (Q_u) values of 0.6 to 3.3 tsf and moisture content values of 13 to 28%.

2) Very stiff to hard shale

At elevations of 585 to 584 feet, the borings advanced through very stiff to hard, gray shale



continuing to the termination depths of the borings. While the borings visually identify this material as shale, it has relatively low Q_u values of 2.2 to 4.5 tsf and high moisture content values of 16 to 30% versus what would be expected from a rock formation. The SPT N-values for the shale range from 10 to 29 blows per foot, indicating the density of the material is such that it can easily be drilled and excavated with conventional equipment. We estimate this layer should be treated as soil for the purposes of design and construction.

4.2 Groundwater Conditions

The boreholes were recorded as dry during and at the completion of drilling in the borings.

5.0 FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Geotechnical evaluations and recommendations for the design and construction of the retaining wall between Stations 63+20 and 70+50, offset 28 feet right of the 38th Avenue centerline are included in the following sections. From the drawings provided by Ciorba, we estimate the retaining wall will have an exposed height of about 4 to 12 feet and straight backfill behind. The wall will be installed at approximately the mid-slope of the existing separation between 38th Avenue and John Deere Road. Considering the relatively weak nature of the silty clay loam that will be supported behind, we estimate a drilled soldier pile and lagging wall is the most appropriate wall type. We estimate a mechanically-stabilized earth (MSE) wall will require relatively large and impractical shored temporary excavations adjacent to 38th Avenue, as well as present a number of additional utility conflicts.

Wang recommends constructing a soldier pile and lagging wall along the mid-slope between Stations 63+20 and 70+50. Above the finished groundline, the wall will support up to 3.5 feet of new fill material overlying the medium stiff and stiff silty clay loam with an average Q_u value of about 0.8 tsf. Below the groundline, the wall will be supported by approximately 6 to 8 feet of medium stiff to stiff silty clay loam with an average Q_u value of about 1.2 tsf, followed by very stiff shale with an average Q_u value of about 3.2 tsf. We recommend installing the soldier piles within precored holes grouted with concrete up the groundline. The soil conditions below the groundline are considered good for the support of drilled soldier piles and we estimate the spacing will be about 6 to 8 feet at the highest wall sections.

The wall should be designed for both earth pressure equilibrium, including a minimum factor of safety (FOS) of 1.5, and for a lateral pile deformation less than 0.5 inch. Generally, to the AASHTO



LRFD *Bridge Design Specifications* (AASHTO, 2012) requires estimating the lateral pressures on permanent flexible walls using the effective stress method of analysis and the drained (long-term) strength parameters of the soils; The recommended drained parameters are included in Table 1. The pressure coefficients should represent the final slope behind the wall, which we estimate will be flat based on the most current cross sections provided in June 2013; if a slope is proposed behind the wall, the evaluations should be adjusted based on the appropriate pressure coefficients.

| Soil DescriptionDrained Shear Strength (Effective Stress)Active Pressure CoefficientPassive Pressure CoefficientUnit Weight (pcf)Unit VersionFriction Angle (°)KAKPM Stiff to Stiff SILTY CLAY LOAM1200280.362.77V Stiff to Hard SHALE1250320.313.26 | | 8 | | | j | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Unit Weight (pcf)Friction Cohesion (psf)KAKPM Stiff to Stiff SILTY CLAY LOAM1200280.362.77V Stiff to Hard SHALE1250320.313.26 | Soil Description | \ | Drained Stree (Effectiv | l Shear ngth e Stress) | Active Pressure Coefficient | Passive Pressure Coefficient |
| M Stiff to Stiff SILTY CLAY LOAM 120 0 28 0.36 2.77 V Stiff to Hard SHALE 125 0 32 0.31 3.26 | | Unit Weight (pcf) | Cohesion (psf) | Friction Angle (°) | K _A | K _P |
| V Stiff to Hard SHALE 125 0 32 0.31 3.26 | M Stiff to Stiff SILTY CLAY LOAM | 120 | 0 | 28 | 0.36 | 2.77 |
| | V Stiff to Hard SHALE | 125 | 0 | 32 | 0.31 | 3.26 |

Table 1: Geotechnical Design Parameters for Drained Earth Pressure Analysis

In addition to the lateral earth pressure soldier pile design, we recommend checking the design for lateral pile deformation and maximum moment capacity. If the soldier piles are socketed into the weak shale they may result in relatively short pile lengths; these lengths should be checked for maximum lateral displacements, maximum moments, and pile tip fixity. Geotechnical parameters for the analysis of laterally loaded soldier piles via the p-y curve method are included in Table 2. The analysis of maximum moments should also include a traffic surcharge of 250 psf behind the wall.

| Table 2: Recommended Soil and Rock Parameters for Lateral Load Analysis | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Soil Type | Unit Weight, γ (pcf) | Undrained Shear Strength, c _u (psf) | Estimated Friction Angle, Φ (°) | Estimated Lateral Soil Modulus Parameter, k (pci) | Estimated Soil Strain Parameter, ε_{50} (%) | | | | |
| M Stiff to Stiff SILTY CLAY LOAM | 120 | 800 | 0 | 700 | 0.8 | | | | |
| V Stiff to Hard SHALE | 125 | 3500 | 0 | 2000 | 0.4 | | | | |



Preliminary analyses of the retaining wall along the proposed alignment have been performed for 10-feet of exposed wall height supported by HP14x89 soldier piles installed within 24 inch diameter boreholes. We estimate 0.5-inches of lateral deformation at the top of the wall based on free-head pile conditions; however, the soldier piles require approximately 30 to 35 feet of total length (20 to 25 feet of embedment) to achieve pile tip deflection and moment fixity. This embedment depth puts the pile tip at an elevation of about 570 to 565 feet, which is about 10 to 15 feet below the termination depths of the borings. It should be noted that sound shale bedrock requiring a rock drilling and excavation quantity may be close to the termination depths of the borings based on other nearby investigations. If possible, we recommend drilling one additional boring along the proposed retaining wall alignment to assess the top of sound bedrock prior to final design.

The global stability of the proposed wall was analyzed based on the soil profile described in Section 4.1 and the information provided in the drawings. The minimum required FOS for both short and long-term conditions is 1.5 (IDOT, 2012). *Slide v5.0* evaluation exhibits are shown in Appendix B. Wang estimates the wall has an FOS of 3.1 (Appendix B-1) in undrained (short-term) and an FOS of 1.6 (Appendix B-2) in drained loading. The FOS meets the minimum requirement.

6.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

Excavations should be performed in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations. The potential effect of ground movements upon nearby utilities within the slope should be considered during construction. Wang has not performed a utility clearance along the wall, therefore the Contractor should ensure than all utilities are located and marked prior to construction. The subsurface investigation encountered primarily cohesive soils, so groundwater control should not be a concern. If precipitation or perched water is allowed to enter excavations, it should be immediately removed via sump-pump. Any soil allowed to soften in standing water should be removed and replaced with structural fill material.

The proposed soldier pile and lagging walls are primarily cut walls, and a minor amount of backfill material will be required. To backfill the wall, we recommend porous granular material in accordance with the IDOT Special Provision, *Granular Backfill for Structures* (IDOT 2012). Backfill material should be placed and compacted in accordance with the Special Provision. The walls should have a geocomposite drainage system along the back of the concrete facing to prevent the build-up of



hydrostatic pressure. Backfill materials should be approved by the Resident Engineer.

A number of utilities along 38th Avenue and John Deere Road may be impacted during the wall construction. A proposed, 54-inch diameter storm sewer will be installed perpendicular to the wall at approximately Station 66+00 prior to construction of the wall. The soldier piles will need to be spaced around this utility; at a spacing of 8 to 10 feet on center, avoiding the location of a 54-inch diameter utility should not pose a concern. If additional spacing between soldier piles is needed, we recommend increasing the size of the piles. There are also a number of existing utilities within the embankment, behind the proposed location of the soldier piles. We estimate the existing sanitary sewer and water main will not be impacted by the installation of the wall assuming the lateral deformation is limited to 0.5 inch or less. The existing gas main, however, is in conflict with the wall location and will require removal and relocation.

7.0 QUALIFICATIONS

The analysis and recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from the borings drilled at the locations shown in the boring logs and in Exhibit 2. This report does not reflect any variations that may occur between the borings or elsewhere on the site, variations whose nature and extent may not become evident until the course of construction. In the event that any changes in the design and/or location of the retaining wall are planned, we should be timely informed so that our recommendations can be adjusted accordingly.

It has been a pleasure to assist Wells Burke Kelsey Associates and the Illinois Department of Transportation on this project. Please call if there are any questions, or if we can be of further service.

Respectfully Submitted,

WANG ENGINEERING, INC.

Mickey L. Snider, P.E. Senior Geotechnical Engineer





Jerry W.H. Wang, PhD., P.E. QA/QC Reviewer



REFERENCES

AASHTO (2012) LRFD Bridge Design Specifications: Washington, D.C., American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.

IDOT (2012a) Bridge Manual, Illinois Department of Transportation.

d S_F , 1098 p. IDOT (2012b) Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, Illinois Department of Transportation, 1098 p.

 $S: \label{eq:scalar} S: \lab$





Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982







DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS



/EI 11X17 4120406_B1_B7.GPJ WANGENG.GDT 7/8/





| Illinois Dep of Transpo | oartme ortatio | ent n | | SC | Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| Division of Highways Illinios Department of Trans | portation/D-2 | | ים | 92-004 | -06. Proposed retaining wall on 38th |
| ROUTE FAP 595 | DESCR | IPTIO | N | | Avenue, E. of 53rd Street LOGGED BY W. Garza |
| SECTION 142-R | i | | | S. Mol | ne Twp 11 S 1/2, SEC., TWP. 17N, RNG. 1W |
| COUNTY Rock Island DF | | ETHOD |) | Hol | ow Stem Auger HAMMER TYPE CME-45 Automatic |
| STRUCT. NO | D E P | B L O | U C S | M 0 1 | Surface Water Elev ft Stream Bed Elev ft |
| BORING NO. B-1 Station 63+00 Offset 13.50ft Rt CL Ground Surface Elev. 596.30 | H | vv S (/6'') | Qu (tsf) | з Т (%) | Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter <u>None</u> ft Upon Completion <u>Dry</u> ft After Hrs. ft |
| MEDIUM brown SILTY CLAY LOAM | 504 30 | | 0.9 P | 12.0 | |
| MEDIUM brown LOAM | 592.80 | 1 5 2 | 0.5 P | 13.0 | |
| MEDIUM brown SILTY CLAY | 590.30 | 5 1 2 3 | 0.7 B | 24.0 | |
| MEDIUM light brown SILTY CLAY | 587.80 | 1 2 5 | 0.7 B | 20.0 | |
| SOFT light gray SILTY LOAM | | 0 1 1 3 | 0.4 B | 28.0 | |
| VERY STIFF gray SHALE | 582.80 | 5 7 11 | 3.2 S | 24.0 | 0, |
| gray SHALE | 1 580.30 | 5 <u>8</u> 8 15 | | | |
| End of Boring | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

~

| Illinois Dep of Transpo | oartm ortatio | nent on | | SC | Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Division of Highways Illinios Department of Trans | portation/D-2 | ! | | | Date | | | | | |
| ROUTE FAP 595 | DESC | CRIPTIO | N | 92-004 | Avenue, E. of 53rd Street LOGGED BY W. Garza | | | | | |
| SECTION 142-R | | | | S. Mol | ine Twp 11 S 1/2, SEC., TWP. 17N, RNG. 1W | | | | | |
| COUNTY Rock Island DI | COUNTY Rock Island DRILLING METHOD Hollow Stem Auger HAMMER TYPE CME-45 Automatic | | | | | | | | | |
| STRUCT. NO Station | | DB EL PO | U C S | M O I | Surface Water Elev ft Stream Bed Elev ft | | | | | |
| BORING NO. B-2 Station 64+00 Offset 14.00ft Rt CL Ground Surface Elev 596 50 | | T W H S ft) (/6") | Qu (tsf) | S T (%) | Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter <u>None</u> ft Upon Completion <u>Dry</u> ft After Hrs | | | | | |
| Shoulder Rock | <u> </u> | | | (70) | | | | | | |
| MEDIUM brown LOAM | 593.00 | | 0.6 P | 24.0 | | | | | | |
| MEDIUM brown SILTY CLAY LOAM | | <u>-5</u> 2 2 3 | 0.6 P | 28.0 | | | | | | |
| STIFF brown SILTY CLAY LOAM | 588.00 | 0 3 4 | 1.2 B | 20.0 | | | | | | |
| VERY STIFF light gray CLAY | 585.00 | - <u>10</u> 1 3 5 | 3.3 P | 21.0 | | | | | | |
| VERY STIFF gray SHALE | 583.00 | 4 5 10 | 3.2 S | 23.0 | | | | | | |
| MEDIUM gray SHALE | 580.50 | 9 9 10 | | | | | | | | |
| End of Boring | - | -20 | | | | | | | | |



| R | Illinois De of Transp | epartm ortatio | ent n | | SC | IL BORING LO | Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|
| | Division of Highways Ilinios Department of Tra | insportation/D-2 | | | 02.004 | | Date <u>7/11/11</u> |
| ROUTE | FAP 595 | DESC | RIPTIO | N | 92-004 | Avenue, E. of 53rd Street | LOGGED BY W. Garza |
| SECTION | 142-R | | LOCA | | S. Mol | ne Twp 11 S 1/2, SEC. , TWP . | 17N, RNG. 1W |
| | Rock Island | | ETHO |) | Hol | ow Stem Auger HAMM | ER TYPECME-45 Automatic |
| STRUCT. NO. Station | - B-4 67+00 | D E P T H | B L O W S | U C S Qu | M O I S T | Surface Water Elev Stream Bed Elev. Groundwater Elev.: First EncounterNor | ft ft ne ft |
| Offset Ground Surfa | 14.00ft Rt CL ace Elev. 596.9 | 90 ft (ff |) (/6'') | (tsf) | (%) | Upon Completion D After Hrs | ry ft ft |
| Shoulder Rock | n LOAM | 594.90 | 3 2 3 | 0.8 P | 20.0 | | |
| MEDIUM brown | n SILTY LOAM | 590.90 | -5 2 3 | 0.7 S | 25.0 | | |
| MEDIUM brown LOAM | n SILTY CLAY | | 2 3 4 | 0.9 B | 22.0 | | |
| SOFT tan SAN GRAVEL | IDY LOAM with | | 3 3 4 | 0.4 B | 18.0 | | |
| VERY STIFF ta SHALE | an CLAY LOAM | 583.40 | 4 6 8 | 2.2 S | 30.0 | | 0, |
| MEDIUM/HAR | D gray SHALE | 580.90 | 15 5 6 7 | 4.5 P | 20.0 | | · KI |
| End of Boring | | | | | | | |

•

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) BBS, from 137 (Rev. 8-99)













