GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

Proposed IDOT Pump Station #38

IDOT Project D-91-086-16, PTB 178-001, Contract 62B65

City of Lake Forest

Lake County, Illinois

Prepared for:

Knight E/A 221 North LaSalle Street Suite 300 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Prepared by:

Geo Services, Inc. 805 Amherst Court Suite 204 Naperville, Illinois 60565 (630) 305-9186

JOB NO. 16017

10/18/18





October 18, 2018

Knight E/A 221 North LaSalle Street, Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60601

Attn: Mr. John C. Murillo, P.E.

GSI Project No. 16017

Re: Geotechnical Report Proposed IDOT Pump Station #38 IDOT Project No. D-91-086-16, PTB# 178-001, Contract 62B65 Lake Forest, IL.

Dear Mr. Murillo:

The following report presents the geotechnical analysis and recommendations for the construction of the proposed IDOT Pump Station #38 located at the southeast quadrant of US 41/C&NW RR/Skokie Valley Bike Path and Deerpath Road, Lake Forest, Illinois. A total of three (3) pump station borings (PS-01, PS-02 and PS-02A) were completed at the site by Geo Services, Inc. (GSI). Copies of the soil boring diagram, along with the boring logs, are included in this report.

If there are any questions regarding the information submitted herein, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

GEO SERVICES, Inc.

Richard Realeza Project Manager Office Phone: (847) 253-3845x202 richard@geoservicesinc.net

enc.

John Het

Andrew J. Ptak, P.E. Office Manager Office Phone: (847) 253-3845x204 drew@geoservicesinc.net

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 01: INTRODUCTION	2
SECTION 02: PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2
SECTION 03: SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES	3
SECTION 04: LAB TESTING PROGRAM	3
SECTION 05: SUBSURFACE AND GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	4
5.1 Soil Conditions	4
5.2 Groundwater Conditions	4
SECTION 06: GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS	4
6.1 Seismic Conditions	4
6.2 Settlement Analysis	4
SECTION 07: PUMP STATION WET WELL FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS	5
7.1 Pump Station Foundation Recommendation	5
7.2 Pump Station Wet Well Chamber Construction	5
7.3 Bottom Floor Slab Construction	6
7.4 Lateral Earth Support (For Earth Retention)	6
7.5 General Wall Design for the Pump Station Wet Well Chamber	7
7.6 General Construction Considerations for the Pump Station Wet Well Chamber	8
SECTION 08: DRIVE WAY/PAVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS	8
SECTION 09: GENERAL QUALIFICATIONS	

Appendix A: General Notes Appendix B: Soil Boring Plan Appendix C: Soil Boring Logs

SECTION 01: INTRODUCTION

The following report presents the results of the geotechnical investigation performed for the proposed IDOT Pump Station #38 located in the southeast quadrant US 41/C&NW RR/Skokie Valley Bike Path and Deerpath Road, Lake Forest, Illinois. This report is based upon the boring information obtained (PS-01, PS-02 and PS-02A, which were drilled in the month of September, 2017 at the proposed pump station site.

Boring locations were selected by Geo Services, Inc. and were reviewed and approved by Knight E/A. Boring locations were laid out in the field by GSI personnel at the proposed locations using a GPS handheld device. Offsets were made by the GSI field personnel, where borings were inaccessible to the drill rig at the pre-marked boring locations. Elevations of the as-drilled borings were taken from topographic information provided by Knight E/A and are shown on the boring logs.

The project is located in Lake Forest, Lake County, Illinois with the following range/township information: T44N R12E, Section 32. The project location is shown on the site location map included in the Appendix.

This report includes a site location map, boring location diagrams, soil profile and boring logs, as well as, descriptions of soil and groundwater conditions, recommendations pertaining to the design and construction of the pump station and general construction considerations for the site.

SECTION 02: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed IDOT Pump Station #38 will consist of the new pump station construction to be located approximately 80 feet southeast from Skokie Valley Bike Path and Deerpath Road, and 90 feet south of the proposed detention basin #1. Due to anticipated high volumes of stormwater runoff from the Deerpath Road underpass beneath US 41 and the C&NW Railroad, creating a new pump station will improve stormwater management in this area. The existing stormwater pipes are planned to be tied-in to a detention basin and to the newly constructed wet well and pump station.

The footprint/base slab area of the newly reconstructed wet well chamber (with pump pit and discharge pipes) will be approximately 1,470 square feet. Based on the crosssection drawings provided by Knight E/A, the wet well chamber will be approximately 35 feet deep, indicating the proposed structure to bear at elevations ranging from EL. 635 to 636 feet. The proposed concrete mat foundation will be approximately 4.5 feet thick. Wet well walls are proposed to be approximately 2 feet thick. Per Lake Forest Village Code, the pump station building is to be designed per 2003 IBC (per Village Code) and based on Load & Resistance Factor Design (LRFD). Approximate preliminary loads for the pump station were provided by Knight E/A, and are as follows:

- Weight of Structure = 3,580,000 lbs (service load)
- Maximum Volume of Water in Pump Station = 460,000 lbs (service)
- Total Maximum Weight = 4,040,000 lbs (service)
- Bearing Pressure = 2,750 psf (service)
- Bearing Pressure = 3,850 psf (factored)

SECTION 03: SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES

Borings PS-01, PS-02 and PS-02A were performed during the month of September, 2017. The borings were drilled using a truck and/or ATV-mounted drilling rig equipped with a CME automatic hammer, advanced by hollow stem augers to depths of 30 feet, and then switching to rotary drilling to completion. Representative soil samples were obtained employing split spoon sampling procedures in accordance with AASHTO Method T-206. Samples obtained in the field were brought to our laboratory for further examination and testing.

Split spoon sampling involves driving a 2.0-inch outside diameter split-barrel sampler into the soil with a 140-pound weight falling freely through a distance of 30 inches. Blow counts are recorded at 6" intervals and the blow counts are shown on the boring logs. The number of blows required to advance the sampler the last 12 inches is termed the Standard Penetration Resistance (N). The N-value is an indication of the relative density of the soil.

SECTION 04: LAB TESTING PROGRAM

The test procedures were performed in accordance with test procedures discussed in the IDOT Geotechnical Manual. All split-spoon samples obtained from the drilling operation were visually classified in the field. Cohesive samples were tested in the field for unconfined compressive strength using an IDOT modified RIMAC test device and/or calibrated penetrometer.

The soil testing program included performing water content, density and either unconfined compression and/or calibrated penetrometer tests on the cohesive samples recovered. Water content tests were performed on the non-cohesive samples recovered. These tests were performed upon representative portions of the samples obtained in the field. The results of the above testing, along with a visual classification of the material based upon both the Illinois textural classification and the AASHTO Soil Classification System, are indicated on the boring logs.

SECTION 05: SUBSURFACE AND GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

5.1 Soil Conditions

Boring logs can be found in Appendix C. The stratification lines shown on the boring logs represent the approximate boundary between soil types, and the actual transition may be gradual.

Surface conditions at the boring locations indicated 8 to 12 inches of topsoil, and 2.5 feet of sandy clay loam with stone fill beneath topsoil at boring PS-01. The soil profile generally consists of thick layers of stiff to hard clay to clay loam to boring termination at elevations ranging from 570 to 620 feet.

Moisture contents of the cohesive soils ranged from mid-teens to low twenties. Granular soils had moisture contents that ranged from low to mid-teens.

5.2 Groundwater Conditions

Groundwater was encountered at approximate elevation 624 to 652 feet at boring PS-02A before and after switching to rotary drilling techniques. Groundwater was dry at borings PS-01 and PS-02. Based on the coloration change of the soils from brown and gray to gray, we estimate the long term water table at elevation 658 to 665 feet. Fluctuations in the amount of water accumulated and in the hydrostatic water table can be anticipated depending upon variations in precipitation, and surface runoff.

SECTION 06: GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS

6.1 Seismic Conditions

Per 2003 IBC Building Code, the site has a seismic design category of A based on short-period (0.2 second) response acceleration, and a seismic design category of B based on 1-second period response acceleration; the project site is considered to be in a low seismic area. Liquefiable layers are not expected to impact the design of the new pump station.

6.2 Settlement Analysis

Based on a factored bearing pressure of 3,850 psf for the mat foundations, settlement is calculated to be less than 1 inch for the pump station chamber footprint; this calculated settlement also applies to the paving areas outside the pump station chamber. No settlement concerns are anticipated for the pump station well chamber. The paved areas around the pump station should also have settlements less than an inch

assuming proper construction backfilling/compaction techniques are followed when backfilling the pump station foundation excavation.

SECTION 07: PUMP STATION WET WELL FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Pump Station Foundation Recommendation

The pump station's wet well chamber and pump pit structures are proposed to be founded on approximately 4.5-foot thick reinforced concrete mat foundation. Based on the results of the soil borings, the support of the mat foundations will be based on very stiff to very stiff clay soils to approximate bottom of footing foundation at elevation 636.5 feet of the pump station chamber footprint. Conditions of the bearing material should be evaluated in the field by the Geotechnical Engineer at the time of construction.

The pump station chamber foundation, as well as the pump station building support to be constructed over the chamber structure (as shown in the cross-section plans provided by Knight E/A) can be designed for a maximum gross allowable bearing capacity of 4.5 kips per square foot (ksf) based on stiff to very stiff clay encountered at approximate elevations 635 to 636 feet, using resistance factor of 0.45 (per AASTHO LRFD Bridge Manual, Table 10.5.5.2.2-1).

All soils which become softened or loosened at the base of foundation excavation areas or subgrade areas should be carefully recompacted or removed prior to placement of foundation concrete. No foundation concrete should be placed in areas of ponded water or frozen soil.

7.2 Pump Station Wet Well Chamber Construction

Based on the pump station cross-sections as provided by Knight E/A, the construction of the well chamber will require a temporary soil retention system.

Open cut excavation will not be an option for the wet well construction due to the depth of excavation.

Based on the cross-sections provided by Knight E/A, the construction of the wet well chamber may need temporary soil retention system. Cantilevered sheet piling will not be feasible for temporary soil retention since the proposed retained height of approximately 35 feet is beyond limits of the IDOT Temporary Sheet Piling Design charts 3.13.1. A tie-back wall system (soldier pile and lagging of steel sheeting) or other temporary wall system provided by the Contractor will be needed as alternative soil retention. The soil parameters for lateral resistance shown in Table 1 of this report may be used for design of temporary retention system.

7.3 Bottom Floor Slab Construction

Based on the upper stratum clay soils encountered beneath the bottom elevation of the proposed mat foundation, we do not anticipate the use of seal coating. However, a "mud mat" may be placed prior to the installation of the mat foundation. The "mud mat" can consist of a lean concrete mix to resist the hydrostatic uplift and to provide a watertight, dry working surface condition for construction of the floor slab. Once the bottom floor slab is reached and leveled, the bottom floor slab is cast either directly on a mud mat over very stiff cohesive soils. Sump pits and pumps and/or dewatering methods will be needed to control groundwater seepage inside the wet well and relieve any buildup of hydrostatic pressure on the mud mat.

7.4 Lateral Earth Support (For Earth Retention)

The earth retention system should be designed to resist the appropriate lateral earth pressures. Allowances should be made for any surcharge loads adjacent to the earth retention system. The retention system should be designed for hydrostatic pressure. The base of the wall chamber is anticipated to be founded in stiff to very stiff clay soils. According to the NAVFAC Design Manual 7.2, a value of 0.55 may be used for the coefficient of friction (ultimate) between the concrete foundation and clay soils. On the following Table 1 is a tabulation of lateral soil parameters to be used for design of the earth retention system.

Material (elevation)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Drained Friction Angle (°)	Allowable Bond Strength (ksf) ¹	Undrained Cohesion (psf)	Lateral Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (pci)	Strain
Hard Clay (670 to 658)	125	32	1.2	5,000	2,500	0.004
Stiff to Very Stiff Clay (658 to 603) 125		28	0.5	2,000	800	0.005
Medium Stiff to Stiff Clay (603 to 589)	n Stiff to f Clay 120 to 589)		0.4	1,000	500	0.007
Very Stiff to Hard Clay (589 to 570)	125	32	1.0	4,500	2,000	0.004

Table 1 – Soil Parameters	for Lateral Resistance of Earth Reter	ition System
---------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------

Note: 1. Allowable bond strength is determined from the Ultimate Bond Stress divided by Factor of Safety of 3.0. Allowable bond strength assumes gravity grouted, straight shaft anchors. Average Ultimate Bond Stress values are referenced from FHWA Geotechnical Engineering Circular No. 4, Table 7 (page 73).

7.5 General Wall Design for the Pump Station Wet Well Chamber

Table 2 below provides the recommended earth pressures to be used for design of pump station wet well chamber wall. Earth pressures are influenced by the structural design of the walls, wall restraint conditions, construction methods, as well as backfill materials and compaction.

Earth Pressure Conditions	Coefficient for Backfill Type	Equivalent Fluid Density (pcf)	Surcharge Pressure, p ₁ (psf)	Earth Pressure, p₂ (psf)
At-Rest (Ko),	Granular - 0.46	57.5	(0.46)S	(57.5)H
Above Water Table	Cohesive or Mixed Backfill - 0.52	65	(0.52)S	(65)H
At-Rest (Ko),	Granular - 0.46	29	(0.46)S	(29)H
Below Water Table ¹	Cohesive or Mixed Backfill - 0.52	32.5	(0.52)S	(32.5)H

Table 2 –Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients for the Pump Station Wet Well Chamber

Note: 1. If below water table, the full hydrostatic head (62.4 psf/ft) also needs to be included.

Applicable conditions to the above include:

- Uniform surcharge, where S is surcharge pressure
- In-situ soil backfill weight a maximum of 125 pcf
- Horizontal backfill, compacted between 95 and 98 percent of modified Proctor maximum dry density
- Loading from heavy compaction equipment not included
- With hydrostatic pressures acting on wall
- No dynamic loading
- No safety factor included in soil parameters

Allowances should be made for any surcharge loads adjacent to the pump station chamber structure.

7.6 General Construction Considerations for the Pump Station Wet Well Chamber

For backfill material requirements placed between the temporary retaining wall and permanent walls, backfill should be in compliance with Section 502, Article 202.03, Article 204.02, Article 205.05, and Article 205.06 of the IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge construction. Backfill behind the wall may consist of compacted, granular material or clay fill. In addition, we recommend limiting top size to no greater than 6 inches in diameter to allow for ease of installation of deep foundations for support of the outside slabs.

We recommend that the backfill be compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 1557(Modified Proctor) method of test due to the amount of backfill going in (approximate depth of 35 feet) to limit settlement of the backfill and speed rate of the settlement. Proper moisture control is essential to achieve the desired densities.

Sump pits and pumps and/or dewatering methods may be needed to control groundwater in the deepest excavations based on the groundwater level encountered within the boring strata. Whenever groundwater is encountered, steps should be taken to allow the construction to be completed in relatively dry conditions.

The excavation walls will have to be shored or the sides of the excavations will have to be properly sloped in accordance with OSHA regulations. Movement of adjacent soils near the edge of and into excavation areas should be prevented. All excavations should be performed in accordance with the latest Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requirements. Allowances should be made for any surcharge loads adjacent to the excavation areas.

SECTION 08: DRIVEWAY/PAVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

We understand that the newly paved driveway/parking lot will be constructed at the south section of the proposed IDOT Pump Station #38. Based on the cross-sections provided by Knight E/A, the lot grade will at approximate elevation 671 feet. Considering the condition of the existing clay material at the pump station site (based on the blow-counts, moisture contents and soil strengths), the existing clay soils appear suitable for supporting the drive way/pavement.

If any unsuitable or soft areas are encountered at the time of construction, it is recommended that these soils be undercut and replaced with an approved embankment fill per IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction guidelines. The actual extent of stripping and undercutting of unstable soils should be determined in the field at the time of construction by the geotechnical engineer. In addition, standard proctor tests can be performed help estimate the degree of compaction of the existing soils.

For the new paved areas, we recommend the stripping of all topsoil (if any) from the surface prior to use. After this stripping operation and before placing any fill, we recommend that the exposed sub base or subgrade be proofrolled. Proofrolling aids in providing a firm subgrade for new pavement and for delineating soft or disturbed areas that may exist at or slightly below the sub base/subgrade level. Proofrolling may be accomplished with a fully loaded, tandem-axle dump truck or other equipment providing an equivalent subgrade loading. A minimum gross weight of 25 tons is recommended for the proofrolling equipment.

New embankment fill should consist of approved low-plasticity cohesive or granular materials that are free of organic matter and debris. The fill should have a maximum of 3 inches nominal particle size. Low plasticity cohesive soil should have a liquid limit of less than 45% and a plasticity index less than 20%, and the moisture content of the fill should not vary by more than ±3% of the optimum moisture content. Suitable granular fill materials include crushed materials meeting the IDOT gradation CA-6. Fill material used in pavement subgrade should also be non-frost susceptible. New fill should be placed in maximum 9-inch loose lifts compacted to a minimum of 95% of the maximum dry density obtained in accordance with ASTM Standard D-698, modified Proctor method.

The new fill materials should be placed during weather conditions and at moisture contents that permit the recommended degree of compaction to be obtained. Adjustment in the soil's moisture content may be required to obtain the recommended degree of compaction. The use of clay soils as a fill material during the winter, early spring or late fall will likely be limited because of poor weather conditions. The clay and silty clay soils present at the site are considered suitable for use as fill materials.

In new pavement sections where granular base materials are used over cohesive (clay) subgrade materials, it is possible that water can collect and become trapped causing a saturated subgrade condition which may soften the subgrade and increase the effects of frost action in these soils resulting in premature deterioration of the pavement section.

The clay fill soils found at the site are sensitive to remolding in the presence of water. Control of surface water from precipitation is required. Active measures, including proper grading and the use of inlets and storm drains, must be taken to properly drain the excavated areas. Construction traffic directly on the subgrade soils should be minimized.

Care should be taken in the design and construction of paved areas to provide rapid drainage of surface water and to develop surface drainage patterns which will divert water away from the pavement edges. When water is allowed to pond on or adjacent to the pavement, the subgrade may become saturated and accelerate pavement deterioration.

During excavation for the proposed improvements, movement of adjacent soils into the excavation should be prevented. All excavations should be performed in accordance with the latest Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requirements.

SECTION 09: GENERAL QUALIFICATIONS

The analysis and recommendations presented in this report are based upon the data obtained from the soil borings performed at the indicated locations and from any other information discussed in this report. This report does not reflect any variations that may occur between borings or across the site. In addition, the soil samples cannot be relied on to accurately reflect the strata variations that usually exist between sampling locations. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until construction. If variations appear evident, it will be necessary to reevaluate the recommendations of the report. In addition, it is recommended that Geo Services Inc. be retained to perform construction observation and thereby provide a complete professional geotechnical engineering service through the observational method.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of our client for specific application to the project discussed and has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices. No other warranties, either expressed or implied, are intended or made. In the event that any changes in the nature, design or location of the project as outlined in this report are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed and the conclusions of this report modified or verified in writing by the geotechnical engineer. Also note that Geo Services Inc. is not responsible for any claims, damages, or liability associated with any other party's interpretation of this report's subsurface data or reuse of the report's subsurface data or engineering analyses without the express written authorization of Geo Services Inc.

APPENDIX A

GENERAL NOTES

GENERAL NOTES

CLASSIFICATION

American Association of State Highway & Transportation Officials (AASHTO) System used for soil classification.

Cohesionless Soils

Relative

Density

Loose

Dense Very Dense

Very Loose

TERMINOLOGY

Streaks are considered to be paper thick. **Lenses** are considered to be less than 2 inches thick. **Layers** are considered to be less than 6 inches thick. **Stratum** are considered to be greater than 6 inches thick.

Cohesive Soils

Medium Dense

<u>Consistency</u>	<u>Strength - qu (tsf)</u>
Very Soft	Less than 0.25
Soft	0.25 - 0.5
Medium Stiff	0.5 - 1.0
Stiff	1.0 - 2.0
Very Stiff	2.0 - 4.0
Hard	Over 4.0

No. of Blows

per foot N

0 to 4

4 to 10

10 to 30

30 to 50

Over 50

DRILLING AND SAMPLING SYMBOLS

SS:	Split Spoon 1-3/8" I.D., 2" O.D.	
OT.	Challey Type OILOD average where we	

- ST: Shelby Tube 2" O.D., except where noted
- AS: Auger Sample
- DB: Diamond Bit NX: BX: AX
- CB: Carboloy Bit NX: BX: AX
- OS: Osterberg Sampler

WS:	Wash Sample
FT:	Fish Tail
RB:	Rock Bit
WO:	Wash Out

Housel Sampler

HS:

Standard "N" Penetration: Blows per foot of a 140 lb. hammer falling 30" on a 2" O.D. Split Spoon

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT SYMBOLS

WL:	Water	WD:	While Drilling
WCI:	Wet Cave In	BCR:	Before Casing Removal
DCI:	Dry Cave In	ACR:	After Casing Removal
WS:	While sampling	AB:	After Boring

Water levels indicated on the boring logs are the levels measured in the boring at the times indicated. In pervious soils, the indicated elevations are considered reliable ground water levels. In impervious soils, the accurate determination of ground water elevations is not possible in even several days observation, and additional evidence on ground water elevations must be sought.

APPENDIX B

SOIL BORING PLAN



APPENDIX C

SOIL BORING LOGS



GSI Job No. 16017

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>1</u> of <u>3</u> Date <u>9/5/17</u>

ROUTE	FAP 346	DE	SCR	PTION	I		Pump Station		L(OGGI	ED BY		JT
SECTION			_ เ			SW 1/	4, SEC. 32, TWP. T44N	N, RNG. R12E	, 3 rd PN	Λ			
COUNTY	Lake D	RILLING	6 ME	THOD	l	Hollow	Stem Auger/Rotary	_ HAMMER	TYPE	(CME A	utoma	tic
STRUCT. NO. Station			D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.	n/a n/a	_ ft _ ft	D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I
BORING NO. Station Offset	PS-01 53+94 57.70ft Right		H (ff)	VV S	Qu (tsf)	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion	Dry to -10.0 n/a	_ft _ft	H (fft)	vv S (/6")	Qu (tsf)	5 T
	with Stone-black	π	(14)	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(131)	(70)	CLAY-brown-stiff to h		_ π	(14)	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(131)	(70)
		669.83	. —			12	(continued)						
Stone-dark br	own &			5							3		
black-medium	ı dense (Fill)			6		11					5	2.0	18
				5							7	В	
	brown & gray hard	667.50		-									
12/18	sionn a gray nara			3							3		
10				5	4.2	24					5	1.9	21
3.6P			-5	7	В					-25	6	В	
		665.00		-									
	stiff to hard			3							2		
0GS/1				5	5.5	19					4	1.9	22
010				7	B						6	В	
ORIN													
017 B			_										
)/160				3	70	16	-				3	1 1	22
E				12	7.9 B	10					4 5	I.I B	23
78, 11			-10				-			30			
becoming gra	y @ -10.5'												
46 (F				5									
AP 3				8	5.3	18							
1 38 1				13	Б		-						
				1									
STP				5							2		
MU				8	3.6	17					4	1.2	24
1100			-15	14	В					35	4	В	
			_	-									
GHT				3									
KNIC				5	1.9	15							
6017				6	В								
016/1													
21S/2			_	2							А		
OJEC				4	1.7	21					+ 6	1,9	19
Z:\PR			-20	7	В					-40	9	В	

Geo Services, Inc. Geotechnical Environmental & Civil Engineering 805 Amherst Court, Suite 204 Naperville, Junois (0565 (630) 355-283.6

GSI Job No.	16017
GSI Job No.	16017

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>2</u> of <u>3</u> Date <u>9/5/17</u>

	ROUTE	FAP 346	_ DE	SCRI	PTION	I		Pump Station	L(DGGE	ED BY		IT
				L			SW 1/	4, SEC. 32, TWP. T44N, RNG. R12E	., 3 rd PN	1			
	COUNTY	Lake DR	ILLING	B ME	THOD	ł	Hollow	Stem Auger/Rotary HAMMER	TYPE	C	CME A	utoma	tic
	STRUCT. NO Station BORING NO Station Offset Ground Surface	PS-01 53+94 57.70ft Right 2e Elev. 670.50		D E P T H	B L O W S (/6")	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)	Surface Water Elev. n/a Stream Bed Elev. n/a Groundwater Elev.: Dry to -10.0 Upon Completion n/a After 24 Hrs. -	_ ft _ ft _ ft _ ft _ ft	D E P T H	B L O ₩ S (/6")	U C S Qu (tsf)	M O I S T (%)
	CLAY-brown-sti (continued)	ff to hard						CLAY-brown-stiff to hard (continued)					
15/18					6						4		
GPJ 10/					8	2.0 B	23			65	5 6	1.0 B	27
17_L0G.													
JGS/160									603 50				
DRING L								SILTY CLAY LOAM-gray-soft					
16017 B(3						3		
ITEM 1)/				-50	4 7	1.5 B	19			-70	3 4	0.3 B	21
(PTB 178,													
FAP 346								CLAY-gray-medium stiff to stiff	598.50				
TION 38													
JMP STA					4	2.2	20				2	1.2	17
IDOT PL				-55	9	В				-75	4	В	
GHT EA,													
5017 KNI													
5\2016\16													
ROJECTS					2 10	3.0	22				2 3	0.6	22
Z:\PF				-60	13	Р				-80	4	В	

Geo Services, Inc. Geotechnical, Environmental & Civil Engineering 805 Amherist Court: Suite 204 Naperville, Juliotis 60565 (630) 355-2848
--

GSI Job No. 16017

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>3</u> of <u>3</u> Date <u>9/5/17</u>

ROUTE	FAP 346	DES	SCR	IPTION	۱		Pump Station		LOGGED BY	JT
			_ L	OCAT		SW 1/-	4, SEC. 32, TWP. T44	N, RNG. R12E, 3 [°]	rd PM	
COUNTY	Lake	DRILLING	ME	THOD	ł	Hollow	Stem Auger/Rotary	HAMMER TYP	PE CME Aut	omatic
STRUCT. NO			D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.	n/aft n/aft		
Station	53+94 57_70ft Right		Ĥ	S	Qu	T	First Encounter	<u>Dry to -10.0</u> ft		
Ground Surfa	ce Elev. 670.5	50 ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)	After <u>24</u> Hrs.	ft		
CLAY-gray-med (continued) Drillers Observa	dium stiff to stiff ation: Possible	589.50								
CLAY LOAM-gi	ray-hard	588.50								
				18 23	8.8	11				
			-85	35	В					
				-						
				-						
				14	12.2	10				
			-90	33	S					
				-						
6				-						
				9	53	12				
			-95	22	B	12				
		-		-						
				-						
				12						
End Of Boring of backfilled with of	@ -100.0'. Boring cuttings.	570.50	-100	12 18	5.6 B	14				



GSI Job No. 16017

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>1</u> of <u>2</u> Date <u>9/13/17</u>

	ROUTE	FAP 346	DES	SCRI	PTION	I		Pump Station		L(DGGI	ED BY		IT
				_ L	OCAT		SW 1/	4, SEC. 32, TWP. T44N,	RNG. R12E	, 3 rd PN	1			
		Lake C	ORILLING	ME	THOD		Hol	low Stem Auger	HAMMER	TYPE _	Die	edrich	Autom	atic
	STRUCT. NO Station BORING NO.	PS-02		D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S	Surface Water Elev Stream Bed Elev Groundwater Elev.:	n/a n/a	ft ft	D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S
	Station	54+32		н	S	Qu	т	First Encounter	652.0	ft ▼	н	S	Qu	Т
	Offset Ground Surfac	89.00ft Right	0 ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	Upon Completion	624.5	ft⊻ ff	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
	12.0" TOPSOIL-	black	<u> </u>	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,	CLAY-gray-stiff to very	' stiff		. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,
			669.50				13	(continued)						
	CLAY-brown & g	gray-hard			7							3		
					8	5.8 D	21					3	1.8 ¤	19
					9	Б					_	0	Ь	
18														
10/15/			-		6	4.5	10					2	1.0	10
, Lde				_	8 10	4.5 P	19					5 7	1.9 B	18
0.06.0				-5	10	•					-25	,		
017_1														
3S\16					7	4.5	10					4	1.0	01
0 LOC			-		17	4.5 P	16					5 6	1.9 B	21
ORING					.,						_	•		
17 BC														
)\160			-		4	0.4	10					2	0.4	01
LEM 1				10	9 14	B	10				20	5 6	∠. i B	21
178, I ⁻			660.00	-10							30			
PTB 1	CLAY LOAM-gra	ay-hard												
346 (9	4.5	12							
FAP			-		9	ч.5 Р	15							
38 NC			657.50											
TATIO	CLAY-gray-stiff	to very stiff										0		
MP S					4	28	18					2	19	22
T PU				-15	8	B					-35	5	В	~~
Å IDC														
HT E/					4									
KNIG					4 5	2.2	19			622 50				
6017			-		7	В		SILTY CLAY-gray-very	/ stiff	033.50				
016\1														
CTS/2			-	Y	2							2		
OJEC					4	1.1	19					∠ 5	2.7	19
Z:\PR				-20	5	В					-40	8	В	

Geo Services, Inc. Geotechnical Environmental & Civil Engineering 805 Amherst Court, Suite 204 Naperville, Jungis (0565 (630) 355-283/8

GSI Job No. 16017

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>

Date	9/13/17

	ROUTE	FAP 346	DE					Pump Station	L0	LOGGED BYJT			
	SECTION			_ L	.OCAT		<u>SW 1/</u>	4, SEC. 32, TWP. T44N	, RNG. R12E, 3 rd PN	Λ			
		Lake	DRILLING	B ME	THOD		Hol	llow Stem Auger	HAMMER TYPE	Diedrich Automatic			
	STRUCT. NO. Station	DO 00		D E P T	B L O W	U C S	M O I S	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.	n/a ft n/a ft				
	Station Offset Ground Surfa	PS-02 54+32 89.00ft Righ ce Elev. 670	nt .50 ft	H (ft)	S (/6")	Qu (tsf)	т (%)	First Encounter Upon Completion	<u>652.0</u> ft ⊻ <u>624.5</u> ft ⊻ - ft				
G.GPJ 10/15/18	SILTY CLAY-gi (continued)	ray-very stiff	<u></u>		5 8 11	2.3 B	19		K				
EM 1)/16017 BORING LOGS/16017_LOG	CLAY-gray-ver	y stiff @ -50.0'. Boring	623.50	⊻ 	4 5	2.1	19						
2:\PROJECTS\2016\16017 KNIGHT EA, IDOT PUMP STATION 38 FAP 346 (PTB 178, ITE	backfilled with o	cuttings.	620.50	-50 	6	В							



GSI Job No. <u>16017</u>

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>1</u> of <u>2</u> Date <u>9/5/17</u>

	ROUTE	FAP 346	DE	SCR	PTION	I		Pump Station	L(OGG	ED BY		JT
	SECTION			_ เ			SW 1/	4, SEC. 32, TWP. T44N, RNG. R12E	<u>=, 3rd PN</u>	1			
		Lake D	RILLING	6 ME	THOD		Ho	low Stem Auger HAMMER	TYPE	(CME A	utoma	tic
	STRUCT. NO			D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. n/a Stream Bed Elev. n/a	_ ft _ ft	D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I
	BORING NO Station Offset	PS-02A 54+32 99.00ft Right		Т Н	W S	Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter 627.0 Upon Completion 630.5	_ ft ⊻ _ ft ⊻	Т Н	W S	Qu	S T
	Ground Surfac	ce Elev. 670.50) ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After <u>24</u> Hrs	_ ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
	8.0" TOPSOIL-	olack	669.83	. —			26	CLAY to CLAY LOAM-gray-stiff to hard (continued)					
	CLAY LOAM-br	own & gray-hard			5		20				3		
					6	7.8	16				5	2.2	20
					7	В					7	В	
					1								
15/18					5						2		
J01 U					8	8.0	17				5	1.8	21
G.GP				-5	11	В				-25	6	В	
7_L0		LOAM gray stiff to	665.00		1								
1601	hard				7						2		
OGS					11	9.0	18				4	2.6	21
NGL					17	В					7	В	
BOR					1								
6017					5						3		
M 1)/1					8	5.5	19				4	2.2	22
ΞL				-10	11	В				-30	6	В	
3 178				_	-					_			
) (PTI					4								
P 346					8	2.8	19						
38 FA					10	В							
NOL					1								
STAT				_	3					_	3		
UMP					3	2.3	19				4	2.0	23
OTP				-15	5	В				-35	6	В	
EA, ID					-								
HTE					3								
KNIC				_	5	2.2	18						
16017					7	В							
2016\1													
CTS/2				_	3						3		
OUEC					6	1.7	20				5	4.4	16
Z:\PR				-20	7	В				▽-40	8	В	

Geo Services, Inc. Geotechnical, Environmental & Civil Engineering 805 Ammerst Court: Suité 204 Naperville, Illingis 60565 (630) 355-2838

GSI Job No. 16017

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u> Date <u>9/5/17</u>

	ROUTE	FAP 346	DE	SCR	PTION	I		Pump Station		LO	GGED BY	JT
	SECTION			_ L	.OCAT	ION _	<u>SW 1/</u>	4, SEC. 32, TWP. T44N	, RNG. R12E	, 3 rd PM		
		Lake D	RILLING	6 ME	THOD		Hol	llow Stem Auger		TYPE _	CME Auto	matic
	STRUCT. NO Station			D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev Stream Bed Elev	n/a n/a	ft ft		
	BORING NO Station Offset	PS-02A 54+32 99.00ft Right		H H	W S	Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion	<u>627.0</u> 630.5	ft ⊻ ft ⊻		
		Elev. 670.50	ft	(11)	(/0)	(ISI)	(%)	After <u>24</u> Hrs	-	ft		
18	hard (continued))										
0/15/				<u> </u>	4		40					
GGPJ				-45	6 11	2.3 P	19					
8017_L0												
DGS/1												
ING LO												
7 BOR												
\16017					4							
TEM 1)	End Of Boring @ backfilled with c	<u></u> -50.0'. Boring <u></u> uttings.	620 50	50	6 8	2.6 B	17					
178, F			020.00	-50	-							
3 (PTB												
AP 346												
N 38 F												
TATIO												
IMP S												
OT PL				-55								
EA, ID												
IIGHT												
017 KN												
16/16(_								
3TS\20				_								
SOJEC												
Z:\PF				-60								