Structural Geotechnical Report

Proposed US 41 Culvert Reconstruction North of Illinois 173 to South of Westleigh Wadsworth, Gurnee and Lake Forest Lake County, IL

Reinforced Concrete Box Culvert Modifications SN-049-0228, SN-049-2016, SN-049-2015, SN-049-0575

Prepared for:



IDOT PTB 191-002 Contract: 62J26

Project Design Engineer: Quigg Engineering Inc.

Prepared by:



623 Cooper Court • Schaumburg, IL 60173 Tel: 630.994.2600 • Fax: 312.733.5612 www.gsg-consultants.com

September 1, 2020



623 Cooper Court . Schaumburg, IL 60173 Tel: 630.994.2600 . Fax: 312.733.5612

Integrity Quality Reliability

September 1, 2020

Mr. Jameel Ahmed Transportation Manager Quigg Engineering Inc. 111 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 3910 Chicago, IL 60606

Structural Geotechnical Report Reinforced Concrete Box Culverts Proposed US 41 Culvert Reconstruction IDOT PTB 191-002 Contract: 62J26 Lake County, IL

Dear Mr. Ahmed:

Attached is a copy of the Structural Geotechnical Report for the above referenced project. The report provides a brief description of the site investigation, site conditions, and geotechnical recommendations for the proposed improvements. The site investigation included advancing seven (7) borings to depths of 40 feet and collecting twelve (12) pavement cores.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please call us at 630-994-2600.

Sincerely,

the

Rachel Miller, P.E. Project Engineer

Dawn Edgell.

Dawn Edgell, P.E. Sr. Project Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO	DUCTION
	1.1	Existing Site Conditions1
	1.2	Proposed Reconstruction2
	1.3	Regional Geology3
2.0	SITE SU	BSURFACE EXPLORATION PROGRAM4
	2.1	Subsurface Exploration Program4
	2.2	Laboratory Testing Program5
	2.3	Existing Pavement Conditions5
	2.4	Subsurface Soil Conditions
	2.5	Groundwater Conditions8
3.0	GEOTE	CHNICAL ANALYSIS
	3.1	Derivation of Soil Parameters for Design10
	3.2	Seismic Parameters15
4.0	GEOTE	CHNICAL DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS
	4.1	Culvert and Wingwall Foundation Recommendations16
	4.2	Shallow Foundation Bearing Capacity and Settlement16
	4.3	Sheet Pile Wall Design
	4.4	Lateral Load Resistance19
	4.4.1	Culverts and Wingwalls – Lateral Resistance19
5.0	CONST	RUCTION CONSIDERATIONS
	5.1	Site Preparation
	5.2	Scour Considerations
	5.3	Site Excavation
	5.4	Borrow Material and Compaction Requirements26
	5.5	Groundwater Management27
6.0	LIMITA	TIONS

<u>Exhibits</u>

Exhibit 1	Proiect Location	Map
	The section	1 TIGP

<u>Tables</u>

Table 1	Summary of Existing Culverts
Table 2	Summary of Subsurface Exploration Borings
Table 3	Pavement Summary
Tables 4a-4d	Summary of Soil Parameters
Table 5	Seismic Parameters
Table 6	Recommended Bearing Resistance
Tables 7a-7d	Lateral Resistance Soil Parameters for Culverts
Table 8	OSHA Excavation Slopes

Appendices

- Appendix A General Plans (dated 06/01/2020)
- Appendix B Boring Location Plans
- Appendix C Soil Boring Logs
- Appendix D Pavement Core Photo Logs

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT), Quigg Engineering Inc. (Quigg) retained GSG Consultants, Inc. (GSG) to complete a geotechnical investigation and to provide recommendations regarding the proposed US 41 Culvert Reconstruction. The four structures (SN-049-0228, SN-049-2016, SN-049-2015 and SN-049-0575) are located along US 41, from north of Illinois 173 to south of Westleigh Road. Structure SN 049-0228 is located in the Village of Wadsworth; SN 049-2015 and SN 049-2016 are located in the Village of Gurnee; and SN 049-0575 is located in the City of Lake Forest, Illinois. The location of the project corridor is marked below **(Project Location Map – Exhibit 1)**.



Exhibit 1: Project Location Map

1.1 Existing Site Conditions

There are four (4) existing drainage structures which will be modified as part of the proposed US 41 Culverts Reconstruction project. Each existing culvert structure (SN-049-0228, SN-049-2016, SN-049-2015 and SN-049-0575) consists of a 6'x 6' concrete box culvert conveying unnamed creeks under US 41.



1.2 Proposed Reconstruction

According to the 'FAP 346 (US Route 41) over Unnamed Creeks' provided by Quigg, portions of the existing drainage structures will be removed and replaced. At structure 0228, the wingwalls and approximately 7 feet of culvert, will be removed and replaced at each end of the culvert; the culvert bottom slab will remain. A corrugated steel liner plate arch will be installed within the culvert, and the area between the existing culvert and proposed culvert liner plates will be filled with grout or controlled low strength material (CLSM).

At structure 2016, the wingwalls and approximately 7 feet of culvert, will be removed and replaced at each end of the culvert, and the remaining portions of the top slab and sidewalls will be repaired.

At structure 2015, the wingwalls and approximately 7.5 feet of culvert, will be removed and replaced at each end of the culvert; the remaining sections of the top slab and sidewalls will be repaired.

At structure 0575, portions of the top slab, wingwalls and headwalls of the box culvert will be removed. Two new manholes will be constructed inside the culvert at the median and the west end of the culvert; a 24-inch storm sewer will be installed within the western portion of the existing culvert to connect the new manholes. The space between the existing culvert and the proposed storm sewer will be filled with CLSM.

It is anticipated that temporary sheet piling will be necessary at each of the locations for construction. **Table 1** presents a summary of the drainage structures. The design plans prepared by HBM, dated 06/01/2020 and 08/21/2020, are attached in **Appendix A**.



Structure Number	Proposed Structure	Proposed Stationing at Structure Center ¹	U.S. Inv. Elevation (ft.)	D.S. Inv. Elevation (ft.)	Slope (%)	Total Length
SN-049-0228	6'x 6' Concrete Box Culvert	50+01.91	682.4	681.7	0.48	138' 3"
SN-049-2016	6'x 6' Concrete Box Culvert	379+99.95	660.3	659.8	0.37	112' 9.5"
SN-049-2015	6'x 6' Concrete Box Culvert	393+60.24	661.2	660.5	0.55	112' 11"
SN-049-0575	6'x 6' Concrete Box Culvert	70+00.25	Manhole Inv. 670.89	SS Inv. 673.2	0.50	99' 10"

Table 1 – Summary of Existing Culverts

¹ Based on existing US 41 Stationing

1.3 Regional Geology

GSG reviewed several published documents in an effort to determine the regional geological setting in the area of the site. The site is located in Lake County. The surficial geologic deposits in this area are typically glacial drift deposited during the Wisconsin Age and sediments deposited by the Des Plaines River and various high-level states of Lake Michigan. The subsurface profile in the area of the site is variable and consists of deposits of silty clay, sand, and/or gravel extending to approximately 100 to 200 feet below ground surface, at which point bedrock is encountered. Deposits in the area are primarily from the Mackinaw Member of the Henry Formation and Blodgett Moraine of the Lake Border Morainic System. Underlying the surficial deposits, the bedrock is predominantly from the Silurian System, Niagaran Series, which consist almost entirely of dolomite.



2.0 SITE SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION PROGRAM

This section describes the subsurface exploration program and laboratory testing program completed as part of this project. The subsurface exploration program was performed in accordance with applicable IDOT geotechnical manuals and procedures.

2.1 Subsurface Exploration Program

The subsurface soil investigation was conducted between March 12 and March 31, 2020 and included advancing a total of seven (7) soil borings to depths of 40 feet. Boring location 2015-B-2 was terminated on an obstruction at a depth of 1.5 feet, then offset approximately 5 feet, and drilled to 40 feet (boring 2015-B-2A). The borings were completed through the existing shoulder and median pavement on US 41. The soil boring locations were selected by GSG based on the proposed plans provided by Quigg and completed at locations based on field conditions and site accessibility. **Table 2** presents a list of the borings completed along with their location information.

Boring	Location	Existing Ground Elevation (ft)	Depth (ft)
SN-049-0228-B-1	Northbound Shoulder	691.76	40
SN-049-0228-B-2	Southbound Shoulder	689.78	40
SN-049-2016-B-1	Northbound Shoulder	668.33	40
SN-049-2016-B-2	Southbound Shoulder	668.50	40
SN-049-2015-B-1	Northbound Shoulder	669.18	40
SN-049-2015-B-2A	Southbound Shoulder	669.60	40
SN-049-0575-B-2	Southbound Shoulder	679.08	40

Table 2 – Summary of Subsurface Exploration Borings

The existing ground surface elevations for the borings were based on the field survey performed by GSG. The approximate locations of the soil borings are shown on the **Boring Location Plans** (Appendix B).

The soil borings were drilled using a truck mounted Diedrich D-50 and truck mounted Mobile B-57 drill rig, using 3¼-inch I.D. hollow stem augers and automatic hammers. Soil sampling was



performed according to AASHTO T 206, "Penetration Test and Split Barrel Sampling of Soils." Soil samples were obtained at 2.5-foot intervals to depths of 15 feet and 5-foot intervals thereafter to soil boring termination. GSG's field representative inspected, visually classified and logged the soil samples during the subsurface exploration activities and performed unconfined compressive strength tests on cohesive soil samples using a calibrated hand penetrometer in accordance with IDOT procedures and requirements. Representative soil samples were collected from each sample interval, were placed in jars, and returned to the laboratory for further testing and evaluation.

2.2 Laboratory Testing Program

All samples were inspected in the laboratory to verify the field classifications. A laboratory testing program was undertaken to characterize and determine engineering properties of the subsurface soils encountered in the area of the proposed improvements. Moisture content tests (ASTM D2216 / AASHTO T-265) were performed on representative soil samples. The laboratory tests were performed in accordance with test procedures outlined in the IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2015), and per ASTM and AASHTO requirements. Based on the laboratory test results, the soils encountered were classified according to the AASHTO and the Illinois Division of Highways (IDH) classification systems. The results of the laboratory testing program are shown along with the field test results in the **Soil Boring Logs (Appendix C)**.

2.3 Existing Pavement Conditions

The borings generally encountered 6 to 18 inches of asphalt; the pavement cores encountered 6.5 to 14 inches of asphalt. Gravel/sand subbase material was not encountered below the pavement. In addition to the soil borings, twelve pavement cores were completed along the shoulders of US 41 in the vicinity of the culverts. A summary of the pavement thicknesses from the borings and pavement cores is shown in **Table 3**. Photographs of the pavement cores collected are included in **Appendix D**.



Boring ID/Pavement Core ID	Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)	Subbase Thickness (in.)	Total Pavement and Subbase Thickness (in.)
SN-049-0228-B-1	Northbound Shoulder	6.0	None	6.0
SN-049-0228-B-2	Southbound Shoulder	6.0	None	6.0
SN-049-2016-B-1	Northbound Shoulder	8.0	None	8.0
SN-049-2016-B-2	Southbound Shoulder	7.0	None	7.0
SN-049-2015-B-1	Northbound Shoulder	8.0	None	8.0
SN-049-2015-B-2A	Southbound Shoulder	8.0	None	8.0
SN-049-0575-B-2	Southbound Shoulder	18.0	None	18.0
SN-049-0228-PC-1	Southbound Outside Shoulder	6.5	None	6.5
SN-049-0228-PC-2	Southbound Gore Area	9.75	None	9.75
SN-049-0228-PC-3	Southbound Inside Shoulder	10.0	None	10.0
SN-049-0228-PC-4	Northbound Inside Shoulder	9.0	None	9.0
SN-049-0228-PC-5	Northbound Outside Shoulder	8.0	None	8.0
SN-049-2016-PC-1	Southbound Inside Shoulder	12.0	None	12.0
SN-049-2016-PC-2	Southbound Outside Shoulder	8.0	None	8.0
SN-049-2015-PC-1	Northbound Inside Shoulder	7.5	None	7.5
SN-049-2015-PC-2	Northbound Outside Shoulder	6.75	None	6.75
SN-049-0575-PC-1	Southbound Outside Shoulder	11.0	None	11.0
SN-049-0575-PC-2	Northbound Outside Shoulder	9.0	None	9.0
SN-049-0575-PC-3	Southbound Inside Shoulder	14.0	None	14.0

Table 3 – Pavement Summary

2.4 Subsurface Soil Conditions

This section provides a brief description of the soils encountered in the borings performed. Variations in the general subsurface soil profile were noted during the drilling activities. Detailed descriptions of the subsurface soils are provided in the soil boring logs. The soil boring logs provide specific conditions encountered at each boring location. The soil boring logs include soil



descriptions, stratifications, penetration resistance, elevations, location of the samples, and laboratory test data. Unless otherwise noted, soil descriptions indicated on boring logs are visual identifications. The stratifications shown on the boring logs represent the conditions only at the actual boring locations and represent the approximate boundary between subsurface materials; however, the actual transition may be gradual.

SN-049-0228

Borings SN-049-0228-B-1 and SN-049-0228-B-2 were drilled in the vicinity of structure 0228 over an unnamed creek in Wadsworth, Illinois. The borings were drilled through the existing pavement on the shoulder of US 41, and initially noted 6 inches of asphalt. Beneath the asphalt, a mixture of silty clay fill, silty clay loam fill and sand fill soils were encountered to a depth of 11.5 feet below grade. At boring 0228-B-2 the fill soils were underlain by very stiff to stiff, brown and gray to gray silty clay soils, to the boring termination at 40 feet. At boring location 0228-B-1 the fill soils were underlain by a mixture of very stiff to hard, brown to gray, cohesive silty clay, sandy clay loam, and loose to dense, brown to gray silty sand and sandy loam.

The unconfined compressive strength values of the native silty clay and sandy clay loam soils ranged between 1 tsf and 4.5 tsf. The SPT blow count 'N' values of the granular soils ranged between 9 and 32 blows per foot (bpf).

SN-049-2016

Borings SN-049-2016-B-1 and SN-049-2016-B-2 were drilled in the vicinity of structure 2016 over an unnamed creek in Gurnee, Illinois. The borings were drilled through the existing pavement on the shoulder of US 41, and initially noted 7 to 8 inches of asphalt. Beneath the asphalt, a mixture of brown, gray and black silty clay fill and silty clay loam fill were encountered to a depth of 13.5 to 14 feet below grade. The fill soils were underlain by medium stiff to very stiff, brown and gray to gray, native silty clay to the soil boring termination at 40 feet. Granular silt and sandy clay seams were observed at depths of 28.5 and 29 feet.

The unconfined compressive strength values of the native silty clay ranged between 0.8 tsf and 3.1 tsf.



SN-049-2015

Borings SN-049-2015-B-1, and SN-049-2015-B-2A were drilled in the vicinity of structure 2015 over an unnamed creek in Gurnee, Illinois. The borings were drilled through the existing pavement on the shoulders of US 41, and initially noted 8 to 9.5 inches of asphalt. Beneath the asphalt, a mixture of silty clay fill, silty clay loam fill and sand fill soils were encountered to depths of 8.5 to 14 feet below grade. The fill soils were predominantly underlain by hard to stiff, brown and gray silty clay soils (with some sandy clay) to the boring termination at 40 feet. Very loose to medium dense, gray sand strata were interspersed within the silty clay from about 30 to 40 feet.

The unconfined compressive strength values of the native silty clay and sandy clay soils ranged between 1.5 tsf and 4.5 tsf. The SPT blow count 'N' values of the granular soils ranged between 3 and 17 bpf.

SN-049-0575

Boring SN-049-0575-B-2 was drilled in the vicinity of structure 0575 over an unnamed creek in Lake Forest, Illinois. The boring was drilled through the existing pavement on the outside southbound shoulder of US 41, and initially noted 18 inches of asphalt. Beneath the asphalt, a mixture of brown, gray and black silty clay fill and silty clay loam fill, with some organics, sand and gravel, were encountered to a depth of 18 feet below grade. The fill soils were underlain by stiff, gray silty clay soils to the boring termination at 40 feet. The unconfined compressive strength values of the native silty clay and sandy clay soils ranged between 1 tsf and 1.9 tsf.

2.5 Groundwater Conditions

Water levels were checked in each boring to determine the general groundwater conditions present at the site and were measured while drilling and after each boring was completed. Groundwater was typically encountered while drilling at depths ranging from 18.5 to 38.5 feet, Water was not encountered at boring locations 0228-B-2 and 2015-B-2. Groundwater was not encountered after drilling in any of the boring locations.

Based on the observed water levels and color change from brown to gray, it is anticipated that the long-term groundwater level could range from 13.5 to 33.5 feet below grade, at the various locations along the corridor. The water level the in the vicinity of the proposed culverts may rise to near the level of the adjacent unnamed creeks. Water level readings were made in the



boreholes at times and under conditions shown on the boring logs and stated in the text of this report. However, it should be noted that fluctuations in groundwater level may occur due to variations in rainfall, other climatic conditions, or other factors not evident at the time measurements were made and reported herein.



3.0 GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS

This section provides GSG's geotechnical analysis and recommendations for the design of the proposed improvements based the results of the field exploration, laboratory testing, and geotechnical analysis. Subsurface conditions in unexplored locations may vary from those encountered at the boring locations. If structure locations, loadings, or elevations are changed, we request that GSG be contracted so that we may re-evaluate our recommendations.

3.1 Derivation of Soil Parameters for Design

GSG determined the geotechnical parameters to be used for the project design based on the results of the field and laboratory test data on individual boring logs as well as our experience. Unit weights, friction angles and shear strength parameters were estimated using standard penetration test (SPT) results for the fill and cohesionless soils and in-situ and laboratory test results for cohesive soils. The SPT values were corrected for hammer efficiency and overburden weight. The hammer efficiency correction factor considers the use of a safety hammer/rope/cathead system, generally estimated to be 60% efficient. Thus, correlations should be based upon what is currently termed as N₆₀ data. The efficiency of the automatic hammers for the truck mounted Diedrich D-50 drill and the truck mounted Mobile B-57 drill were estimated to be approximately 88% and 90%, respectively, based on GSG's most recent calibrations records. The correction for hammer efficiency is a direct ratio of relative efficiencies. The following equation should be used in calculating the corrected blow counts for the purposes of design and analysis:

 $N_{60} = N_{Field}^{*}(88/60)$ (Diedrich D-50) $N_{60} = N_{Field}^{*}(90/60)$ (Mobile B-57)

*Where the N_{Field} value is the field recorded blow counts during drilling activities.

Based on the field investigation data collected, generalized soil parameters for the soils for use in design at each of the culvert locations are presented in **Tables 4a** thru **4d**.



Depth /		In situ	Undra	ined	Drained	
Elevation Range (feet)	Soil Description	Unit Weight γ (pcf)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)
	New Engineered Clay Fill	125	1,000	0	50	25
	New Engineered Granular Fill	125	0	30	0	30
0-1.7 (690-688.3) B-1 only	FILL Brown and Gray Sand	136	0	26	0	26
0.7-11.7 (689.3-678.3) B-2 only	FILL Brown and Gray Silty Clay Loam	120	0	30	0	30
1.7-9.7 (688.3-680.3) B-1 only	FILL Brown, Gray and Black Silty Clay	135	3,000	0	300	25
9.7-40.2 (680.3-649.8)	Brown to Gray Stiff to Hard Silty Clay	134	2,800	0	280	28
16.7-21.7 (673.3-668.3) B-1 only	Brown Loose Silty Sand	120	0	30	0	30
21.7-31.7 (668.3-658.3) B-1 only	Brown Very Stiff Sandy Clay Loam	131	2,250	0	220	28
31.7-36.7 (658.3-653.3) B-1 only	Gray Dense Sandy Loam	132	0	35	0	35

Table 4a – Summary of Soil Parameters (SN-049-0228)



Depth / Elevation		In situ Unit	Undrained		Drained	
Range (feet)	Soil Description	Weight γ (pcf)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)
	New Engineered Clay Fill	125	1,000	0	50	25
	New Engineered Granular Fill	125	0	30	0	30
0-14 (668.5-654.5)	FILL Brown and Gray and Black Silty Clay	138	1,860	0	75	25
0.8-8.7 (667.7-659.9) B-1 only	FILL Black and Gray Silty Clay Loam	138	1,860	0	75	25
14-40 (654.5-628.5)	Gray Medium Stiff to Very Stiff Silty Clay	137	1,730	0	175	26

Table 4b – Summary of Soil Parameters (SN-049-2016)



Depth /		In situ	Undrained		Drained	
Elevation Range (feet)	Soil Description	Unit Weight γ (pcf)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)
	New Engineered Clay Fill	125	1,000	0	50	25
	New Engineered Granular Fill	125	0	30	0	30
0.1-2.9 (668.9-666.1) B-2A	FILL Brown Sand	136	0	30	0	30
0.5-3.3 (668.5-665.7) B-1 only	FILL Brown Silty Clay Loam	132	0	26	0	26
3-11 (666-658)	FILL Brown and Gray Silty Clay	139	4,000	0	400	25
11-32 (658-637)	Brown and Gray Hard to Stiff Silty Clay	136	3,300	0	330	28
28.9-33.9 (640.1-635.1) B-2A only	Gray Medium Dense Sand	123	0	34	0	34
33.8-38.8 (635.2-630.2) B-1 only	Gray Very Loose Sand	105	0	28	0	28
33.9-39.8 (635.1-629.2)	Gray and Brown Very Stiff Silty Clay	133	2,550	0	250	28

Table 4c – Summary of Soil Parameters (SN-049-2015)



Depth / Elevation		In situ Unit	Undrained		Drained	
Range (feet)	Soli Description	Weight γ (pcf)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)
	New Engineered Clay Fill	125	1,000	0	50	25
	New Engineered Granular Fill	125	0	30	0	30
0-7 (679.1-672.1)	FILL Brown, Gray and Black Silty Clay Loam	121	0	26	0	26
7-10 (672.1-669.1)	FILL Brown and Gray Silty Clay	133	2,500	0	250	25
10-13 (669.1-666.1)	FILL Brown and Black Silty Clay Loam	133	0	30	0	30
13-18 (666.1-661.1)	FILL Brown and Gray Silty Clay	147	7,300	0	300	25
18-40 (661.1-639.1)	Gray Stiff Silty Clay	135	1,500	0	150	28

Table 4d – Summary of Soil Parameters (SN-049-0575)



3.2 Seismic Parameters

The seismic hazard for the site was analyzed per the IDOT Geotechnical Manual, IDOT Bridge Design Manual, and AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications.

The Seismic Soil Site Class was determined per the requirements of All Geotechnical Manual Users (AGMU) Memo 9.1, Design Guide for Seismic Site Class Determination, and the "Seismic Site Class Determination" Excel spreadsheet provided by IDOT. A global Site Class Definition was determined for this project, and was found to be Soil Site Class D. The Seismic Performance Zone (SPZ) was determined using Figure 2.3.10-2 in the IDOT Bridge Manual and was found to be Seismic Performance Zone 1.

The AASHTO Seismic Design Parameters program was used to determine the peak ground acceleration coefficient (PGA), and the short (S_{DS}) and long (S_{D1}) period design spectral acceleration coefficients for each of the proposed structures. For this section of the project, the S_{DS} and the S_{D1} were determined using 2017 AASHTO Guide Specifications as shown in **Table 5**. Given the site location and materials encountered, the potential for liquefaction is minimal.

Building Code Reference	PGA	S _{DS}	S _{D1}
2017 AASHTO Guide for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design	0.035g	0.123g	0.076g

Table 5 – Seismic Parameters



4.0 GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

This section provides the results of GSG's geotechnical evaluation of the existing foundation system and design recommendations in accordance with the most current AASHTO LRFD 8th Edition (2017) and IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2015). The foundations for the proposed culvert extensions and wingwalls must provide sufficient support to resist the dead and live loads.

4.1 Culvert and Wingwall Foundation Recommendations

GSG evaluated the soils for the proposed culverts extensions and improvements. The recommendations in this report are based on plan drawings provided by HBM, dated 06/01/2020 and 08/21/2020. GSG's evaluation included recommending allowable bearing capacities and construction recommendations for the installation of new culvert extension and horizontal wingwalls. For the design of the foundations, the total live load, impact loads, and dead loads, including the load of the overburden soils, should be considered. Design should be completed in accordance with the IDOT Culvert Manual (2017).

4.2 Shallow Foundation Bearing Capacity and Settlement

GSG evaluated the soils at bearing grade for the base of the proposed culvert extensions. Bearing resistance shall be evaluated at the strength limit state using load factors and factored bearing resistance. The bearing resistance factor, ϕ_b , for shallow foundations in clay and sand are 0.50 and 0.45 per AASHTO Table 10.5.5.2.2.1. The bearing resistance shall be checked for the extreme limit state with a resistance factor of 1.0. **Table 6** presents the proposed bearing elevation and recommended bearing resistances of suitable materials to support the proposed culvert extensions and wingwalls.



Proposed Structure	Approximate Bearing Elevation (feet)*	Nominal Resistance (ksf)	Factored Bearing Resistance (ksf)	Bearing Resistance for 1-inch Settlement Service Limit (ksf)	Anticipated Bearing Soil
SN-049-0228	681.0	10.1	5.0	5.0	Silty Clay Loam FILL/Silty Clay FILL
SN-049-0228 Wingwalls	679.0	5.8	2.9	5.8	Silty Clay Loam FILL/Silty Clay
SN-049-0575	669.5	14.0	7.0	5.8	Silty Clay FILL
SN-049-2015	659.5	18.2	9.1	4.4	Silty Clay Fill/ Very Stiff Silty Clay
SN-049-2015 Wingwalls	657.0	18.9	9.5	9.0	Silty Clay Fill/ Very Stiff Silty Clay
SN-049-2016	655.0	6.4**	3.2**	3.3	New Engineered Granular Fill
SN-049-2016 Wingwalls	655.0	5.7**	2.9**	5.7	New Engineered Granular Fill

Table 6 – Recommended Bearing Resistance

*Elevations based on invert elevations on GP&E drawings dated June 1, 2020 and August 21, 2020

**Bearing resistances based on 48-inch granular engineered fill platform over native clay soils encountered at El. 655 ft

The subgrade soils at bearing grade should be evaluated per the guidelines provided in Section 8.9 of IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2015) for suitability/workability prior to placing any portion of the proposed culvert structures. According to Section 540, IDOT SSRBC (2016) a minimum of 6-inches of porous granular material should be provided as bedding material, which will serve as a working platform. For culverts SN-049-0228 and SN-049-2015 the soil under the culvert shall be undercut to the bottom of the toe wall and backfilled with compacted granular engineered fill consisting of rock fill capped with 6 inches of porous granular material.



For culvert SN-049-2016 and the associated wingwalls, GSG recommends undercutting the low strength clay soils encountered in boring B-1 to the native very stiff clay soils at elevation 655 feet. The undercut depth should be verified in the field during construction and backfilled with compacted granular engineered fill, consisting of rock fill capped with 6 inches of porous granular material, to support the proposed culvert and wingwalls.

Settlement depends on the foundation size and bearing resistance, as well as the strength and compressibility characteristics of the underlying bearing soil. Assuming the foundation subgrades have been prepared as recommended and the service limit bearing resistances for different settlements are used, settlement of the culvert extensions and wingwalls will be on the order of 1.0 inch.

The wingwalls will be constructed as horizontal cantilever walls attached to the culvert walls. Wingwalls should be designed based on the information and typical sections shown in Section 4.2 of the IDOT Culvert Manual (IDOT 2017). Headwalls should be designed based on the information provided in Section 4.1.5 of the IDOT Culvert Manual (IDOT 2017).

4.3 Sheet Pile Wall Design

Sheet pile walls are typically used in cut areas when continuous support must be provided to maintain existing structures or other adjacent facilities. To provide lateral resistance against the retained soil, the walls can be designed to act as a cantilever or can use tie backs behind the wall. The installation of sheet pile walls requires the use of specialty equipment to drive the sheets into the ground. The walls maintain the existing site conditions with minimal disturbance to existing structures and can be installed relatively quickly. Due to the presence of stiff to hard clays and the occasional presence of cobbles (at borings SN-049-0228-B-2 and SN-049-2015-B-2A), we recommend using a heavier pile section with a minimum thickness of 0.4 inch to alleviate any damage to the pile section during driving. Grade 50 steel should be used for the sheet pile. The interlocks could be partially clogged during driving and after installation due to fine soil particle migration. The steel sheet piles may be subject to corrosion. Corrosion rates are typically a function of temperature, soil pH, access to oxygen, and chemistry of the environment surrounding the pile. The walls are intended to be temporary, but if the walls are to remain in place as a long-term wall, corrosion deterioration should be considered on the sheet pile wall design.



4.4 Lateral Load Resistance

4.4.1 Culverts and Wingwalls – Lateral Resistance

The culvert walls will be subject to uneven loading and should be evaluated for anticipated lateral loads. Lateral earth pressures for permanent underground structures will be dependent on the type of backfill used, whether it is in a drained or undrained state, as well as loading conditions. The proposed culvert sidewalls should be designed using the at-rest earth pressure coefficients provided in **Tables 7a** through **7d**.

The lateral earth pressures for the headwalls and wingwalls should be designed per the guidance provided in Section 4 of the IDOT Culvert Manual (2017). Wall sections that are independent of the box culvert should be designed using the Rankine active earth pressure coefficient, K_a. Headwalls and wingwalls that are fixed to the box culvert to resist movement should be designed using an at-rest earth pressure coefficient. Lateral design parameters provided in **Tables 7a** through **7d** could be used in the design of the proposed structures.

4.4.2 Sheet Pile Walls – Lateral Resistance

The sheet pile walls shall be designed to withstand earth and live lateral earth pressures. The lateral earth pressures on retaining walls depend on the type of wall (i.e. restrained or unrestrained), the type of backfill and the method of placement against the wall, and the magnitude of surcharge weight on the ground surface adjacent to the wall. Sheet pile walls are considered flexible and such the earth loads may be calculated using active earth pressure for load above the design grade, and both active and passive earth pressures below the design grade. The active earth pressure coefficient (K_a), and the passive earth pressure coefficient (K_p) were determined in accordance with AASHTO Section 3.11.5.3 and 3.11.5.4, respectively.

The design should include a structural evaluation of the sheet pile section to meet applied shear and moment, and an evaluation of overturning to determine embedment depth and other design requirements. The simplified earth pressure distributions shown in the AASHTO Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges could be used for the wall design. **Tables 7a thru 7d** provide recommended lateral soil modulus and soil strain parameters that can be used for laterally loaded pile analysis via the p-y curve method based on the encountered subsurface conditions. The passive resistance in front of the sheet pile wall should be ignored for the upper 3.5 feet due to excavation activities and frost-heave conditions.



Traffic and other surcharge loads should be included in the sheet pile retaining wall design where applicable. A live load surcharge of 250 psf (or the equivalent weight of 2 feet soil overburden) should be applied where vehicular load is expected to act on the surface of the backfill. Heavy equipment should not be allowed closer than five (5) feet to the retaining wall to prevent inducing high lateral earth pressures and causing wall yielding and/or other damage.



(SN-049-0228)												
		Lateral Ea	rth Pressure (Coefficient								
Depth / Elevation Range (feet)	Soil Description	Active Earth Pressure Coefficient (Ka)	Active EarthPassive EarthAt-Res EarthPressure Coefficient (Ka)Pressure CoefficientPressure Coefficient (Kp)		Lateral Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (pci)	Soil Strain (٤₅₀)						
	New Engineered Clay Fill	0.41	2.46	0.58	100	0.007						
	New Engineered Granular Fill	0.33	3.00	0.50	25	N/A						
0-1.7 (690-688.3) B-1 only	FILL Brown and Gray Sand	0.39	2.56	0.56	25	N/A						
0.7-11.7 (689.3-678.3) B-2 only	FILL Brown and Gray Silty Clay Loam	0.33	3.00	0.50	25	N/A						
1.7-9.7 (688.3-680.3) B-1 only	FILL Brown, Gray and Black Silty Clay	0.41	2.46	0.58	1,500	0.005						
9.7-40.2 (680.3-649.8)	Brown to Gray Stiff to Hard Silty Clay	0.36	2.77	0.53	1,400	0.005						
16.7-21.7 (673.3-668.3) B-1 only	Brown Loose Silty Sand	0.33	3.00	0.50	25	N/A						
21.7-31.7 (668.3-658.3) B-1 only	Brown Very Stiff Sandy Clay Loam	0.36	2.77	0.53	1,120	0.005						
31.7-36.7 (658.3-653.3) B-1 only	Gray Dense Sandy Loam	0.27	3.69	0.43	125	N/A						

Table 7a –Lateral Load Resistance Soil Parameters



		Lateral Ea	rth Pressure (Coefficient	Lateral		
Depth / Elevation Range (feet)	Soil Description	Active Earth Pressure Coefficient (K _a)	Passive Earth Pressure Coefficient (K _p)	At-Rest Earth Pressure Coefficient (K₀)	Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (pci)	Soil Strain (E ₅₀)	
	New Engineered Clay Fill	0.41	2.46	0.58	100	0.007	
	New Engineered Granular Fill	0.33	3.00	0.50	25	N/A	
0-14 (668.5-654.5)	FILL Brown and Gray and Black Silty Clay	0.41	2.46	0.58	930	0.007	
0.8-8.7 (667.7-659.9) B-1 only	FILL Black and Gray Silty Clay Loam	0.41	2.46	0.58	930	0.007	
14-40 (654.5-628.5)	Gray Medium Stiff to Very Stiff Silty Clay	0.39	2.56	0.56	860	0.007	

Table 7b –Lateral Load Resistance Soil Parameters (SN-049-2016)



(SN-049-2015)												
Depth / Elevation Range (feet)	Soil Description	Lateral Ea Active Earth Pressure Coefficient	rth Pressure C Passive Earth Pressure Coefficient	Coefficient At-Rest Earth Pressure Coefficient	Lateral Modulus of Subgrade Reaction	Soil Strain (ε ₅₀)						
		(Ka)	(K _p)	(K₀)	(pcı)							
	New Engineered Clay Fill	0.41	2.46	0.58	100	0.007						
	New Engineered Granular Fill	0.33	3.00	0.50	25	N/A						
0.1-2.9 (668.9-666.1) B-2A	FILL Brown Sand	0.33	3.00	0.50	90	N/A						
0.5-3.3 (668.5-665.7) B-1 only	FILL Brown Silty Clay Loam	0.39	2.56	0.56	25	N/A						
3-11 (666-658)	FILL Brown and Gray Silty Clay	0.41	2.46	0.58	2,000	0.004						
11-32 (658-637)	Brown and Gray Hard to Stiff Silty Clay	0.36	2.77	0.53	1,660	0.005						
28.9-33.9 (640.1-635.1) B-2A only	Gray Medium Dense Sand	0.28	3.53	0.44	90	N/A						
33.8-38.8 (635.2-630.2) B-1 only	Gray Very Loose Sand	0.36	2.77	0.53	20	N/A						
33.9-39.8 (635.1-629.2)	Gray and Brown Very Stiff Silty Clay	0.36	2.77	0.53	1,270	0.005						

Table 7c –Lateral Load Resistance Soil Parameters



		(SN-049-	-0575)			
		Lateral Ea	rth Pressure (Coefficient	Lateral	
Depth / Elevation Range (feet)	Soil Description	Active Earth Pressure Coefficient (K₃)	Passive Earth Pressure Coefficient (K _P)	At-Rest Earth Pressure Coefficient (K₀)	Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (pci)	Soil Strain (٤₅₀)
	New Engineered Clay Fill	0.41	2.46	0.58	100	0.007
	New Engineered Granular Fill	0.33	3.00	0.50	25	N/A
0-7 (679.1-672.1)	FILL Brown, Gray and Black Silty Clay Loam	0.39	2.56	0.56	25	N/A
7-10 (672.1-669.1)	FILL Brown and Gray Silty Clay	0.41	2.46	0.58	1,250	0.005
10-13 (669.1-666.1)	FILL Brown and Black Silty Clay Loam	0.33	3.00	0.50	90	N/A
13-18 (666.1-661.1)	FILL Brown and Gray Silty Clay	0.41	2.46	0.58	3,650	0.004
18-40 (661.1-639.1)	Gray Stiff Silty Clay	0.36	2.77	0.53	760	0.007

Table 7d –Lateral Load Resistance Soil Parameters



5.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

All work performed for the proposed project should conform to the requirements in the IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction (SSRBC) (2016) and the IDOT Subgrade Stability Manual (2005). Any deviation from the requirements in the manuals above should be approved by the design engineer.

5.1 Site Preparation

Any topsoil encountered during construction should be stripped and stockpiled as per Section 211.03 of the IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction (SSRBC). The topsoil should be separated from other materials being stockpiled onsite for reuse or haul off. Base coarse aggregate encountered at the site should be evaluated to determine suitability for reuse as general fill. The contractor should not mix the existing base course materials, if any, with existing subgrade soils during the stripping and stockpiling activities.

5.2 Scour Considerations

The design scour elevation should be taken at the bottom of the cutoff walls. To help prevent local erosion, it is recommended to place stone riprap at the end of the culverts. This will help prevent sediments from entering and accumulating in the culvert, reduce long term maintenance, and provide protection to the streambed at the interface.

5.3 Site Excavation

Site excavations are expected to encounter various types of soils as described in the Subsurface Exploration section of this report. The contractor will be responsible to provide a safe excavation during the construction activities of the project. All excavations should be conducted in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local safety regulations, including, but not limited to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) excavation safety standards. In accordance with OSHA Regulation 29 CFR 1926 Subpart P Appendix B, the maximum allowable slopes for excavations less than 20 feet should be completed per the OSHA Excavation Slopes shown in **Table 8**. Excavations made in layered soil systems shall use the maximum allowable slope for each layer as prescribed in the OSHA Regulation. Excavations greater than 20 feet deep should be designed by a registered professional engineer; any shoring or bracing systems should be designed by a licensed structural engineer.



Soil or Rock Type	Maximum Allowable Slope (H:V) for less than 20 feet
Stable Rock	Vertical (90°)
Туре А	³₄:1 (53 °)
Туре В	1:1 (45 °)
Туре С	1 ½:1 (34 °)

Table 8 – OSHA Excavation Slopes

Excavation stability and soil pressures on temporary shoring are dependent on soil conditions, depth of excavations, installation procedures, and the magnitude of any surcharge loads on the ground surface adjacent to the excavation. Surcharge loads from the excavated materials, construction equipment, and vehicles should be included in the design of the excavation system. Excavation near existing structures and underground utilities should be performed with extreme care to avoid undermining existing structures.

If water seepage occurs during excavation or where wet conditions are encountered such that the water cannot be removed with conventional sumping, GSG recommends placing open grade stone similar to IDOT CA-7 to stabilize the bottom of the excavation below the water table. The CA-7 stone should be placed to 12 inches above the water table, in 12-inch lifts, and should be compacted with the use of a heavy smooth drum roller or heavy vibratory plate compactor until stable. The remaining portion of the excavation beneath the footings should be backfilled using approved structural fill consisting of granular materials such as IDOT CA-6.

5.4 Borrow Material and Compaction Requirements

If borrow material is to be used for onsite construction, it should conform to Section 204 "Borrow and Furnish Excavations" of the IDOT SSRBC (2016). The fill material should be free of organic matter and debris and should be placed and compacted in accordance with Section 205, Embankment, of the IDOT Construction Manual. Earth-moving operations should be avoided during excessively cold or wet weather to avoid freezing of softening subgrade soils. All backfill materials around the culvert must be pre-approved by the site engineer. Backfill materials for undercut areas beneath the culvert should be placed in 8 inches loose lifts and should be compacted to 95% of the maximum dry density as determined by AASTHO T-180, Modified Proctor Method.



5.5 Groundwater Management

it is anticipated that the long-term groundwater level could range from 13.5 to 33.5 feet along the project corridor. However, the water level the in the vicinity of the culverts may rise to near the level of each adjacent unnamed creek. GSG anticipates groundwater related issues may occur during construction activity due to the extent of the proposed improvements for the culvert and the anticipated time frame for the excavation construction. To avoid potential ground water issues during construction, GSG recommends that the sheet piles incorporate interlocking edges and extend into the clay soils, to act as a cutoff wall to help prevent ground water from entering the site. If rainwater run-off or groundwater is accumulated at the base of excavations, the contractor should remove accumulated water using conventional sump pit and pump procedures and maintain a dry and stable excavation. The location of the sump should be determined by the contractor based on field conditions. During earthmoving activities at the site, grading should be performed to ensure that drainage is maintained throughout the construction period. Water should not be allowed to accumulate in the foundation area either during or after construction. Undercut and excavated areas should be sloped toward one corner to facilitate removal of any collected rainwater or surface run-off. Grades should be sloped away from the excavations to minimize runoff from entering.

If water seepage occurs during the excavations on the shorelines or where wet conditions are encountered such that the water cannot be removed with conventional sumping, we recommend placing open grade stone similar to IDOT CA-7 to stabilize the bottom of the excavation below the water table. The CA-7 stone should be placed to 12 inches above the water table, in 12-inch lifts, and should be compacted with the use of a heavy smooth drum roller or heavy vibratory plate compactor until stable. The remaining portion of the excavation beneath the footings should be backfilled using approved structural fill.



6.0 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Illinois Department of Transportation and its consultant team. The recommendations provided in the report are specific to the project described herein and are based on the information obtained from the soil boring locations within the proposed project limits. The analyses have been performed and the recommendations have been provided in this report are based on subsurface conditions determined at the location of the borings. This report may not reflect all variations that may occur between boring locations or at some other time, the nature and extent of which may not become evident until during the time of construction. If variations in subsurface conditions become evident after submission of this report, it will be necessary to evaluate their nature and review the recommendations presented herein.



APPENDIX A

GENERAL PLANS (DATED 06/01/2020)



8/21/2020 3:03:47 PM



8/21/2020 3:04:08 PM



8/21/2020 3:04:28 PM



41 @ US

6/1/2020

APPENDIX B

SOIL BORING LOCATION PLANS



T:\llinois DOT\191-002 Quigg - Geotechnical\Work Order #5 - US 41 Culverts\Geotechnical\Exhibits\DGNs\SKOKIE.dwg, 4/10/2020 9:46:14 AM, anieves, ANSI full bleed A (11.00 x 8.50 Inches)



T:\Illinois DOT\191-002 Quigg - Geotechnical\Work Order #5 - US 41 Culverts\Geotechnical\Exhibits\DGNs\SKOKIE.dwg, 4/10/2020 9:50:49 AM, anieves, ANSI full bleed A (11.00 x 8.50 Inches)



T:\Illinois DOT\191-002 Quigg - Geotechnical\Work Order #5 - US 41 Culverts\Geotechnical\Exhibits\DGNs\SKOKIE.dwg, 4/10/2020 9:49:17 AM, anieves, ANSI full bleed A (11.00 x 8.50 Inches)



T:\llinois DOT\191-002 Quigg - Geotechnical\Work Order #5 - US 41 Culverts\Geotechnical\Exhibits\DGNs\SKOKIE.dwg, 4/10/2020 9:47:30 AM, anieves, ANSI full bleed A (11.00 x 8.50 Inches)

APPENDIX C

SOIL BORING LOGS

SOIL BORING LOG

Date 3/26/20

ROUTE	US-41	DE	SCRI	PTION			US Route 41 Culvert F	Repair	LOGGED BY		ED BY	BY ES/RM	
	North of Illinois 173 to	South o	of										
SECTION _	Westleigh		L	LOCAT	ION _	SN-04	<u>9-0228, SEC. , TWP. , I</u>	RNG.,					
						Latitu	de, Longitude						
COUNTY _	Lake D	RILLING	S ME	THOD			HSA	HAMMER ⁻	TYPE		AL	<u>ΟΤΙ</u>	
STRUCT, NO	SN-049-0228		D	В	U	M	Surface Water Elev.	N/A	ft	D	В	U	м
Station	50+01.8		E	L	С	0	Stream Bed Elev.	N/A	ft	E	L	С	0
			Ρ	0	S	1				P	0	S	I
BORING NO	SN-049-0228-B-1	1	Т	w		S	Groundwater Flev.:			Т	w		S
Station	49+90	·	H	S	Qu	Т	First Encounter	673.3	ft 🔻	н	S	Qu	Т
Offset	57 00ft Right						Upon Completion	N/A	ft				
Ground Su	face Flev 691 76	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	Δfter Hrs	N/A	ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)
			· /	· ,	· ,					. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,
6 inches of A	sphalt	691.26					LOOSE Brown Moiot						
Brown and C	iray, Moist						SILTY SAND with an	avol (SM)					
FILL: SAND	with gravel			9			(continued)						
				5			(continued)						
				5									
		<u> </u>											
Brown Gray	and Black Moist	088.20		3			Vory Stiff		008.20		3		
FILL SILTY	CLAY trace gravel			3	1 5		Brown Moist				3	2.0	
				4	4.5		SANDY CLAY I OAN	Л			4 5	2.0	
			5	0	Р					- <u>25</u>	5	Р	
				2									
				2	2.0								
				3	Р								
											-		
				1							2		
				2	2.5						6	2.5	
			-10	3	P					-30	9	P	
		680.26		3									
Verv Stiff		000.20		5	30								
Brown. Mois				4	P						-		
SILTY CLAY	(CL/ML)			•	•								
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,												
							-		658.26		_		
				2			Dense				1		
				2	3.5		Gray, Moist	aroual and			19		
			-15	4	P		SANDT LOAM, WILL	gravei and		-35	13		
							Ciay						
		673.26	T				L		653.26		_		
Loose				2			Hard				7		
Brown, Mois				4			Gray, Moist				13	4.5	
SILTYSAND	, with gravel (SM)		-20	5			SILTY CLAY, trace gi	ravel (CL/ML)	651 76	-40	40	Р	

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

Page <u>1</u> of <u>2</u>

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>

Date 3/26/20

ROUTE	US-41	DI	ESCR	IPTION			US Route 41 Culvert F	Repair	LOG	GED BY	ES/RM
Ν	Iorth of Illinois 1	73 to South	of								
SECTION	Westl	eigh		LOCAT		SN-04	9-0228, SEC. , TWP. , I	RNG.,			
						Latitu	de, Longitude				
COUNTY	Lake	DRILLIN	g me	THOD			HSA	HAMMER 1	TYPE	AU	ГО
						1					
STRUCT, NO.	SN-049-0	0228	D	В	U	M	Surface Water Elev.	N/A	ft		
Station	50+01	.8	E	L	С	0	Stream Bed Flev.	N/A	ft		
			P	Ο	S	1					
BORING NO	SN-049-02	28-B-1	Т	w		S	Groundwater Elev				
Station	<u></u> 	<u>ר בסיסי</u> ו	н	S	Qu	Т	First Encounter	673 3	ft 🛡		
Offset	57 00ft R	2 2 iaht					Linon Completion	N/Δ	_ 11. <u>+</u> ff		
Ground Surf	ace Flev 6	01 76 ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs	N/Δ	ft		
		<u>fact</u>		,	· ,						
Limestone Iraq	gments at 39.5	leel		_							
End of Boring				_							
]							
				1							
				-							
			<u>-45</u>	<u>></u>							
				-							
				-							
				_							
				_							
				_							
			-50	5							
				<u>~</u>							
			_	-							
				-							
				-							
				-							
				_							
				_							
				_							
				1							
			_								
			-55	5							
				1							
				1							
				1							
				-							
				4							
				4							
				4							
			_								
			-60)							

SOIL BORING LOG

Date <u>3/13/20</u>

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

ROUTE US-41	DE	SCRI	PTION			US Route 41 Culvert Repair	LOGG	ED BY	PS	/RM
North of Illinois 173 to	South o	f ,	0047							
		_ L			SN-04	9-0228, SEC., IVVP., KNG., de Longitude				
COUNTY Lake DI	RILLING	MFT	гнор		Lanu	HSA HAMMER TYP	F	ΔI	ΙТΟ	
					1			,		
STRUCT NO SN 049-0228		D	в	U	м	Surface Water Flev N/A ft	D	в	υ	м
Station 50+01.8		Е	L	С	0	Stream Bed Flev. N/A ft	E	L	C	0
		Ρ	0	S	1		P	0	S	I
BORING NO. SN-049-0228-B-2	2	Т	W		S	Groundwater Elev.:	T	W		S
Station 49+90		н	S	Qu	T	First Encounter None ft	H	S	Qu	Т
Offset 62.00ft Left						Upon Completion N/A _ ft	(5)			
Ground Surface Elev. 689.78	ft	(π)	(/6")	(tst)	(%)	After HrsN/A ft	(π)	(/6")	(tst)	(%)
6 inches of Asphalt	689.28					Very Stiff to Stiff	_			
Brown and Gray, Moist to Wet						Gray, Moist				
FILL: SILTY CLAY LOAM, with			3			(CL/ML) (continued)	_			
sand, some graver			5	1.9	20					
			4	В			_			
							_			
			2			Pushed rock at 23.5 feet		5		
			2	0.4	22		_	0	1.0	20
		5	3	В			-25	0	В	
			-				_	-		
			2					-		
			2	20	10		_			
			5	2.9 D	10			-		
				Ь			—	-		
			2			Cobble at 28.5 feet		16		
			2	1.0	20			9	1.9	20
		10	3	P				7	В	
		-10					50			
								1		
	678.28		3							
Very Stiff			6	3.5	18		_			
Gray and Brown, Moist			7	В						
SILTY CLAY, trace sand and grave										
]]		
			6					3		
			12	2.9	15			6	3.1	19
		- <u>15</u>	10	В			- <u>35</u>	9	В	
			-				_]		
			ł					1		
			-				_	4		
			-					-		
							_	4		
			-					-		
	671.28		3				_	5		
			5	2.9	17			8	1.7	19
		-20	8	B		649	.78 -40	9	В	

End of Boring

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Date 3/16/20

ROUTE	US-41 DESCRIPTION					US Route 41 Culvert Repair				ED BY	PS	/RM
	North of Illinois 173 to	South o	f									
SECTION	Westleigh		_ L	LOCAT	'ION	<u>SN-04</u>	<u>9-0575, SEC. , TWP. , RNG. ,</u>					
						Latitu	de , Longitude					
COUNTY _	Lake D	RILLING	6 MET	THOD			HSA HAMMER 1	IYPE AU		ЛО		
			_	_					_	-		
STRUCT. N	O . <u>SN 049-0575</u>			В	U	M	Surface Water Elev. N/A	ft		В	U	M
Station _	70+00.25		E		C	0	Stream Bed Elev. N/A	ft		L	C	0
			P T		3	1			P T	W	Э	
BORING NC) . <u>SN-049-0575-B-2</u>	2		e vv	<u> </u>	<u></u> Т	Groundwater Elev.:			e vv	0	э т
Station _	<u> </u>		п	3	Qu	'	First Encounter 645.6	_ ft ⊻	п	3	Qu	•
	<u>40.00π Leπ</u>		(ft)	(/6")	(tef)	(%)	Opon Completion N/A	_π	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)
Ground St	Inace Elev. 079.08	π	(14)	(, 0,)	(131)	(70)		_ π	(19	(, ,	(131)	(70)
18 inches of	r Asphalt			-			Stiff Crov Moist					
							SILTY CLAY trace sand trace					
		677.58		2			gravel (CL/ML) (continued)					
Brown and	Gray and Black, Moist			3	4.2	5						
				7	B							
sand some	aravel											
	giuvoi											
				2						3		
				3	2.0	21				5	1.0	16
			-5	3	P				-25	6	В	
Organics an	id plant roots at 5 feet											
				2								
		672.08		4	1.9	22						
Brown and	Gray, Moist			5	В							
FILL: SILTY	CLAY, trace sand,											
trace gravel				1								
				2						3		
				2	3.1	16				4	1.7	18
		869 08	_10	5	В				-30	7	В	
Brown and	Black, Wet	000.00							00			
FILL: SILTY	CLAY LOAM, trace											
gravel				4								
				9	4.2	16						
				13	В							
		666 09							-			
Brown and	Gray, Moist	000.00		1								
FILL: SILTY	CLAY, trace sand,			4					<u> </u>	3		
trace gravel				8	7.3	16				5	1.5	18
			15	12	В					6	В	
			-15						-30			
				-					_			
				1								
				1					-			
				1								
		664 00		-					_			
Stiff		80.100		{								
Gray, Moist				2					_	3		
SILTY CLA	Y, trace sand, trace			4	19	15				4	1.5	18
gravel (CL/N	/IL)			5	R			620.00		4	B	
			-20	-		1		009.00	-40		-	

End of Boring

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>1</u> of <u>2</u>

Date

3/12/20

Division of Highways GSG Consultants INC

ROUTE	US-41	DES	SCRI	PTION			US Route 41 Culvert Repai	ir	LOGGED BY		BY PS/RM		
	North of Illinois 173 to	South o	f										
SECTION _	Westleigh		_ เ			SN-04	<u>9-2015, SEC. , TWP. , RNG.</u>	,					
	Lalia D					Latitu							
COUNTY _		RILLING		HOD				1AIVIIVIER I	TPE		AL	10	
OTDUCT N			п	в	п	м	Curfees Meter Flou	N1/A		п	в	п	м
STRUCT. NO	J. <u>510 049-2015</u> 303+60 24		F	I	c	Ö	Surface water Elev.	<u>N/A</u>	п 4	F	I	c	Ö
	000,00.24		P	ō	S	Ĩ	Stream Bed Elev.	IN/A	, n	P	ō	S	Ĩ
BORING NO	SN-049-2015-B-1		Т	w		S	Groundwater Elev.:			Т	w		S
Station	393+40		н	S	Qu	Т	First Encounter	635.2	ft 🛡	н	S	Qu	Т
Offset	45.00ft Right						Upon Completion	N/A	ft				
Ground Su	Irface Elev. 669.18	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs	N/A	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
8 inches of A	Asphalt	000 54					Hard to Stiff						
Brown Mois	st	668.51					Gray and Brown, Moist						
FILL: SILTY	CLAY LOAM. with fine			2			SILIY CLAY, trace sand a	and gravel					
grained sand	d, some gravel		-	5	0.6	10	(CL/ML) (continued)			-			
				5	В								
		665.68]									
Brown and (Gray, Moist to Very			2							2		
	CLAV trace cond and			3	2.5	25					4	1.9	19
gravel	CLAT, trace sand and		5	5	P					-25	6	В	
9.5.10.				-									
				3									
				4	2.9	15							
				0	В								
				-									
				2							2		
				2	4.0	10					Z 	0.0	10
				7	4.Z	10					4	2.3 D	19
			<u>-10</u>	'	D					- <u>30</u>	0	D	
				4									
				10	54	18							
				13	B								
				1									
		655 18		3					635 18	•	0		
Hard to Stiff		000.10		6	4.2	20	Very Loose		000.10	<u> </u>	1		25
Gray and Br	own, Moist		-15	7	В		Gray, Wet			-35	2		
	trace sand and gravel	I					SAND, fine grained (SP)			00			
			_	1									
				1									
]									
]									
			_]									
				1					630.18		4		
				3	2.5	18	Very Stiff				5	2.1	25
			-20	6	B		Gray and Brown, Very Mo	ist	629.18	-40	6	В	

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>

Date 3/12/20

ROUTE	US-41	DESCR	IPTION	l		US Route 41 Culvert F	Repair	LOG	GED BY	PS/RM
	North of Illinois	173 to South of								
SECTION _	Wes	tleigh	LOCA		SN-04	<u>9-2015, SEC. , TWP. , F</u>	RNG.,			
					Latitu	de , Longitude				-
COUNTY _	Lake	DRILLING ME	THOD			HSA	_ HAMMER T	YPE	AUT	0
STRUCT. NO	D. SN 049	-2015 D	B	U	M	Surface Water Elev.	N/A	ft		
Station	393+6	0.24 E	L	C	0	Stream Bed Elev.	N/A	ft		
		P	0	S				•		
BORING NO	. SN-049-20	015-B-1 Т	W		S	Groundwater Elev.:				
Station	393+	40 H	S	Qu	T	First Encounter	635.2	ft 🛡		
Offset	45.00ft	Right				Upon Completion	N/A	ft		
Ground Su	rface Elev.	669.18 ft (ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs.	N/A	ft		
	(trace sand and	d gravel								
	r, liace sand and		_							
End of Borin			_							
	ig	_								
		_								
		-	-							
			-							
		_	-							
		-4	5							
		_	_							
		_								
		_								
			-							
		-	-							
			_							
		_	_							
		<u>-50</u>	<u>)</u>							
		_								
		_								
			-							
		_	-							
			-							
		_	_							
			_							
		_								
		<u>-58</u>	5							
			1							
		_	1							
			1							
		_	-							
			-							
		_	4							
			1							
		-60	D							

SOIL BORING LOG

Date 3/31/20

Page $\underline{1}$ of $\underline{2}$

ROUTE	US-41	DE	SCRI	PTION			US Router 41 Culvert Repair		LOGGED BY		ES	/RM	
	North of Illinois 173 to	South o	f										
SECTION _	Westleigh		I	OCAT	ION _	SN-04	9-2015, SEC. , TWP. , RNG. ,						
						Latitu	de , Longitude						
COUNTY _	Lake D	RILLING	ME	THOD		HSA HAMMER			AUTO				
			_	_					_	_			
STRUCT. NO	SN 049-2015		D	B	U	M	Surface Water Elev. N/A	ft	D	B	U	M	
Station	393+60.24		E	L	C	0	Stream Bed Elev. N/A	_ ft	E	L	C	0	
			P		5						5	I	
BORING NO	SN-049-2015-B-2	A		W	.	5	Groundwater Elev.:			W	.	ъ т	
Station	393+50		п	Э	Qu	'	First Encounter 631.1	_ ft ⊻	П	3	Qu	I	
Offset	42.00ft Left	— .	(#)	(/6")	(tof)	(9/)	Upon Completion <u>N/A</u>	_ft	(#)	(/כייי)	(tef)	(0/.)	
Ground Su	face Elev. 669.60	ft	(11)	(/0)	((5))	(%)	After HrsN/A	_ ft	(11)	(/0)	(ເວເ)	(70)	
8 inches of A	sphalt	668 93					Hard to Very Stiff						
Brown, Mois	t		-				Brown and Gray, Moist						
FILL: SAND	with gravel			6			(continued)						
				6									
				7									
		666.10		1									
Brown and C	Gray, Moist			3						9			
FILL: SILTY	CLAY, trace gravel			6	4.5					7	4.5		
			-5	8	P				-25	7	Р		
				4									
				7	4.5								
				11	Р								
		661 10											
Hard to Very	Stiff			4			Cobbles at 29 feet	640 60		6			
Brown and C	Gray, Moist			6	4.5		Medium Dense	640 10		11			
SILTY CLAY	, trace gravel (CL/ML)		-10	10	Р		Gray, Moist	[6			
							SANDY CLAY (CLS)						
							Medium Dense						
				5			SAND (SP)						
				6	4.0								
				8	Р								
				2						2			
				4	3.0			625 10		5	3.0		
			45	6	P		Verv Stiff	035.10		8	P		
			-15				Gray, Moist		-35				
							SILTY CLAY (CL/ML)						
				2				000.0-	₹	2			
				<u>л</u>	30		Medium Dense	630.60	-	 			
				7	<u>.</u>		Grav Moist			7			
			-20	· '		1		629.60	-40	l '			

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>

Date 3/31/20

ROUTE	US-4	41	DES	CRI	PTION			US Router 41 Culvert F	Repair	LOG	GED BY	ES/RM
	North of Illin	ois 173 to Sc	outh of									
SECTION _	V	/estleigh		_ L	-OCAT	'ION _	<u>SN-04</u>	<u>9-2015, SEC.</u> , TWP. , F	RNG.,			
							Latitu	de, Longitude				
COUNTY	Lake	DRIL	LING	MET	THOD			HSA	HAMMER 1	YPE	AUT	0
			Г									
STRUCT. NO	. SN (049-2015		D	В	U	M	Surface Water Elev.	N/A	ft		
Station	393	3+60.24		Е	L	С	0	Stream Bed Elev.	N/A	ft		
				Ρ	0	S				-		
BORING NO.	SN-049	-2015-B-2A		Т	W		S	Groundwater Elev.:				
Station	39	93+50	_	н	S	Qu	T	First Encounter	631.1	ft 👤		
Offset	42.0	00ft Left	_					Upon Completion	N/A	ft		
Ground Sur	face Elev.	669.60	_ ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs.	N/A	ft		
SAND (SP)		1										
End of Boring	3											
			-									
			-									
			-									
			-									
			-	- <u>45</u>								
			-									
			-									
			_									
			_									
				-50								
			-									
			-									
			-									
			-									
			-									
			-	- <u>55</u>								
			-									
			-									
			_									
			_									
			-	_								
				-60								

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Date 3/12/20

ROUTE	US-41	DE	SCRI	PTION			US Route 41 Culvert Repair		LC	oggi	ED BY	PS	/RM
	North of Illinois 173 to S	South o	f										
SECTION _	Westleigh		_ L	LOCAT	ION _	SN-04	<u>9-2016, SEC. , TWP. , RNG. ,</u>						
0011117						Latitu	de , Longitude						
COUNTY _	Lake Di	RILLING	MEI	HOD			HSA HAN	IMER	IYPE		AL	ЛО	
			-	P					-	-	Р		NA
STRUCT. NO	D. <u>SN 049-2016</u>						Surface Water Elev.	<u>N/A</u>	ft		D	C	
Station _	379+99.95		P	0	S	Ĭ	Stream Bed Elev.	N/A	_ π	P	0	S	ī
	SN 040 2016 B 1		T	w		s	Groupdwater Elev :			T	Ŵ	•	S
Station	370+00		H	S	Qu	T	First Encounter	639.8	ft 🛡	H	S	Qu	T
Offset	45.00ft Right						Upon Completion	N/A	ft				
Ground Su	Irface Elev. 668.33	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs.	N/A	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
8 inches of	Asphalt						Verv Stiff to Stiff		-				
Plack and C	rov Moiot to Wot	667.66	. —				Gray, Moist						
	CLAYIOAM some			2			SILTY CLAY, trace sand and	gravel					
fine grained	sand, trace gravel			5	3.0	12	(CL/ML) (continued)						
				6	Р								
				1									
				2							2		
				1	0.8	18					3	1.0	20
			-5	2	P					-25	5	В	
				-									
				2									
				1	1.8	33							
				3	P								
				-									
Crow and Dr	own Maiatta Van	659.83		1			Sandy alog asom at 29 E fast			▼	2		
Moist	own, woist to very			2	0.8	24	Sandy clay seam at 20.5 leet				5	12	10
FILL: SILTY	CLAY, trace sand and			3	0.0 B	24					3	т.5 В	19
gravel			-10							- <u>30</u>	•		
										_			
				1									
				2	0.4	25							
				2	В								
		654.83		1									
Very Stiff				4							4		
Brown and	Gray, Moist			9	2.7	18					5	3.1	20
SILTY CLAY	r, trace sand and gravel		-15	13	В					-35	6	В	
				ļ									
	04:44	650.33		ļ									
Very Stiff to	Sum		_	_									
SILTY CLAY	Y, trace sand and gravel			5	0.5	00					4	10	10
(CL/ML)	,		_	5 5	2.5	23					4 1	1.U B	١ŏ
			-20	5	D				628.33	-40	4	D	

End of Boring

SOIL BORING LOG

Date <u>3/13/20</u>

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

ROUTE	US-41	DE	SCRI	PTION			US Route 41 Culvert	Repair	L0	JGGI	ED BY	PS	/RM
SECTION	North of Illinois 173 to S	South o	f ,	0047				DNC					
SECTION	vvesueign		_ L	UCAI		SIN-04	<u>9-2010, SEC., IVVP.,</u> de Longitude	RNG.,					
COUNTY	laka D E		MET			Latitu			VDE		Δ١		
												10	
STRUCT N	SN 040 2016		D	в	u	м	Surface Water Elev	NI/A	4	D	в	U	м
STRUCT. N	379+99.95		Ē	L	č	0	Stream Bod Flov	Ν/Α Ν/Δ	_ IL 	E	L	č	0
			Ρ	0	S	1	Officiant Ded Elev.			Ρ	0	S	I
BORING NO	D. SN-049-2016-B-2	2	Т	W		S	Groundwater Elev.:			Т	W		S
Station	360+00		н	S	Qu	Т	First Encounter	639.5	ft 🗶	н	S	Qu	Т
Offset	45.00ft Left						Upon Completion	N/A	ft				
Ground St	urface Elev. 668.50	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs.	N/A	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
7 inches of	Asphalt	667 02					Medium Stiff to Very	Stiff		<u> </u>			
Brown. Gra	v and Black. Drv to	007.92					Gray, Moist						
Moist	, , ,			3			SIL I Y CLAY, trace s	and and gravel					
FILL: SILT	CLAY, with fine			5		1							
grained san	id, some gravel			4									
				2							2		
				4	1.3	22				_	5	1.9	20
			-5	4	В					- <u>25</u>	5	В	
			_							_			
				2						_			
				3	0.8	19							
			_	4	В					_			
Dustant	Oner Maint	660.00		~						_	•		
FILL SILTY	CI AV trace sand			3	2.2	20	Silt agam at 20 to 22	E fact		<u> </u>	5	1.0	10
gravel and i	roots			0	3.3	20	Sill seam at 29 to 33	.5 leel		_	ວ ຊ	1.U D	10
			-10	0	В					-30	0	В	
			_							_			
				1									
			_	6	4.6	20				_			
				8	0 R	20							
		654 50	_	2							4		
Medium Sti	ff to Very Stiff	004.00		4	2.1	22					5	2.5	24
Gray, Moist			_15	5	B						6	В	
SILTY CLA	Y, trace sand and gravel		-13	L									
(CL/ML)													
				2							3		
				3	0.8	19					4	1.9	23
			-20	3	В				628.50	-40	6	В	

End of Boring

APPENDIX D

PAVEMENT CORE PHOTO LOGS



SN-049-0228-PC-1-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-0228	
southbound outside	6.5
shoulder	





SN-049-0228-PC-2-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-0228	
southbound	9.75
gore area	





SN-049-0228-PC-3-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-0228	
southbound	10.0
inside shoulder	





SN-049-0228-PC-4-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-0228	
northbound	9.0
inside shoulder	





Top of Core

SN-049-0228-PC-5-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-0228	
northbound	8.0
outside shoulder	





SN-049-2016-PC-1-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-2016	
southbound	12.0
inside shoulder	





SN-049-2016-PC-2-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-2016	
southbound	8.0
outside shoulder	





SN-049-2015-PC-1–Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-2015	
northbound	7.5
inside shoulder	





Core

SN-049-2015-PC-2-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-2015	
northbound	6.75
outside shoulder	





SN-049-0575-PC-1-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-0575	
southbound	11.0
outside shoulder	





SN 049-0575-PC-2-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-0575	
northbound	9.0
outside shoulder	





SN-049-0575-PC-3-Pavement Core

Location	Asphalt Thickness (in.)
SN-049-0575	
southbound	14.0
inside shoulder	

