

Chicago Testing Laboratory, Inc.

30W114 Butterfield Road, Warrenville, IL 60555 p 630.393.CTL1 f 630.393.CTL7 18000 South Williams Street, Thornton, IL 60476 p 708.877.1801 f 708.877.6926 3966 West Dayton Street, Unit A, McHenry, IL 60050 p 815.385.8351 f 815.385.8456 1612 Landmeier Road, Unit C, Elk Grove Village, IL 60007 p 847.228.1079 f 847.228.0633

www.chicagotestinglab.com info@chicagotestinglab.com Testing • Inspection • Training • Consulting • Research • Geotechnical

Structure Geotechnical Report

TR 154 (Crego Road) over Branch of Somonauk Creek Section (116) I Dekalb County Sta 10+40.96 SN 019-5309 (Existing) SN 019-2024 (Proposed) PTB 149/15 P-93-036-08

Prepared By: Christopher Chan, P.E. Tahir Munawar Sr. Geotechnical Engineer **Geotechnical Engineer** Chicago Testing Laboratory, Inc. Chicago Testing Laboratory, Inc. 30W114 Butterfield Rd 30W114 Butterfield Rd Warrenville, IL 60555 Warrenville, IL 60555 (630) 393-CTL1 (2851) (630) 393-CTL1 (2851) Revised Original Date: May 21, 2010 September 8,2009

Prepared For: Greene & Bradford, Inc. Mr. Michael Trello, P.E., S.E. (217) 793-8844 Attachments: Preliminary TS&L Subsurface Profile Boring Logs

Contact the author if there are any questions regarding this report or if there are modifications to structure location, size, geometry, or vertical alignment.



Chicago Testing Laboratory, Inc.

30W114 Butterfield Road, Warrenville, IL 60555 p 630.393.CTL1 f 630.393.CTL7 18000 South Williams Street, Thornton, IL 60476 p 708.877.1801 f 708.877.6926 3966 West Dayton Street, Unit A, McHenry, IL 60050 p 815.385.8351 f 815.385.8456 1612 Landmeier Road, Unit C, Elk Grove Village, IL 60007 p 847.228.1079 f 847.228.0633

www.chicagotestinglab.com info@chicagotestinglab.com

Testing • Inspection • Training • Consulting • Research • Geotechnical

May 21, 2010

Mr. Michael Trello, P.E., S.E. Greene & Bradford, Inc. 3501 Constitution Drive Springfield, Illinois 62711

Re: Structure Geotechnical Report TR 154 (Crego Road) over Branch of Somonauk Creek Section (116) Dekalb County SN 019-5309 (Existing) SN 019-2024 (Proposed) PTB 149/15 P-93-036-08 CTL Project No. 09EG204

Dear Mr. Trello:

Chicago Testing Laboratory, Inc. (CTL) has prepared this Structure Geotechnical Report to discuss the Geotechnical related elements of design and possible construction considerations at the referenced project.

Thank you for the opportunity to be of service. Please do not hesitate to contact us with any questions regarding the information contained herein.

Sincerely, CHICAGO TESTING LABORATORY, INC.

Tahir Munawar Geotechnical Engineer

Christopher Chan, P.E. Senior Geotechnical Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	1
UBSURFACE CONDITION	1
Soil Deposits	1
Subsurface Profile	2
Groundwater	3
Scour Potential	3
Abandoned Coal Mines	3

GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION	3
Slope Stability	3
Soil Conditions and Settlement	
Proposed Culvert Section	4
Foundation Recommendations	4
Construction Consideration	5

ATTACHMENTS

Preliminary TS&L Subsurface Profile Boring Logs

Introduction

The proposed structure consists of a double barrel box culvert carrying TR 154 (Crego Road) over a Branch of Somonauk Creek. The project site is located 40 feet north of the intersection of US 30 and Crego Road situated in southern Dekalb County, Illinois.

The project includes removing the existing double 10' x 5.5' concrete box culvert and constructing a new double 10' x 6' concrete box culvert. The preliminary TS&L also indicated that the proposed culvert will be longer than the existing culvert. Below is a location map for this structure.



Subsurface Condition

Soil Deposits

The mapped soil association that encompasses the project location is designated as Drummer Silty Clay Loam. This soil consists of very deep, poorly drained soils formed in loess or other Silty material and in the underlying loamy stratified outwash on nearly level or depressional parts of outwash plain, stream terraces, and till plains. Slope ranges from 0 to 2 percent. Mean annual precipitation is about 37 inches and mean annual air temperature is about 52 degrees F. This soil is poorly drained, has a negligible to low potential for surface runoff, moderately high to high saturated hydraulic conductivity and moderate permeability.

Subsurface Profile

Two boring logs were provided by IDOT for this structure. Borings #1 and #2 were performed in March 2009. Hollow stem augers (HSA) were used to advance the boreholes. Soils were sampled at 2½-foot intervals to the maximum explored depth of the respective borehole. A 24" split spoon and an automatic hammer were used for sampling.

Boring #1 (NW Quad, Sta. 10+63 9'Lt) performed at a ground surface elevation of 762.86 feet encountered 2.5 feet of Sand/Gravel Shoulder Stone & Silty Clay Loam FILL over 2 feet of Very Stiff Silty Clay Loam Topsoil to an elevation of 758.36 feet. From a depth of 4.5 to 7 feet (elevation 758.36 to 755.86 feet) below the existing ground surface (bgs) was Stiff Silty Loam and Silty Clay Loam Loess over 5.5 feet of Stiff to Very Stiff Sandy Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sand/Gravel layers with Organics-old Alluvial deposits. From an elevation of 750.36 feet to 738.86 feet (12.5 to 24 feet), Medium Fine to Coarse Sand with minor Fine Gravel & free water was encountered which was followed by Very Stiff to Stiff reddish brown Sandy Clay Loam Till through the boring termination depth of 36.5 feet or an elevation of 726.36 feet.

Boring #2 (SE Quad, Sta. 10+15 9'Rt) performed at a ground surface elevation of 763.46 feet encountered 2.5 feet of Sand/Gravel Shoulder Stone & Silty Clay Loam FILL over 4.5 feet of Very Stiff Silty Clay Loam FILL. Underneath the Fill, Stiff Sandy Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sand/Gravel Layers – Alluvial deposits were found to a depth of 12.5 feet or an elevation of 750.96 feet. Below 12.5 feet, Medium gray fine to coarse Sand with fine Gravel was encountered to a depth of 24 feet or an elevation of 739.46 feet. Below 24 feet depth, Medium to Stiff reddish brown Sandy Clay Loam Till was encountered through the boring termination depth of 36.5 feet or an elevation of 726.96 feet.

The medium to very stiff consistency of Sandy Loam/Sandy Clay Loam Till was shown by Qu values ranging from 1.0 tsf to 3.8 tsf. The moisture content ranged from 7.8 percent to 33.3 percent. The medium condition of Fine to Coarse Sand was shown SPT N-values of 8 to 22 blows per foot (bpf). Reference the attached soil boring logs for a more detailed description of the subsurface profile. Structure Geotechnical Report SN 019-5309 Chicago Testing Laboratory, Inc.

Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered at an elevation of 753.9 feet in Boring #1 and at an elevation of 755.5 feet in Boring #2. Both water level reading were noted upon completion of the boreholes.

Scour Potential

The current structure is a double 10' X 5.5' box culvert with a length of 25'-8" out to out. Based on a review of the Hydraulic Report prepared by Hutchison Engineering, Inc. dated June 27, 2008, scour is not likely to be a problem at this location. Precautions, such as the placement of Class A4 riprap at the upstream and downstream ends of the culverts should be considered as part of the design.

Abandoned Coal Mines

Based on the information obtained from Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS), there are no records that indicate any former mining activity at the specific project location.

Geotechnical Evaluation

Slope Stability

Slope stability is not expected to be a concern for the proposed box culvert structure since there is no new slope construction.

Soil Conditions and Settlement

The invert elevation of proposed (754.81 and 754.73 feet at the upper end and lower end, respectively) cast in place box culvert is approximately ½ foot lower than the existing box culvert; therefore approximately 6 inches of soil cut at the proposed culvert location is necessary to lower the grade to the proposed invert elevation. Soil conditions from the boring logs show that the proposed box culvert will rest on Stiff Sandy Loam to Sandy Clay Loam soils. It is understood that the east and west portions of the proposed box culvert are located on previously unloaded channel sediments while the middle portion is located on the existing box culvert subgrade.

Stiff Sandy Loam/Sandy Clay loam encountered at the bottom of the box culvert structure is considered suitable to support the culvert structure. As shown on the boring logs, organics or old alluvial deposits may be encountered at the bottom of proposed culvert elevation. Alluvial material is also expected under the existing culvert, which should be removed. Upon removing the organic alluvial materials or any other unsuitable or soft materials, the undercut areas should be backfilled with controlled engineered fill. Upon providing the above mentioned improvement the total and differential settlement of the culvert is considered to be negligible.

Proposed Culvert Section

In addition to the proposed double 10'x6' R.C box culvert, a precast three sided culvert or four sided box culvert may also be considered. Three sided culverts allow for brook and stream crossings maintaining the natural streambed. Typically the legs of the three sided culvert are supported on cast-in place or precast footings. A four sided box culvert has a base slab that allows for a smooth precast concrete invert in place of the natural streambed. Two or more lines of box culverts may be placed side by side to create a twin barrel installation.

Depending upon the requirements of the project, the precast culvert can be a single cell or multi-cell construction. When multi-cell boxes are used, a 3 inch space shall be provided between adjacent precast sections. The decision to substitute a precast culvert for cast-in-place type construction should be arrived at only after making a careful evaluation of the site to determine its suitability for this type of construction.

It should be noted that precast concrete culverts are not suitable in areas which are subject to flooding or in areas with highly scourable flow line soils such as silt and fine sand. Also, since precast concrete segments do not lend themselves to cambering (providing a collar around every joint is not practical), this type of construction cannot be considered in soils which are susceptible to excessive settlements.

If precast box culvert is used, upon removing any unsuitable materials from the channel and replacing it with a controlled engineered fill to the desired grade, a layer of compacted granular bedding material would be required under the precast structure.

Precast culverts have a quick installation time, reducing environment and traffic impact. Joint leakage and uneven settlement seems to be the most predominant problems associated with precast culverts. A filter fabric wrap is usually required on the top and sides of the joint to prevent soil infiltration in to the culvert. Scour of the culvert inlets and outlets can be prevented with the use of appropriately sized stone riprap. If differential settlement is deemed excessive, the installation of settlement collars may be warranted. Precast may not work well if "Stage Construction" option is considered.

It should be noted that Mr. Mike Trello (Structural Engineer) was consulted regarding the use of precast culvert. He advised that due to the trapezoid shape of the proposed culvert and need for head walls; cast in place section will be more economical. Therefore pre-cast option is not allowed on this project.

Foundation Recommendations

As per TSL, the wingwalls are going to be a horizontal cantilever off of the new box culvert and typically will be structurally continuous with the outside wall of the culvert. This type of wingwall does not need supporting foundation; therefore there are no foundation concerns at the location of the standard wingwalls.

Besides the horizontal wings, non standard wing alignments, such as a concrete capped permanent sheet piling could also be considered as an alternative to the southern longer wings to stabilize the adjacent roadway.

If culvert design is revised and any other type of wingwall (such as L-type, depending on the length of wingwall) is considered, footings established on stiff clay may be designed for an allowable soil bearing capacity of 1,800 pounds per square foot (psf)

The lateral active earth pressure acting on the sidewalls or wingwall supporting the adjacent roadway can be assumed as an equivalent fluid pressure of 40 pcf for the depth of the fill and 50 pcf for the height of the barrel (IDOT Culvert Manual, June 2000, Page 2-3).

Since the water flow elevation is not changing significantly, no drop structure is planned to be built at the end of the culvert.

Construction Consideration

At this time, it is assumed that the road will be closed during construction and this structure will not be built utilizing stage construction methods. Temporary sheet piling will not be required.

We do not anticipate the need for cofferdams. However, in order to maintain a dry construction area, temporary control of water in the stream would be required. Seal coats or mud slab will be required in order to provide a stable base. Contract documents should allow provisions for the contractor to include "Underwater Structure Excavation Protection".

It is our understanding that the borings were performed at northwest and southeast quadrants along the roadway, outside of the Channel area. We believe that the borings do not represent the streambed correctly. Upon removing the existing culvert structure, the subgrade soils should be carefully evaluated with a hand auger probe to at least 2 to 3 feet below the bottom of the proposed culvert. Removal of soft and unsuitable bearing soils from the channel is anticipated. It may be prudent to incorporate quantities in the plans for over excavation and removing the soft soils present below the existing culvert in the channel.

The undercut areas should be backfilled with a controlled compacted engineered fill to the proposed bottom of culvert grade.

Controlled engineered fill may consist of Rockfill with IDOT's CA-6 or CA-7 gradation depending on the presence of groundwater. CA-6 size engineered fill should be placed in essentially horizontal lifts not exceeding 10 inches in loose thickness. Each

lift should be compacted and tested to achieve 95 % of maximum dry density as determined in laboratory by the "Standard Proctor" compaction test AASHTO T99.

All excavations should meet applicable OSHA standards.

ATTACHMENTS

Preliminary TS&L

Plan and Profile Drawing

Boring Logs (Boring #1 and Boring #2)



Benchmark: Sta. 692+16.99, 27.22' Lt., Chiseled " \Box " in top of NW wingwall of Sta. 692+20.09, 45.11' Rt., Chiseled "X" in top of 36" RCCP in SV	Precast option is not allowed No Salvage. 1'-0" 1'-Ua	Guardrail Post (typ.)	Invert Elev.	Horizontal Wingwalls (typ.)	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	Stone RipRap	Flow -			CINERTS & BRADPORD, INC. CINERT & BRADPORD, INC. CONTRIBUTION CONTRINA CONTRIBUTION CONTRINA CONTRIBUTION C	DESIGNED NIEWINSKI - 200 CHECKED PERKINS EXAMINED	DRAWN VERENSKI PASSED ENGINEER OF BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES	CHECKED TRELLO G&B PROJECT: - PLOT DRIVER = V8T05700.PS.LOCAL.1001.HALFSIZE.plicfg FLLE NAME = J:V08227XW02XCADDAPOGLAV019-5309-Shr.TSL.dgn	PLOT DATE = 8/5/2009 PLOT SCALE = 10:8.00 'r / in. USER NAME = frankv
		Precast option is not allowed No Salvage.	Precast option is not allowed No Salvage. Guardrail (1yp.)	Precast option is not allowed No Salvage. Bost (typ.) (typ.) 754.81	Precast option is not allowed No Salvage. No Salvage. Invert Elev.	Precast option is not allowed No Salvage. Invert Elev.	Precast option is not allowed No Salvage. No Salvage. Precast option is not allowed Buadratil (1,1p.) Precise All Elev. Proposition (1,1p.) Precise All Proposition Stone RipRap Class All	Precess option is not allowed No Salvage. Buardrait Providence (1)pp.) Providence Pr	Precost option is not allowed Ito Salvage.	Precess option is not allowed the Sakage.	Precisi option is not allowed to Schroge.	Precost option is not othered the Schroge.	Protosic option is not othored to Schooe. Protosic option is not othored Protosic option is not option Protosic option is not option Prot	Precosed option is not adlowed to solvada. Precosed option is not adlowed Precosed option i

	с —	Augered Brown Sand/Gravel Shoulder Stone & Brown Siity Clay Loam Fill Very Stiff Brown & Black Silty Clay Loam Fill Very Stiff Brown & Black Silty Clay Loam Fill 754.73 Medium Gray & Błack Sandy Loam, Sandy Clay Loam, Sand/Gravel layers – Allantal deposits	Medium Gray Fine to Coarse Sand with minor Fine Gravel and free water (washed sample 15'-24') Medium to Stiff Reddish Brown Sandy Clay Loam Till	Groundwater Elevation
9 '2" TR 154	B-2 SE Quad 10+15 G. 36.5 ft- Final Boring Depth 763.46 ft- Ground Surface Elevation		2.0 B 13 13 7 7	1.7 B 1.4 B 1.7 B 10 10
Varies 43'-8" to 62'-9	W Quad 63 ft Lt nal Boring Depth und Surface Elevation	3.5 P 3.5 P 2.6.3 1.0 P 25.5 15.1 1.0 P 25.5 15.1 1.0 P 25.3 14.2 14.2	26.6 16.9 20.0 20.9 18.2 22.0 10.1 7.8 10.1 2.3 P 7.8 10.1	12.8 13.9 1.0 P 14.4 13.9 1.2 B 13.9 13.8 13.9 13.8 14.4 14.4 2.0 B 14.4 14.4 <i>LONGITUDINAL SE</i>
	B-1 N/ 10+ 9.00 36.5 ft- Fii 762.86 ft- Gro	wn Silty Clay Loam	Gravel & Free 11 22 14 20 shed sample)	ation 753.9 ft

REVISED -REVISED -REVISED -REVISED -60/60/10 D - CC - FAV .

STATE OF ILLINOIS Department of transportation

TO STA. BORING LOGS SN 019-5309 STA. SHEET NO. 1 OF 1 SHEETS SCALE

COUNTY TOTAL SHEET COUNTY SHEETS NO. DEKALB 1 1 CONTRACT NO.

F.A. RTE.

FED. ROAD DIST. NO. |ILLINOIS|FED. AID PROJECT SECTION (116)I

,	Gravel Shoulder Stone &	Sandy Loam, Sandy Clay old Alluvial deposits Coarse Sand with minor 15'-16.5' & 20'-24')	own Sandy Clay Loam Till ish Brown Sand Clay Loar	Groundwater E Upon Cor	E = frankv DESIGNEC 9.4gn DRAWN E = 8.0000 '/ In, CHECKED E = 7/28/2009 DATE
	Augered Brown Sand/ FILL Very Stiff Dark Brown Medium Brown & Gro	Medium Gray & Black Layers with Organics- <i>Invert Elev</i> . 754.81 Medium Gray Fine to water (washed sample	Very Stiff Reddish Bro Medium to Stiff Reddi		FILE NAME = USER NAME J.NB8227/W04/CADD/CAD3heets/SN B19-530 PLOT SCAL C&B PROJECT: 08227 W04 PLOT DATE PLOT DRIVER = V81.PDF.p1tef9



Division of Highways District #3, Ottawa								Date	3/2	6/09
ROUTE Crego Road (1100E) DESCRIF	PTION	(Crego F	Rd. over Branch of Somonauk Creek	L(OGGE	ED BY	L	.M
SECTION SEC 116	<u> </u>	LOCA	TION	Wes	t 1/2, SEC. 13, TWP. 38N, RNG. 4E,	3 rd PM				
COUNTY Dekalb	DRILLING MET	HOD		Hol	low Stem Auger HAMMER	TYPE	(CME A	utoma	tic
STRUCT. NO. 019-5309 (Exis Station 10+37.96	<u>bt.)</u> D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev.757.01Stream Bed Elev.755.46	_ ft _ ft	D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I
BORING NO. 1 (NW Quad) Station 10+63 Offset 9 00ft t	H	w S	Qu	T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter	_ft ft ▽	H	S S	Qu	T
Ground Surface Elev. 762.8	<u>36 ft</u> (ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs	_ ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)
Augered Brown Sand/Gravel Shoulder Stone & Brown Silty Cla Loam Fill	у				Medium Gray Fine to Coarse Sand with minor Fine Gravel & free water (washed sample 15'-16.5' & 20'-24') (continued)			4 8 12		22.5
Very Stiff Dark Brown Silty Clay	760.36	3						8		
Loam Topsoil		4 5	3.5 P	26.3	Von Stiff Doddish Drown Sondy	738.86		6 6		7.8
Medium Brown & Gray Silty Loan & Silty Clay Loam Loess	758.36 I5	wh			Clay Loam Till (washed sample)		-25	6		
		1 2	1.0 P	25.5				4 6	2.3 P	12.8
Medium Gray & Black Sandy Loam, Sandy Clay Loam,		1			Medium to Stiff Reddish Brown Sand Clay Loam Till	735.86		2		
old Alluvial deposits	- 	4 3	2.0 P	15.1				2 2	1.0 P	14.4
		3					-30	3		
		1 3	1.0 P	22.3				2 3	1.2 B	13.9
Medium Gray Fine to Coarse Sar with minor Fine Gravel & free wat	750.36 d er	1 5		26.6						
(washed sample 15-16.5 & 20'-24')	-15	6								
		7 10 12		20		726.36		3 5 6	2.0 B	14.4
		4			End of Boring					
		6 8		18.2						

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206) Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

SOIL BORING LOG

Illinois Department of Transportation

(A)	Division of Highways	rtati	on			3(JIL DURING	LU	כ		Data	2/2	6/00
POLITE	District #3, Ottawa					Crogo [Dd. over Drench of Semenau	k Crook					. <u>0/09</u>
ROUIE _	Crego Road (TTUDE)	DE	SCRI	PTION	(Jrego i	Rd. over Branch of Somonau	K Creek	L(JGGE	υвт	L	.IVI
SECTION	SEC 116I			LOCA	ATION	Wes	t 1/2, SEC. 13, TWP. 38N, R	NG. 4E, 3	3 rd PM				
COUNTY	DekalbDF	RILLING	ME	THOD		Hol	low Stem Auger H/	AMMER	TYPE	(CME A	utoma	tic
STRUCT. N Station	NO. 019-5309 (Exist.) 10+37.96)	D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev Stream Bed Elev	757.01 755.36	_ ft _ ft	D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I
BORING N Station Offset	0. <u>2 (SE Quad)</u> 10+15 9.00ft Rt.		Т Н	W S	Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion	755.5	_ft ft ▽	T H	W S	Qu	S T
Ground S	Surface Elev763.46	ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs	100.0	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
Augered B Shoulder S Loam Fill	rown Sand/Gravel Stone & Brown Silty Clay			-			Medium Gray Fine to Coars with minor Fine Gravel and water	se Sand free			4 6 8		22.2
		760.96		-			(washed sample 15'-24') (continued)				0		
Very Stiff E Loam Fill	Brown & Black Silty Clay			3	3.8	26.4					4 6 7		10.1
				5	P		Medium to Stiff Reddish Bro Sandy Clay Loam Till (washed sample 25'-26.5')	own	739.46	-25	7		
				5 6	3.0 P	25.7					2 3 4	2.0 B	12.8
Medium G Loam, San	ray & Black Sandy Idy Clay Loam,	756.46		3							2		
Sand/Grav deposits	el layers - Alluvial	-	¥	4 3	1.5 P	33.3					2 4	1.7 B	13.9
			 10	2						_ <u>-30</u>	3		
				5 3	1.5 P	14.2					3 5	1.4 B	13.8
Medium G	ray Fine to Coarse Sand	750.96		2									
with minor water (washed sa	Fine Gravel and free ample 15'-24')			4 4		16.9							
			- <u>15</u>	6						-35	3		
				9 10		20.9			726.96		4 6	1.7 B	14.4
				5			End of Boring						
				7 9		22.0							
			-20							-40			

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

SOIL DODING LOC

Illinois Department

The second second