Structural Geotechnical Report

Proposed IL Route 72 Intersection Reconstruction IL Route 72 and State Street Hampshire, Kane County, IL

Reinforced Concrete Box Culverts

Prepared for:



IDOT PTB 187-005 Contract: P-91-557-11

Project Design Engineer: Lochmueller Group

Prepared by:



623 Cooper Court • Schaumburg, IL 60173 Tel: 630.994.2600 • Fax: 312.733.5612 www.gsg-consultants.com

August 17, 2020



623 Cooper Court , Schaumburg, IL 60173 Tel: 630.994.2600 , Fax: 312.733.5612

Integrity Quality Reliability

August 17, 2020

Ms. Elizabeth S. Witt, P.E. Project Engineer - Associate Lochmueller Group 1928 SRA Bradley R. Smith Drive Troy, IL 62294

Structural Geotechnical Report Reinforced Concrete Box Culverts Proposed IL Route 72 Intersection Reconstruction IDOT PTB 187-005 Contract: P-91-557-11 Hampshire, Kane County, IL

Dear Ms. Witt:

Attached is a copy of the Structural Geotechnical Report for the above referenced project. The report provides a brief description of the site investigation, site conditions, and geotechnical recommendations for the proposed improvements. The site investigation included advancing four (4) borings to depths of 25 feet.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please call us at 312-733-6262.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Karry

Thomas E. Kasang, E.I.T Project Engineer

Dawn Edgell.

Dawn Edgell, P.E. Senior Project Engineer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO	DUCTION1
	1.1	Existing Site Conditions1
	1.2	Proposed Reconstruction2
	1.3	Regional Geology2
2.0	SITE SU	JBSURFACE EXPLORATION PROGRAM4
	2.1	Subsurface Exploration Program4
	2.2	Laboratory Testing Program5
	2.3	Subsurface Conditions5
	2.4	Groundwater Conditions6
3.0	GEOTE	CHNICAL ANALYSIS8
	3.1	Derivation of Soil Parameters for Design8
	3.2	Slope Stability11
4.0	GEOTE	CHNICAL DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS12
	4.1	General Culvert Recommendations12
	4.2	Culvert Foundation Recommendations12
	4.3	Wingwall Recommendations13
	4.4	Proposed Channel14
5.0	CONST	RUCTION CONSIDERATIONS15
	5.1	Site Preparation15
	5.2	Scour Considerations15
	5.3	Site Excavation15
	5.4	Borrow Material and Compaction Requirements16
	5.5	Groundwater Management16
	5.6	Temporary Soil Retention17
6.0	LIMITA	TIONS

<u>Exhibits</u>

Exhibit 1 Project Location Map with Proposed Reconstruction

<u>Tables</u>

Table 1	Summary of Proposed Culverts
Table 2	Summary of Subsurface Exploration
Table 3	Summary of Soil Parameters

Table 4Estimated Settlement of Proposed Culverts

Appendices

- Appendix A General Plans, Elevations, and Details
- Appendix B Boring Location Plan and Subsurface Profile
- Appendix C Soil Boring Logs

1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT), Lochmueller Group retained GSG Consultants, Inc. (GSG) to complete a geotechnical investigation and to provide recommendations regarding the proposed IL Route 72 Intersection Reconstruction. The site is located at the intersection of IL Route 72 and State Street in Hampshire, Illinois (**Project Location Map with Proposed Reconstruction – Exhibit 1**).



Exhibit 1: Project Location Map with Proposed Reconstruction

1.1 Existing Site Conditions

There are three (3) existing drainage structures which will be replaced as part of the proposed IL Route 72 Intersection Reconstruction project.

- A 7'x 4' concrete box culvert, 57'-3" long, under Illinois Route 72 (SN 045-0240) conveying Hampshire Creek Tributary;
- A 5-foot diameter elliptical corrugated metal pipe (CMP) under the entrance to the Chick-n-Dip restaurant;



• A cast-in-place concrete single 6' x 4' box culvert conveying Hampshire Creek Tributary below State Street.

Additionally, temporary span wire traffic signals are currently use at the intersection and will be replaced with permanent traffic signals.

1.2 Proposed Reconstruction

According to the proposed preliminary plan drawings provided by Lochmueller Group dated 08/10/2020 (**Appendix A**), the three (3) existing drainage structures will be consolidated into two (2) new structures. The culvert under IL Route 72 will be replaced with a triple 8' x 5' reinforced concrete box (RCB) culvert; the culvert under State Street, and the CMP culvert will be replaced with a single 8' x 6' and double 6' x 6' RCB culvert. A 12-foot wide channel, with 1V:3H side slopes, is proposed to connect these structures to convey the Hampshire Creek Tributary under IL Route 72 and State Street. **Table 1** presents a summary of the proposed new drainage structures.

Proposed Structure	Proposed Structure No.	Proposed Stationing at Structure Center	Upstream Invert Elevation (ft. MSL)	Downstream Invert Elevation (ft. MSL)	Slope (%)	Total Length (feet)
3 - 8' x 5' RCB Culvert	045-2107	500+99.77 ¹	889.63	889.33	0.34	87
1 - 8' x 6' RCB Culvert and 2- 6' x 6' RCB Culvert	045-6032	201+93.80 ²	888.67	888.22	0.44	101

Table 1 – Summary of Proposed Culverts

¹ Based on existing IL Route 72 Stationing

² Based on existing State Street Stationing

1.3 Regional Geology

GSG reviewed several published documents to determine the regional geological setting of the site. The subject area is located in Kane County, in Hampshire, Illinois. The project area consists



of deposits primarily from the Equality Formation of the Hudson and Wisconsin Glacial Age. The surficial geologic deposits in the area consist silty clay, sand, silt, and gravel extending to approximately 150 to 200 feet below ground surface, at which point bedrock is generally encountered. Underlying the surficial deposits, the bedrock is predominately from the Maquoketa Formation Group, which consists of shale and limestone.

The subject area is located approximately 30 miles northeast of the Sandwich Fault Zone. The Sandwich fault zone is one of the longest fault zones in Illinois and runs along a southeast-northwest track for approximately 85 miles, from Manhattan in Will County to Oregon in Ogle County. The fault zone has a maximum displacement of approximately 800 feet at its midpoint in southeastern DeKalb County and is approximately ½ to 2 miles in width.



2.0 SITE SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION PROGRAM

This section describes the subsurface exploration program and laboratory testing program completed as part of this project. The subsurface exploration program was performed in accordance with applicable IDOT geotechnical manuals and procedures.

2.1 Subsurface Exploration Program

The subsurface soil investigation was conducted between March 23 and March 26, 2020 and included advancing a total of four (4) soil borings to depths 25 feet. The borings were completed through the existing pavement on IL Route 72 and State Street. The soil boring locations were selected by GSG based on the proposed plans provided by Lochmueller Group and completed at locations based on field conditions and site accessibility. **Table 2** presents a list of the borings completed along with their location information.

Boring	Location	Station	Existing Ground Elevation (ft)	Depth (ft)	
CB-1	State Street	202+41	7.56 LT	896.7	25
CB-2	State Street	201+24	12.88 RT	896.8	25
CB-4	IL Route 72	501+47	23.09 RT	896.9	25
OSB-1/CB-3	IL Route 72	500+64	17.31 LT	896.8	25

Table 2 – Summary of Subsurface Exploration Borings

The existing ground surface elevations for the borings were based on the field survey performed by GSG. The approximate locations of the soil borings are shown on the Boring Location Plan and Subsurface Profile **(Appendix B)**.

The soil borings were drilled using a truck mounted CME-75 drill rig using 3¼-inch I.D. hollow stem augers and automatic hammers. Soil sampling was performed according to AASHTO T 206, "Penetration Test and Split Barrel Sampling of Soils." Soil samples were obtained at 2.5-foot intervals to depths of 25 feet. GSG's field representative inspected, visually classified and logged the soil samples during the subsurface exploration activities, and performed unconfined compressive strength tests on cohesive soil samples using a calibrated Rimac compression tester and a calibrated hand penetrometer in accordance with IDOT procedures and



requirements. Representative soil samples were collected from each sample interval, were placed in jars, and returned to the laboratory for further testing and evaluation.

2.2 Laboratory Testing Program

All samples were inspected in the laboratory to verify the field classifications. A laboratory testing program was undertaken to characterize and determine engineering properties of the subsurface soils encountered in the area of the proposed culverts. Moisture content tests (ASTM D2216 / AASHTO T-265) were performed on representative soil samples. The laboratory tests were performed in accordance with test procedures outlined in the IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2015), and per ASTM and AASHTO requirements. Based on the laboratory test results, the soils encountered were classified according to the AASHTO and the Illinois Division of Highways (IDH) classification systems. The results of the laboratory testing program are shown along with the field test results in the **Soil Boring Logs (Appendix C)**.

2.3 Subsurface Conditions

This section provides a brief description of the soils encountered in the borings performed. Variations in the general subsurface soil profile were noted during the drilling activities. Detailed descriptions of the subsurface soils are provided in the soil boring logs. The soil boring logs provide specific conditions encountered at each boring location. The soil boring logs include soil descriptions, stratifications, penetration resistance, elevations, location of the samples, and laboratory test data. Unless otherwise noted, soil descriptions indicated on boring logs are visual identifications. The stratifications shown on the boring logs represent the conditions only at the actual boring locations and represent the approximate boundary between subsurface materials; however, the actual transition may be gradual.

Culvert under State Street – SN 045-6032

Borings CB-1 and CB-2 were drilled in the vicinity of the proposed culvert under State Street. The surface elevations of these borings were 896.7 and 896.8 feet.

The borings were drilled through the existing pavement on State Street, and initially noted 10 and 11 inches of asphalt. Beneath the asphalt, silty clay existing fill soils were encountered to a depth of 3.5 feet below grade. The existing fill soils were underlain by soft to hard brown silty clay soils, to depths of 9.5 and 17 feet below grade, followed by very loose to loose brown sand



to depths of 18.5 and 21.5 feet below grade. These soils were underlain by very stiff brown and gray silty clay to a depth of 21.5 feet below grade in boring CB-1 and to the termination depth in boring CB-2. Medium dense to dense brown sand was encountered to the termination depth in boring CB-1. Cobbles were encountered from 16 to 17.5 feet below grade in boring CB-2

The unconfined compressive strength values of the upper cohesive soils (above approx. elevation 887 feet) ranged between 0.42 tsf and 1.75 tsf. The unconfined compressive strength values of the lower cohesive soils (below approx. elevation 887 feet) ranged between 1.67 tsf and 4.5 tsf. The SPT blow count 'N' values of the granular soils ranged between 2 and 41 bpf.

Culvert under IL Route 72 – SN 045-2107

Borings OSB-1/CB-3 and CB-4 were drilled in the vicinity of the proposed culvert under IL Route 72. The surface elevations of these borings were 896.8 and 896.9 feet.

The borings were drilled through the existing pavement on IL Route 72. Boring OSB-1/CB-3 initially noted 7 inches of asphalt over 7 inches of concrete, and boring CB-4 initially noted 3 inches of asphalt. Beneath the pavement layers, silty clay existing fill soils were encountered to a depth of 3.5 feet below grade. The existing fill soils were underlain by loose to medium dense brown sand and sandy loam, which extended to a depth of 8.5 feet below grade. Boring CB-4 also noted stiff brown silty clay from 3.5 to 6.5 feet below grade. The brown sand and sandy loam were followed by medium stiff to very stiff brown and gray silty clay, interbedded with sand seams. These soils extended to the boring termination depths of 25 feet. Cobbles were encountered from 6 to 7.5 feet and 16 to 17.5 feet below grade in boring OSB-1/CB-3, and at 18.5 to 20 feet and 21 to 22.5 feet in boring CB-4.

The unconfined compressive strength values of the upper cohesive soils (above approx. elevation 883 feet) ranged between 0.83 tsf and 1.75 tsf. The unconfined compressive strength values of the lower cohesive soils (below approx. elevation 883 feet) ranged between 1.25 tsf and 3.12 tsf. The SPT blow count 'N' values of the granular soils ranged between 4 and 25 bpf.

2.4 Groundwater Conditions

Water levels were checked in each boring to determine the general groundwater conditions present at the site and were measured while drilling and after each boring was completed.



Groundwater was encountered in all borings while drilling at depths ranging from 8.5 to 18.5 feet (elevations of 884.6 to 878.3 feet), generally within the sand layers and lenses encountered in the borings. These water levels were likely perched water within the isolated and confined granular layers. Groundwater was not encountered after drilling in any of the boring locations.

Based on the color change from brown to gray, it is anticipated that the long-term groundwater level is below the depth of the borings. The water level the in the vicinity of the proposed culverts may rise to near the level of Hampshire Creek Tributary. Water level readings were made in the boreholes at times and under conditions shown on the boring logs and stated in the text of this report. However, it should be noted that fluctuations in groundwater level may occur due to variations in rainfall, other climatic conditions, or other factors not evident at the time measurements were made and reported herein.



3.0 GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS

This section provides GSG's geotechnical analysis and recommendations for the design of the proposed culverts and traffic sign structures based the results of the field exploration, laboratory testing, and geotechnical analysis. Subsurface conditions in unexplored locations may vary from those encountered at the boring locations. If structure locations, loadings, or elevations are changed, we request that GSG be contracted so that we may re-evaluate our recommendations.

3.1 Derivation of Soil Parameters for Design

GSG determined the geotechnical parameters to be used for the project design based on the results of the field and laboratory test data on individual boring logs as well as our experience. Unit weights, friction angles and shear strength parameters were estimated using standard penetration test (SPT) results for the fill and cohesionless soils and in-situ and laboratory test results for cohesive soils. The SPT values were corrected for hammer efficiency and overburden weight. The hammer efficiency correction factor considers the use of a safety hammer/rope/cat-head system, generally estimated to be 60% efficient. Thus, correlations should be based upon what is currently termed as N₆₀ data. The efficiency of the automatic hammers for the truck mounted CME-75 drill was estimated to be approximately 94% based on GSG's most recent calibrations records. The correction for hammer efficiency is a direct ratio of relative efficiencies. The following equation should be used in calculating the corrected blow counts for the purposes of design and analysis:

$$N_{60} = N_{Field} * (94/60) (CME-75)$$

*Where the N_{Field} value is the field recorded blow counts during drilling activities.

Based on the field investigation data collected, generalized soil parameters for the soils for use in design are presented in **Tables 3a** and **3b**.



Depth / Flevation		In situ Unit	Undra	ined	Drained			
Range (feet)	Soil Description	Weight γ (pcf)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)		
	New Engineered Clay Fill	125	1,000	0	50	25		
	New Engineered Granular Fill	125	0	30	0	30		
1.0-3.5 (896.0-893.5)	FILL Brown Silty Clay	129	950	0	0	25		
3.5-9.0 (893.5-888.0)	Soft to Medium Stiff Brown Silty Clay	127	750	0	0	27		
9.0-20.0 (888.0-877.0)	Very Loose to Loose Brown Sand	116	0	30	0	30		
9.0-17.0 (888.0-880.0) ²	Very Stiff Brown Silty Clay	135	3,100	0	125	28		
20.0-25.0 (877.0-872.0)	Very Stiff Brown and Gray Silty Clay	133	2,600	0	100	28		
22.0-25.0 (875.0-872.0) ¹	Medium Dense to Dense Brown Sand	134	0	38	0	38		

Table 3a – Summary of Soil Parameters(Culvert below State Street - Boring CB-1 & CB-2)

¹Soil Parameters only for CB-1

² Soil Parameters only for CB-2



Depth / Elevation	Call Decembration	In situ Unit	Undra	ined	Drained			
Range (feet)	Soli Description	Weight γ (pcf)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)	Cohesion c (psf)	Friction Angle φ (°)		
	New Engineered Clay Fill	125	1,000	0	50	25		
	New Engineered Granular Fill	125	0	30	0	30		
1.0-3.5 (896.0-893.5)	FILL Brown and Black Silty Clay	132	1,200	0	50	25		
3.5-6.5 (893.5-890.5) ¹	Stiff Brown and Gray Silty Clay	130	1,000	0	50	27		
3.5-8.5 (893.5-880.5)	Loose to Medium Dense Brown Sand	124	0	34	0	34		
8.5-13.5 (888.5-883.5)	Medium Stiff to Stiff Brown Silty Clay	131	1,000	0	50	27		
13.5-25.0 (883.5-872.0)	Stiff to Very Stiff Brown and Gray Silty Clay	138	1,900	0	75	28		
13.5-15.5 (883.5-881.5) ¹	Loose Brown Sand	113	0	30	0	30		
23.5-25.0 (873.5-872) ¹	Medium Dense Brown Sand	132	0	37	0	37		

Table 3b – Summary of Soil Parameters (Culvert below IL Route 72 - Boring OSB-1/CB-3 & CB-4)

¹Soil Parameters only for CB-4



3.2 Slope Stability

IDOT requires that slope stability analysis be performed in areas where the cut or fill heights will exceed 15 feet in height. For the proposed culverts and channel, it is anticipated that the maximum cut and fill height will be less than 10 feet; therefore, no slope stability analysis was required for this report.



4.0 GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

This section provides the results of GSG's geotechnical evaluation of the existing foundation system and design recommendations in accordance with the most current AASHTO LRFD 8th Edition (2017) and IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2015). The foundations for the proposed culverts must provide sufficient support to resist the dead and live loads.

4.1 General Culvert Recommendations

There are two different types of culvert alternatives that can be considered – a precast concrete box culvert and a cast-in-place concrete culvert. Generally, precast box culverts are more economical however may be limited due to the existing site conditions and construction considerations. Due to the relatively poor loose soils anticipated at the bearing level of the proposed culverts, cast-in-place concrete culverts are a more suitable option for this site. Based on the approved plan drawings provided by Lochmueller Group (dated 08/10/2020), each structure is proposed to be a cast-in-place concrete culvert.

4.2 Culvert Foundation Recommendations

GSG evaluated the soils for the proposed culverts. The recommendations in this report are based on approved plan drawings provided by Lochmueller Group (dated 08/10/2020). GSG's evaluation included recommending construction recommendations for the installation of new culverts under IL Route 72 and State Street. For the design of the foundations for the culvert, the total live load, impact loads, and dead loads, including the load of the overburden soils, should be considered. Design should be completed in accordance with the design hydraulics report and the IDOT Culvert Manual (2017).

GSG evaluated the soils at bearing grade for the base of the proposed box culverts. For the culvert under State Street, the subsurface investigation noted stiff brown silty clay at the proposed bearing grade (887.8 to 887.4 feet). For the culvert under IL Route 72, the subsurface investigation noted loose to medium dense brown sand at the proposed bearing grade (888.8 to 888.5 feet).

The subgrade soils at bearing grade should be evaluated per the guidelines provided in Section 8.9 of IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2015) for suitability/workability prior to placing any portion of the proposed culvert structure. Loose saturated granular soils were noted at the invert



depths of the proposed culvert under IL Route 72. This material will provide sufficient subgrade stability for the proposed construction of the culvert under IL Route 72. However, if a precast box culvert is considered, according to Section 540, IDOT SSRBC (2016) a minimum of 6-inches of porous granular material may be provided as bedding material which will serve as a working platform for box culverts.

Settlement of the culverts depend on the foundation size and bearing resistance, as well as the strength and compressibility characteristics of the underlying bearing soil. **Table 4** presents the estimated total and differential settlement of the proposed structures. If the total or differential settlement presented below is not acceptable, a treatment of removal and replacement should be implemented.

Proposed Structure	Anticipated Bearing Elevation (feet)	Estimated Settlement at Culvert Inlet (inches)	Estimated Settlement at Culvert Outlet (inches)	Estimated Differential Settlement (inches)
SN 045-6032 Culvert under State Street	887.8 to 887.4	<1.0	<0.5	0.5
SN 045-2107 Culvert under IL Route 72	888.8 to 888.5	1.0	<1.5	0.5

Table 4 – Estimated Settlement of Proposed Culverts

4.3 Wingwall Recommendations

The proposed structures are current shown on the approved design plans as cast-in-place culverts. The recommended wingwall design for these structures is the use of horizontal cantilever walls. The wingwalls will be attached to the culvert walls as part of the structural design, rather than supported on the poor subgrade soils. Based on the approved design plans, the northwest wingwall for SN 045-6032 will be 21'-6" in length and a horizontal cantilever wall may not be suitable for this longer wall. Therefore, a L-Type cantilever wingwall is proposed at this location that will be supported by a combination of structural connection to the culvert box and the foundation soils. The subgrade soils at bearing grade should be evaluated per the



guidelines provided in Section 8.9 of IDOT Geotechnical Manual (2015) for suitability/workability prior to placing any portion of the proposed structure.

Wingwalls should be designed based on the information and typical sections shown in Section 4.2 of the IDOT Culvert Manual (IDOT 2017). Headwalls should be designed based on the information provided in Section 4.1.5 of the IDOT Culvert Manual (IDOT 2017).

4.4 Proposed Channel

GSG understands that a 12-foot wide, approximately 125-foot long channel, is proposed to connect the proposed culverts and convey Hampshire Creek Tributary. The channel will be constructed with 1V:3H side slopes. The bottom of the channel will range between 890.33 feet at the outlet of the culvert under IL Route 72 and 889.67 feet at the inlet of the culvert under State Street. Based on the soil exploration, the soils encountered near these elevations within borings CB-1 and CB-2 are classified as cohesive in nature (silty clay), and the soils within OSB-1/CB-3 and CB-4 are granular in nature (sand). In accordance with Section 281, IDOT SSRBC (2016) a minimum of 6-inches of Gradation RR1 and RR2 riprap should be provided as bedding material, which will serve as erosion protection. No riprap shall be placed until the preparation has been designed and approved by a professional engineer.



5.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

All work performed for the proposed project should conform to the requirements in the IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction (SSRBC) (2016), the IDOT Culvert Manual (2017) and the IDOT Subgrade Stability Manual (2005). Any deviation from the requirements in the manuals above should be approved by the design engineer.

5.1 Site Preparation

Any topsoil encountered during construction should be stripped and stockpiled as per Section 211.03 of the IDOT Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction (SSRBC). The topsoil should be separated from other materials being stockpiled onsite for reuse or haul off. Base coarse aggregate encountered at the site should be evaluated to determine suitability for reuse as general fill. The contractor should not mix the existing base course materials, if any, with existing subgrade soils during the stripping and stockpiling activities. The subgrade below the base course should be evaluated in accordance with the Pavement Subgrade Preparation section of this report.

5.2 Scour Considerations

The design scour elevation should be taken at the bottom of the cutoff walls. To help prevent local erosion, it is recommended to place stone riprap at the end of the culverts. This will help prevent sediments from entering and accumulating in the culvert, reduce long term maintenance, and provide protection to the streambed at the interface.

5.3 Site Excavation

Site excavations are expected to encounter various types of soils as described in the Subsurface Exploration section of this report. The contractor will be responsible to provide a safe excavation during the construction activities of the project. All excavations should be conducted in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local safety regulations, including, but not limited to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) excavation safety standards.

Excavation stability and soil pressures on temporary shoring are dependent on soil conditions, depth of excavations, installation procedures, and the magnitude of any surcharge loads on the ground surface adjacent to the excavation. Surcharge loads from the excavated materials,



construction equipment, and vehicles should be included in the design of the excavation system. Excavation near existing structures and underground utilities should be performed with extreme care to avoid undermining existing structures.

If water seepage occurs during excavation or where wet conditions are encountered such that the water cannot be removed with conventional sumping, GSG recommends placing open grade stone similar to IDOT CA-7 to stabilize the bottom of the excavation below the water table. The CA-7 stone should be placed to 12 inches above the water table, in 12-inch lifts, and should be compacted with the use of a heavy smooth drum roller or heavy vibratory plate compactor until stable. The remaining portion of the excavation beneath the footings should be backfilled using approved structural fill consisting of granular materials such as IDOT CA-6.

5.4 Borrow Material and Compaction Requirements

If borrow material is to be used for onsite construction, it should conform to Section 204 "Borrow and Furnish Excavations" of the IDOT SSRBC (2016). The fill material should be free of organic matter and debris and should be placed and compacted in accordance with Section 205, Embankment, of the IDOT Construction Manual. Earth-moving operations should be avoided during excessively cold or wet weather to avoid freezing of softening subgrade soils. All backfill materials around the culvert must be pre-approved by the site engineer. Backfill materials for undercut areas beneath the culvert should be placed in 8 inches loose lifts and should be compacted to 95% of the maximum dry density as determined by AASTHO T-180, Modified Proctor Method.

5.5 Groundwater Management

it is anticipated that the long-term groundwater level is below the depth of the borings. However, the water level the in the vicinity of the proposed culverts may rise to near the level of Hampshire Creek Tributary, in addition to the perched water levels observed in the confined granular layers within the borings. GSG does anticipates that groundwater related issues may occur during construction activity due to the extent of the proposed improvements for the culvert and the anticipated time frame for the excavation construction. If rainwater run-off or groundwater is accumulated at the base of excavations, the contractor should remove accumulated water using conventional sump pit and pump procedures and maintain a dry and stable excavation. The location of the sump should be determined by the contractor based on



field conditions. During earthmoving activities at the site, grading should be performed to ensure that drainage is maintained throughout the construction period. Water should not be allowed to accumulate in the foundation area either during or after construction. Undercut and excavated areas should be sloped toward one corner to facilitate removal of any collected rainwater or surface run-off. Grades should be sloped away from the excavations to minimize runoff from entering.

If water seepage occurs during the excavations on the shorelines or where wet conditions are encountered such that the water cannot be removed with conventional sumping, we recommend placing open grade stone similar to IDOT CA-7 to stabilize the bottom of the excavation below the water table. The CA-7 stone should be placed to 12 inches above the water table, in 12-inch lifts, and should be compacted with the use of a heavy smooth drum roller or heavy vibratory plate compactor until stable. The remaining portion of the excavation beneath the footings should be backfilled using approved structural fill.

5.6 Temporary Soil Retention

Temporary soil retention may be needed to install the proposed culverts. The Temporary Soil Retention System (TSRS) should be designed in accordance with the IDOT Bridge Design Manual, Section 3.13.1, Temporary Sheet Piling Design, Temporary Soil Retention Systems and Braced Excavations and the IDOT Design Guide. The design of the temporary earth retention system is the responsibility of the contractor. The contractor should submit the TSRS plans to the structural design team for review prior to commencing construction of the TSRS.



6.0 LIMITATIONS

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Illinois Department of Transportation and its consultant team. The recommendations provided in the report are specific to the project described herein and are based on the information obtained from the soil boring locations within the proposed project limits. The analyses have been performed and the recommendations have been provided in this report are based on subsurface conditions determined at the location of the borings. This report may not reflect all variations that may occur between boring locations or at some other time, the nature and extent of which may not become evident until during the time of construction. If variations in subsurface conditions become evident after submission of this report, it will be necessary to evaluate their nature and review the recommendations presented herein.



APPENDIX A

GENERAL PLANS, ELEVATIONS, AND DETAILS





APPROVED



APPENDIX B

BORING LOCATION PLAN

AND SUBSURFACE PROFILE



レンハン	
ן ל ו	STATE OF ILLINOIS
	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
<u> </u>	

APPENDIX C

SOIL BORING LOGS

Illinois Department of Transportation

Division of Highways GSG Consultants, Inc. Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Date 3/26/20

ROUTE	IL Route 72	DE	DESCRIPTION				IL Route 72 at State Street	LOGGED BY			F	rs
	32R-DR-1		_ L	.OCAT		Hamps	shire, IL, SEC. , TWP. , RNG. ,	04050				
COUNTY	Kane D		ME	тнор		Latitu	de 42.0889778, Longitude -88.53	01358 TYPE		Δ١	ΙТΟ	
STRUCT. NO.	045-6032		D	в	U	м	Surface Water Elev. N/A	ft	D	в	U	м
Station	201+93.80		E	L	C	0	Stream Bed Elev. N/A	ft	E	L	C	0
			Р Т	w	3	S	Croundwater Flow		T	w	Э	S
Station	202+41		Ĥ	S	Qu	T	First Encounter 883.2	ft 🛡	Ĥ	S	Qu	T
Offset	7.56ft LT						Upon CompletionN/A	ft				
Ground Sur	face Elev. 896.71	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After <u>N/A</u> Hrs. <u>N/A</u>	ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)
11 inches of A	Asphalt						Very Stiff		·			
Brown Moist		895.80		_			Brown, Moist			10		
FILL: SILTY C	CLAY, with sand,			2	1 5	10	gravel (CL/ML) (continued)	875.21		10		11
trace gravel				3	1.5 P	10	Medium Dense to Dense			6		11
				-			Brown, Moist SAND_trace gravel (SP)					
		893 21										
Soft to Stiff		000.21		1						20		
Brown, Moist	with sand trace			1	0.4	17				24		8
gravel (CL/ML			5	3	В			871.71	-25	17		
	,						End of Boring			1		
				2						-		
				3	1.0	12						
				4	В					ĺ		
]		
										-		
				3	10	0				-		
Very Loose to		887.21		2	B I.U	9				1		
Brown, Wet	LOUSE		-10	-					30			
SAND, trace g	gravel (SP)											
				3]		
				2		17						
				3								
										-		
			<u> </u>	1								
				1		15						
			-15	1					-35			
										-		
				2		10				1		
				5						1		
										1		
		878.21]		
				10	0.5	10				ļ		
			_	10	2.5	13			_			
			-20	15	D				-40			

Illinois Department of Transportation

Division of Highways GSG Consultants, Inc. Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Date 3/26/20

ROUTE IL Route 72	DE					IL Route 72 at State Street			LOGGED BY			PS
SECTION 32R-DF	R-1	_ L	LOCAT	ION _	Hamps	shire, IL, SEC. , TWP. , RNG	• ,					
			тиор		Latitu	de 42.0886573, Longitude	-88.53()0588 TVDE		Δ1	ITO	
								TIPE	1	AU	<u></u>	1
STRUCT. NO. 045-603	2	D	в	U	м	Surface Water Elev.	N/A	ft	D	в	U	м
Station 201+93.8	30	Е	L	С	0	Stream Bed Elev.	N/A	ft	Ε	L	С	0
		P		S					P		S	l
BORING NO. CB-2		н	S	Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.:	070.0	er 👅	H	S	Qu	S T
Offset 12 88ft R	т					Upon Completion	878.3 N/A	_π_⊻_ ft				
Ground Surface Elev. 89	6.82 ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)	After N/A Hrs.	N/A	ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)
10 inches of Asphalt						Loose						
•	895.99	_				Brown, Moist						
Brown, Moist			4			SAND, trace gravel (SP)		875.32		6		
trace gravel	,		2	0.4	11	Verv Stiff				8	3.3	13
5		_	3	В		Brown and Gray, Moist				10	В	
						SILTY CLAY, trace gravel						
Madium Otiff to Llond	893.32					(CL/ML)						
Brown, Moist			2	0.6	18					0	21	13
SILTY CLAY, with sand, trace	•		4	B	10			074.00		12	2.1 B	15
gravel (CL/ML)		5				End of Boring		871.82	-25			
						5						
			7									
			7	1.8	18							
			9	Р								
Sand seam at 7.5 feet												
			0									
			0 8	31	11							
		10	9	B								
		-10							-30			
		_	9									
			10	1.7	12							
			11	В								
			5									
			9	3.1	11							
		-15	10	В					-35			
Cobbles at 16-17.5 feet			10									
	879.82		18	4.5	10							
Loose Brown Moist			14	۲ <u> </u>								
SAND, trace gravel (SP)		_										
		┸	7									
			5		12							
		-20	4						-40			

Illinois Department of Transportation Division of Highways GSG Consultants, Inc.

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Date 3/24/20

ROUTE	IL Route 72	DE	SCR	PTION	I		IL Route 72 at State Street		L(DGGI	ED BY	F	PS
SECTION	32R-DR-1		ı	OCAT	ION	Hamn	shire II SEC TWP RNG						
	0211-011-1		_ •			Latitu	de 42.0882548, Longitude	, -88.529	5625				
COUNTY	Kane DI	RILLING	6 ME	THOD			HSA HA	MMER	TYPE		AL	JTO	
				_						_	_		
STRUCT. NO.	045-2107		D	В	U	M	Surface Water Elev.	N/A	_ ft	D	В	U	M
Station	500+99.77		P		ل د		Stream Bed Elev.	N/A	_ ft	P		s S	U I
			T	w	5	S	Groundwater Eleve			T	w	3	S
Station	<u>501+47</u>		Ĥ	S	Qu	T	First Encounter	883.4	ft 🛡	Ĥ	S	Qu	T
Offset	23.09ft RT						Upon Completion	N/A	_ 11 <u>+</u> ft				
Ground Surfac	e Elev. 896.94	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)	After N/A Hrs.	N/A	ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
3 inches of Asph	alt	/896.69	-				Stiff						
Brown and Black	k, Moist						Gray, Moist						
FILL: SILTY CLA	Y, trace gravel			2			SILTY CLAY, trace gravel				6		
				2	0.6	13	(CL/ML) (COntinued)				10	1.8	12
				1	В						13	Р	
		893.44							873.44				
Stiff				2			Medium Dense				9		
Brown and Gray	, Moist			2	1.0	19	Brown, Wet				13		16
SILTY CLAY, WIT	in sand, trace		-5	4	В		SAND, trace gravel (SP)		871.94	-25	12		
							End of Boring						
		890.44		3									
Loose				3		14							
SANDY LOAM t	trace gravel (SM)			2									
				r									
		888.44		~									
Brown and Grav	Moist			2	0.0	47							
SILTY CLAY, tra	ce sand and		_	2	0.8	17							
gravel (CL/ML)			-10	3	В					-30			
			_										
				1									
				2	0.8	17							
				2	0.0 R								
				_									
		883 11	_										
Loose		005.44	<u> </u>	2									
Brown, Wet				2		32							
SAND, trace gra	vel (SP)		_15	2						-35			
										00			
		880.44		5									
Stiff				7	1.3	12							
Gray, Moist				8	В								
CL/ML)	ice gravel												
Cobbles at 18.5-	20 feet			6									
				13	2.0	12							
			-20	17	P					-40			

Illinois Department of Transportation

Division of Highways GSG Consultants, Inc. Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Date 3/24/20

ROUTE	IL Route 72	DE	_ DESCRIPTION				IL Route 72 at State Street	L()GGI	F	rs	
05071011				0017								
SECTION	32R-DR-1		L		ION _	Hamps Latitu	snire, IL, SEC., IWP., RNG., de 42.088365 Longitude -88.5298	8707				
COUNTY	Kane D	RILLING	3 ME	THOD		Lutitu	HSA HAMMER	TYPE		AL	ло	
STRUCT. NO.	045-2107		D	В	U	M	Surface Water Elev. N/A	ft	D	В	U	М
Station	500+99.77		E	L	C	0	Stream Bed Elev. N/A	ft	E	L	C	0
			P		S				P		S	l c
BORING NO.	OSB-1/CB-3		H H	S N	011	З Т	Groundwater Elev.:	e 🔳	н	S	011	ъ т
Station	<u>500+64</u> 17 31ft L T				QU	•	First Encounter 888.3	_π #			QU	•
Ground Surfa	ce Elev. 896.82	ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)	After N/A Hrs. N/A	_ n ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)
7 inches of Asp	halt		. ,	. ,	. ,		Stiff to Very Stiff			. ,	. ,	. ,
7 inches of Con	icrete						Brown, Moist		_			
Brown Moist		895.66		3			SILTY CLAY, with sand, trace			3		
FILL: SILTY CL	AY, with sand			4	1.9	17	gravel (CL/ML) <i>(continued)</i>		_	7	1.3	23
				5	В					8	В	
		893.32						873.32				
Loose to Mediu	m Dense			3			Very Stiff			8		
Brown, Moist	avol (SP)			3		13	Brown, Moist			7	3.1	20
SAND, liace gi	aver (SF)		-5	3			gravel (CL/ML)	871.82	-25	15	В	
							End of Boring]				
	F f			· ·								
Cobbles at 6-7.	5 leel			6		10						
				6		10						
				0								
		000.00										
Stiff to Verv Stit	ff	888.32	<u> </u>	7					_			
Brown, Moist	-			11	1.0	12						
SILTY CLAY, w	vith sand, trace		-10	5	Р				-30			
gravel (CL/ML)									00			
				6								
				6	1.8	12						
				7	P							
				-								
				1	22	10						
				11	Z.J R	12			_			
			-15						-35			
Cobbles at 16-1	17.5 feet			8								
				7		13			_			
				8								
				4								
			_	8	1.9	10						
			-20	9	B				-40			