STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT Dixie Highway Culvert at Ditch Approx. 0.17 Miles North of Governors Highway Proposed SN: 016--2292 F.A.U. Route 2843, Contract 62A28

Cook County, Illinois

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER:

EFK Moen, LLC 303 Fountains Parkway Suite 240 Fairview Heights, Illinois 62208

Prepared by:

Geo Services, Inc. 805 Amherst Court Suite 204 Naperville, Illinois 60565 (630) 305-9186

JOB NO. 15042

05/19/15





May 19, 2015

EFK Moen, LLC 125 South Wacker Drive Suite 2090 Chicago, IL 60606

Attn: Mr. Joe Havel, PE

Job No. 15042

Re: Structural Geotechnical Report Dixie Highway Culvert at Ditch Dixie Highway, 0.17 Miles North of Governors Highway Contract No. 62A28, Section 2014-083B Proposed SN 016-2292 Hazel Crest, Cook County, IL

Dear Mr. Havel:

The following report presents the geotechnical analysis and recommendations for the reconstruction of Dixie Highway over a Ditch Culvert in Hazel Crest, Cook County, IL. A total of two (2) soil borings (SB-1 and SB-2) were completed at the site by Geo Services, Inc. (GSI). Copies of these boring logs, laboratory test results and a location diagram are included in this report.

If there are any questions with regard to the information submitted in this report, or if we can be of further assistance to you in any way, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Very truly yours,

GEO SERVICES, Inc.

King Adlikary

Kiran Adhikary, P.E. Senior Project Engineer

and At

Andrew J. Ptak, P.E. Office Manager

enc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 01: INTRODUCTION	. 2
SECTION 02: SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES	. 2
SECTION 03: LAB TESTING PROGRAM	. 3
SECTION 04: SOIL AND WATER TABLE CONDITIONS	. 3
SECTION 05: SETTLEMENT ANALYSIS	. 4
SECTION 06: SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS	. 4
SECTION 07: FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS	. 4
SECTION 08: LATERAL SOIL PROPERTIES	. 6
SECTION 09: GENERAL CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS	. 7
SECTION 10: GENERAL QUALIFICATIONS	. 8

APPENDICES:

APPENDIX A: General Notes
APPENDIX B: Site Location Diagram
APPENDIX C: Soil Boring Plan
APPENDIX D: Boring Logs
APPENDIX E: Laboratory Test Results
-

SECTION 01: INTRODUCTION

The following report presents the geotechnical analysis and recommendations for the reconstruction of Dixie Highway (FAU 2843) over a Ditch Culvert Project, Contract No. 62A28, Section 2014-083B, in Hazel Crest, Cook County, IL. A total of two (2) soil borings (SB-1 and SB-2) were completed at the site by Geo Services, Inc. (GSI). Copies of the borings and a location diagram are included in this report.

The culvert is situated at a ditch location crossing Dixie Highway at approximately 0.17 miles north of Governors Highway in Hazel Crest, IL. An existing single reinforced concrete box culvert (8' x 3') at this location has deteriorated. The existing Structure Number of this culvert is 016-2211. The existing culvert at the project location will be completely removed and replaced with a new culvert. The invert of the new culvert will be set at approximately 5 to 6.5 feet below the existing roadway level. A Structure Number (SN) 016-2292 has been assigned to the new culvert.

The proposed design loadings were not provided by the designer; however, for the analyses purposes, we estimate an approximate foundation pressure of 1,000 psf will be applied to the foundation bearing soils at approximate depth of 5 to 6.5 feet below existing roadway level.

SECTION 02: SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES

Boring locations were selected by Geo Services, Inc. and approved by EFK Moen. Boring locations were located in the field by Geo Services, Inc. personnel after review of accessibility and utility locations. Boring locations are illustrated on the boring location diagram in Appendix C.

The borings were performed during the month of April, 2015 with a truck-mounted drilling rig and were advanced by means of hollow stem augers or rotary drilling techniques to completion to a depth of 40 feet. Representative samples from the drill rig were obtained employing split spoon sampling procedures in accordance with AASHTO T-206. Samples obtained in the field were returned to our laboratory for further examination and testing.

Split spoon sampling involves driving a 2.0-inch outside diameter split-barrel sampler into the soil with a 140-pound weight falling freely through a distance of 30 inches. Blow counts are recorded at 6" intervals and the blow counts are shown on the boring logs. The number of blows required to advance the sampler the last 12 inches is termed the Standard Penetration Resistance (N). The N value is an indication of the relative density of the soil.

SECTION 03: LAB TESTING PROGRAM

The test procedures were performed in accordance with test procedures discussed in the IDOT Geotechnical Manual. All split-spoon samples obtained from the drilling operation were visually classified in the field. Cohesive samples were tested for unconfined compressive strength using an IDOT modified RIMAC test device and/or calibrated penetrometer in the field.

The soil testing program included performing water content, density and either unconfined compression and/or calibrated penetrometer tests on the cohesive samples recovered. These tests were performed upon representative portions of the samples obtained in the field. The results of the above testing, along with a visual classification of the material based upon both the Illinois textural classification and the AASHTO Soil Classification System, are indicated on the boring logs.

SECTION 04: SOIL AND WATER TABLE CONDITIONS

Soil borings were completed near the proposed location of the culvert at the Dixie Highway roadway level. The pavement consisted of approximately 6 inches of asphalt overlying 8 inches of concrete. Below the pavement, embankment fill material consisting of silty clay was encountered to a depth of 3 feet. Below the fill soils, clay/clay loam/silty clay loam/silty loam/sand/silt/sand and gravel soils were generally encountered to the termination of borings at a depth of 40 feet below existing grade. The cohesive clayey soils (fill and natural) were soft to hard and had moisture contents within the range of 14% to 35%. The granular soils encountered between at the borings had moisture contents within the range of 11% to 21%.

Groundwater was encountered at boring SB-2 at a depth of 5.5 feet during drilling and sampling operations. No groundwater was encountered within 10 feet depth at the boring SB-01 during the drilling and sampling operations. Due to the nature of rotary-wash drilling, it is not possible to attain water levels below 10 feet of depth or after drilling. Due to coloration change of soils from brown and gray to gray, we estimate the long-term water table to be at a depth of 8 feet below existing grade. Fluctuations in the amount of water accumulated and in the hydrostatic water table can be anticipated depending upon variations in precipitation, surface runoff and nearby ditch level.

SECTION 05: SETTLEMENT ANALYSIS

<u>Embankment</u>

Proposed grade will be similar to existing grade or slightly higher than existing grade. Embankment settlement are not considered to affect the design of the proposed culvert.

Culvert Foundation

For the culvert settlement calculations, an assumed applied pressure of 1,000 psf from has been considered at the anticipated bottom of the foundation level located at a depth of 5 to 6.5 feet below existing roadway level. A total settlement due to the anticipated loading is calculated to be in the range of approximately 0.4 inches or less. This assumes that unsuitable soft soils are first overexcavated and replaced with granular soils as recommended in Section 7, Table 1 – Remedial Treatment Recommendations. Settlement and downdrag are not considered to affect the design of the proposed culvert.

SECTION 06: SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS

Side slopes of 2:1 (H:V) or flatter are planned for the new embankment. Proposed grade will be similar to the existing grade. Based on our review of these conditions, there are no external slope stability concerns with the proposed culvert.

SECTION 07: FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Culvert Foundation Recommendations

Based on the results of the borings, a precast or cast in place reinforced concrete culvert can be used for design. The type of culvert selected should be based on economic, construction (staging) and functional considerations.

The proposed design loadings were not provided by the designer; however, for the analyses purposes, we estimate an approximate foundation pressure of 1,000 psf will be applied to the foundation bearing soils at approximate depth of 5 to 6.5 feet below existing grade.

Some of the soils encountered below the invert elevations were soft to medium silty clay soils, and remedial treatment at is recommended prior to the placement of new culvert. Provided the remedial treatments are completed (see Table 1- Remedial Treatment Recommendations); no settlement or bearing capacity concerns are identified with the new culvert.

Based on the results of the borings, the estimated areas that merit soil remediation are summarized in the following Table 1.

Boring No.	Estimated Invert Depth (ft.)	Subgrade Condition	Remedial Treatment Approx. Depth Below Invert Elevation (ft)	Recommended Remedial Treatment
SB-02	5 to 6.5	Soft to Medium Stiff Clay (wc=28% to 35%)	1.5 to 3	Undercut to a nominal depth of 8 feet below existing grade and replace with material meeting the Aggregate Subgrade Improvement*

Table 1- Remedial Treatment Recommendations

*IDOT District One Special Provision (most recent version)

The actual need for removal and replacement with Aggregate Subgrade Improvement should be determined in the field at the time of construction by the Geotechnical Engineer or soils inspector.

Assuming unsuitable soft soils are first overexcavated and replaced with granular soils as recommended in Table 1 – Remedial Treatment Recommendations, the proposed culvert foundation can be designed using a factored bearing resistance pressure of 4,000 pounds per square foot (psf). For foundations situated on the granular base fill, a friction factor of 0.55 is recommended for evaluating resistance to sliding. If rockfill is used as a subbase for the structure, a friction factor of 0.70 may be used instead.

The subgrade should still be observed by the geotechnical engineer at the time of excavation/construction. If any unsuitable soils are present, the soils should be undercut to the depth encountered. From the IDOT Standard Specifications for Roadway and Bridge Construction, any removal and backfill for structures should be in compliance with Section 502. After removal of the unsuitable soil, a non-woven geo fabric should be placed to stabilize the subgrade soil before placement of the structural fill.

Any undercutting should be performed in such a manner as to minimize disturbance to the undercut subgrade. Heavy equipment traffic directly on the subgrade should be minimized. The actual extent of any undercut should be determined in the field at the time of construction by the geotechnical engineer.

Wing Wall Foundation Recommendations

The proposed culverts will have associated wingwalls on both ends of the structure. The foundation recommendations presented for the culverts earlier in this report are also valid for the wingwalls. Based on the anticipated Dixie Highway roadway fill heights above the proposed culvert, proposed culvert heights, and the length of the proposed culverts, the horizontal, L-type and T-type wings may be feasible for the wingwall structure design. For the lateral design of yielding wingwalls, it is recommended that a lateral active earth pressure of 40 psf per foot of depth be used above the water table assuming a freedraining granular backfill is utilized. For cohesive soils, a lateral active earth pressure of 55 psf per foot should be used. For non-yielding abutment walls with granular backfill, a lateral at-rest pressure of 50 psf per foot should be used, assuming proper drainage. For cohesive soils, a lateral at-rest pressure of 65 psf per foot should be used. Allowances should be made for any surcharge loads adjacent to the retaining structure. According to the NAVFAQ Design Manual 7.02, a value of 0.34 may be used for the coefficient of friction between the concrete base and drained cohesive soils (this assumes a concrete base on the stiff cohesive soils). For a concrete base on approved granular structural fill, a friction angle of 28 degrees may be used, leading to a coefficient value of 0.53. Drainage should be provided behind any walls.

SECTION 08: LATERAL SOIL PROPERTIES

Permanent steel sheet piling will be installed near the end of the culvert. Where excavations for culvert require a temporary earth retention system steel sheet piling is recommended. The following table is a tabulation of lateral soil parameters to be used for sheet piling for the proposed culvert.

Material (depth, feet)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Drained Friction Angle (°)	Undrained Cohesion (psf)	Lateral Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (pci)	Strain
Soft to Stiff Clay Loam/Clay (Top to 5)	120	26	800	200	0.009
Very Stiff to Hard Clay/Clay Loam (8 to 16)	125	28	3,000	1,000	0.005
Medium Dense to Dense Sand/Silt/Sand and Gravel (16 to 38)	125	30		60	
Stiff Clay Loam (38 to 40)	125	26	2,000	660	0.006

Table 2 – Soil Parameters¹ for Lateral Resistance (Boring SB-1)

Note: 1. Values recommended for use in design from L-pile Software Manual

Material (depth, feet)	Unit Weight (pcf)	Drained Friction Angle (°)	Undrained Cohesion (psf)	Lateral Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (pci)	Strain
Soft to Stiff Clay Loam/Clay (Top to 8)	115	18	250	20	0.020
Very Stiff to Hard Clay/Clay Loam (8 to 16)	125	28	3,000	1,000	0.005
Medium Dense to Dense Sand/Silt/Sand and Gravel (16 to 38)	125	30		60	
Stiff Clay Loam (38 to 40)	125	26	2,000	660	0.006

Table 3 – Soil Parameters¹ for Lateral Resistance (Boring SB-2)

Note: 1. Values recommended for use in design from L-pile Software Manual

For the design of yielding walls, it is recommended that a lateral active earth pressure of 40 psf per foot of depth be used above the water table assuming a free-draining granular backfill is utilized. For cohesive soils, a lateral active earth pressure of 55 psf per foot should be used. The passive resistance should be based on the shear strength of the soil, which can be taken as ½ the unconfined strengths shown on the boring logs. For the design of culvert, the lateral pressure on the sidewalls should be based on an equivalent fluid pressure of 50 psf per foot of depth for the height of the barrel and 40 psf per foot of depth for the height of the soil. The above pressures do not consider hydrostatic effects on the wall.

Allowances should be made for any surcharge loads adjacent to the retaining structures. During excavation for the proposed improvements, movement of adjacent soils into the excavation should be prevented.

SECTION 09: GENERAL CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

If the ditch water is diverted during construction, it is expected that groundwater can be controlled using sump pump and pit procedures. However, if the ditch is not diverted, the contractor should provide a plan to keep the site in the dry.

The installation of Temporary Soil Retention System may be required during the construction. Based on the results of borings, IDOT Temporary Sheet Piling Design Charts can be used for the design of a Temporary Soil Retention System.

From the IDOT Standard Specifications for Roadway and Bridge Construction, any excavation for structures should be in compliance with Section 502. During excavation for the proposed improvements, movement of adjacent soils into the excavation should

be prevented. Stockpiles of materials and equipment should not be placed near the top of the excavation slopes. All excavations should be performed in accordance with the latest Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) requirements. Allowances should be made for any surcharge loads adjacent to the retaining structures.

SECTION 10: GENERAL QUALIFICATIONS

The analysis and recommendations presented in this report are based upon the data obtained from our soil borings performed at the indicated locations. This report does not reflect any variations that may occur between borings or across the site. In addition, the soil samples cannot be relied on to accurately reflect the strata variations that usually exist between sampling locations. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until construction. If variations appear evident, it will be necessary to reevaluate the recommendations of the report. In addition, it is recommended that Geo Services Inc. be retained to perform construction observation and thereby provide a complete professional geotechnical engineering service through the observational method.

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of our client for specific application to the project discussed and has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices. No other warranties, either expressed or implied, are intended or made. In the event that any changes in the nature, design or location of the project as outlined in this report are planned, the conclusions and recommendations contained in this report shall not be considered valid unless the changes are reviewed and the conclusions of this report modified or verified in writing by the geotechnical engineer. Also note that Geo Services Inc. is not responsible for any claims, damages, or liability associated with any other party's interpretation of this report's subsurface data or reuse of the report's subsurface data or engineering analyses without the express written authorization of Geo Services Inc.

APPENDIX A

GENERAL NOTES

GENERAL NOTES

CLASSIFICATION

American Association of State Highway & Transportation Officials (AASHTO) System used for soil classification.

Cohesionless Soils

Relative

Densitv

Loose

Dense Very Dense

Very Loose

TERMINOLOGY

Streaks are considered to be paper thick. **Lenses** are considered to be less than 2 inches thick. **Layers** are considered to be less than 6 inches thick. **Stratum** are considered to be greater than 6 inches thick.

Cohesive Soils

Medium Dense

Very Soft Less than 0.25 Soft 0.25 - 0.5 Medium Stiff 0.5 - 1.0 Stiff 1.0 - 2.0 Very Stiff 2.0 - 4.0 Hard Over 4.0	

No. of Blows

per foot N

0 to 4

4 to 10

10 to 30 30 to 50

Over 50

DRILLING AND SAMPLING SYMBOLS

SS:	Split Spoon 1-3/8" I.D., 2" O.D.
OT.	

- ST: Shelby Tube 2" O.D., except where noted
- AS: Auger Sample
- DB: Diamond Bit NX: BX: AX
- CB: Carboloy Bit NX: BX: AX
- OS: Osterberg Sampler

RB: Rock Bit WO: Wash Out

Housel Sampler

Wash Sample

Fish Tail

HS:

WS:

FT:

Standard "N" Penetration: Blows per foot of a 140 lb. hammer falling 30" on a 2" O.D. Split Spoon

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT SYMBOLS

WL:	Water	WD:	While Drilling
WCI:	Wet Cave In	BCR:	Before Casing Removal
DCI:	Dry Cave In	ACR:	After Casing Removal
WS:	While sampling	AB:	After Boring

Water levels indicated on the boring logs are the levels measured in the boring at the times indicated. In pervious soils, the indicated elevations are considered reliable ground water levels. In impervious soils, the accurate determination of ground water elevations is not possible in even several days observation, and additional evidence on ground water elevations must be sought.

APPENDIX B

SITE LOCATION MAP



APPENDIX C

SOIL BORING PLAN



© GEO SERVICES, INC.

APPENDIX D

BORING LOGS

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

Date 4/8/15

	ROUTE	FAU 2843	DE	SCRI	PTION		Dixi	e Highway Culvert, Hazel Crest, II.	Loggi	ED BY	N	ЛD
	SECTION	2014-083	3	_ I			SW 1/	4, SEC. 30, TWP. T36N, RNG. R14E, 3	3 rd PM			
		Cook	DRILLING	ME	rhod		Hollow	Stem Auger/Rotary HAMMER T	YPE	CME A	utoma	itic
	STRUCT. NO. Station	016-2292		D E P	B L O	U C S	M O I	Surface Water Elev. n/a Stream Bed Elev. n/a	ft D ft E P	B L O	U C S	M O I
	BORING NO. Station Offset	SB-1		T H	W S	Qu	S T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Dry To -10.0' Upon Completion n/a	ft H	W S	Qu	S T
	Ground Surfa	ace Elev.	ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs	ft (ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
ſ	6.0" ASPHAL1	F 8.0" CONCRETE						SAND-gray-medium dense to dense (continued)				
+		lack modium stiff			1			-		19		
	(Fill)				1	0.3	28			33		18
	· · ·				2	P				40		
	CLAY-dark bro	own & gray-stiff						SAND & GRAVEL-gray-medium	_			
					2	10	- 26	dense		10		44
					3	I.3 D	20		_			
				5	5			-	25	14		
ŀ	CLAY I OAM-t	prown & grav-hard			-			SILTY CLAY I OAM-grav-medium	<u> </u>			
6	0				3			dense		14		
13/1					5	5.8	17	-		8		12
J 5/					8	В				7		
<u>9</u> .6												
Ĩ	CLAY-gray-ha	rd]			SILTY LOAM-gray-medium dense]		
5042					4					6		
3S/1					8	5.1	18			8		13
ľ				-10	10	В		-	<u>30</u>	12		
SNS NG					-					1		
BO	SILTT CLATL	OAW-gray-hard			5			SILTY SAND-gray-medium dense		10		
5042					8	44	14	-		11		16
RT					9	B				13		
Ľ								-				
讨	CLAY LOAM-g	gray-very stiff			1			SILT-gray-medium dense		1		
AN A					3					13		
ЫЩ					5	2.1	14			12		21
Щ				- <u>15</u>	6	В			- <u>35</u>	11		
2 Z					_							
MOE	SAND-gray-me	eaium dense to			10					o		
Ϋ́					10		20	4		0 6		22
0421					15		20			5		
5/15								1				
\$\201					1			SILTY CLAY-gray-very stiff		1		
ECT.					15					7		
SOLE					26		24	End Of Boring @ -40.0'. Boring		7	2.3	20
E I				-20	24			backfilled with cuttings.	-40	8	В	

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

Geo Services, Inc. Geotechnical, Environmental & Civil Engineering 805 Amherst Court: Suife 204 Naperville, Illiholfs 50665 (630) 365-288/8

GSI Job No. 15042

SOIL BORING LOG

Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>

	ROUTE	FAU 2843	_ DE	SCRI	PTION		Dixi	e Highway Culvert, Haz	el Crest, II.	LC	OGGE	D BY	N	1D
	SECTION	2014-083B		_ เ	OCAT	ION _	SW 1/	4, SEC. 30, TWP. T36N	N, RNG. R14E,	3 rd PM				
	COUNTY	Cook DR	LLING	MET	THOD		Hollow	Stem Auger/Rotary	HAMMER 1	YPE	(CME A	utoma	tic
	STRUCT. NO	016-2292		D E P	B L O	U C S	M 0 1	Surface Water Elev. Stream Bed Elev.	n/a	_ft _ft	D E P	B L O	U C S	M 0 1
	BORING NO Station Offset	SB-2		H	S	Qu	5 T	Groundwater Elev.: First Encounter Upon Completion	n/a	ft ⊻ ft	H	S	Qu	S T
	Ground Surfac	e Elev.	ft	(ft)	(/6'')	(tsf)	(%)	After Hrs.		ft	(ft)	(/6")	(tsf)	(%)
ſ	6.0" ASPHALT 8	8.0" CONCRETE		·				SAND-gray-dense (c	ontinued)					
					_			SAND & GRAVEL-gr	ay-medium			_		
ľ	SILTY CLAY-bla	ack-medium stiff			3	0.5	00	dense			_	7		4.4
	(Fill)				3	0.5	20					9 12		14
					2						_	12		
ł	CLAY-dark brow	/n & grav-soft to						SILT-grav-medium de	ense					
	medium stiff				1						_	15		
					2	0.8	28					10		15
				-5	2	В					-25	5		
				Y	-						_			
					_							-		
3/15					0	0.1	25				_	/ 5		10
5/1:					2	B	35					5		10
GP					2							5		
g	CLAY LOAM-gra	av-very stiff to hard			-			SILTY SAND-gray-m	edium dense					
842	5	, ,			3							10		
S/15					7	5.5	19					8		18
6				-10	11	В					-30	10		
Ŋ					-									
BOR								SIL I-gray-medium de	ense			7		
5042					4	24	15				_	/ 0		20
ST1					8	2. 4 B						7		20
Ľ											_			
S														
WA					4						_	4		
휜					6	2.2	15					5		22
Ř				- <u>15</u>	7	В					- <u>35</u>	7		
Z.					-				odium donco					
MOE	SAND-gray-den	30			11							7		
EFK					23		20				_	7		21
5042					38							8		
15/15											-			
S\20]			CLAY LOAM -gray-ve	ery stiff					
ECT					13							4		
RO					18		21	End Of Boring @ -40	.0'. Boring			7	2.2	21
ž				-20	17			Backlined with cutting	5.		-40	7	В	

The Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS) Failure Mode is indicated by (B-Bulge, S-Shear, P-Penetrometer) The SPT (N value) is the sum of the last two blow values in each sampling zone (AASHTO T206)

APPENDIX E

LABORATORY TEST RESULRS



GRAVEL	S	AND	011 T	
	COARSE	FINE	SILI	CLAT

Boring No.	SB-1	CLASSIFICATION	PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS-AASHTO T88		
Sample No.	4				
Depth	6.0'-7.5'	SILTY CLAY LOAM	Dixie Highway Culvert		
Liquid Limit	34	A-6	Hazel Crest		
Plastic Limit	17	brown & gray	Illinois		
Plasticity Index	17	Group Index 14			
Test By	NOB/JE	% Gravel 1.9	Geo Services, Inc.		
Date	5/14/15	% Sand 10.8	Geotechnical, Environmental and Civil Engineering An MBE - DBE Firm		
Reviewed By	VH	% Silt 57.9	1235 E. Davis St., Arlington Heights, IL 60005		
Job No	15042	% Clay 29.4	Phone 847-253-3845 • Fax 847-253-0482		



CDAVEL	SAND		CII T	
GRAVEL	COARSE	FINE	SILI	CLAT

Boring No.	SB-2	CLASSIFICATION	PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS-AASHTO T88		
Sample No.	4				
Depth	6.0'-7.5'	SILTY CLAY	Dixie Highway Culvert		
Liquid Limit	43	A-7	Hazel Crest		
Plastic Limit	23	dark brown & gray	Illinois		
Plasticity Index	20	Group Index 21			
Test By	NOB/JE	% Gravel 0.1	Geo Services, Inc.		
Date	5/14/15	% Sand 3.4	Geotechnical, Environmental and Civil Engineering An MBE - DBE Firm		
Reviewed By	VH	% Silt 64.5	1235 E. Davis St., Arlington Heights, IL 60005		
Job No	15042	% Clay 32.0	Phone 847-253-3845 • Fax 847-253-0482		



1235 East Davis Street, Suite 101 Arlington Heights, IL 60005 (847) 253-3845

Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils AASHTO T89/T90

Project Name Dixie Highway Culvert

Job No 15042

Location Downers Grove, Illinois

Date 5/13/15

Client EFK Moen

Boring No.	SB-1	SB-2			
Sample No.	6.0'-7.5'	6.0'-7.5'			
Depth	-	-			
LIQUID LIMIT (LL)	34	43			
PLASTIC LIMIT (PL)	17	23			
PLASTICITY INDEX (PI)	17	20			

Tested by JE