STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT INTERSTATE 80 BRIDGES OVER WEST FRONTAGE ROAD EX SNS 099-0042 (EB) AND 099-0043 (WB) PR SNS 099-8314 (EB) AND 099-8315 (WB) WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS

For Stantec 350 North Orleans Street, Suite 1301 Chicago, IL 60654

Submitted by Wang Engineering, Inc. (A Terracon Company) 1145 North Main Street Lombard, IL 60148

Original Report: November 7, 2022 Revised Report: November 9, 2022, June 6, 2023, June 7, 2023, and June 9, 2023

Technical Report Documentation Page							
1. Title and Subtitle Structure Geotechnical Report Interstate 80 Bridges Over Wes	st Frontage Road	2. Original Date: November 7, 2022 Revised Date: November 9, 2022, June 6, 2023, June 7, 2023, and June 9, 2023					
		3. Report Type ⊠ SGR □ RGR ⊠ Draft □ Final ⊠ Revised					
4. Route / Section / County/ Distric F.A.I 80 / FAI 80 21 Structure	5. IDOT Project No. / Contract No. D-91-103-22 / 62R28						
6. PTB / Item No. 194/010	7. Existing Structure Number(s) SN 099-0042 (EB) and SN 099-0043 (WB)	8. Proposed Structure Number(s) SN 099-8314 (EB) and SN 099-8315 (WB)					
9. Prepared by Wang Engineering, Inc. (A Terracon Company) 1145 N Main Street Lombard, IL 60148	Contributor(s) Author: Azza Hamad, PE QC/QA: Corina Farez, PE, PG	Contact (630) 785-6220 <u>ahamad@wangeng.com</u>					
10. Prepared for Stantec 350 North Orleans Street Suite 1301 Chicago, IL, 60654	Design Engineer HBM Engineering Group, LLC John Saraceno, PE, SE	Contacts (312) 262-2245 <u>Dave.Pieniazek@stantec.com</u> (708) 236-0900 John.Saraceno@hbmeng.com					
Chicago, IL, 60654 John Saraceno(2hbmeng.com) 11. Abstract Two new, single-span bridges will replace the existing single-span bridges carrying Interstate 80, Ramp A, and Ramp D over West Frontage Road in Will County, Illinois. The proposed structures will have back-to-back of abutments length of 50.0 feet and out-to-out widths ranging from 94.4 to 115.1 feet. The proposed east and west abutment cap base elevations are 595.98 to 597.87 and 595.58 to 597.21 feet, respectively. Mechanically Stabilized Earth (MSE) walls are proposed in front of each of the abutments. The walls will have maximum total heights of 16.9 and 16.2 feet at the east and west abutments, respectively. This report provides geotechnical recommendations for the design and construction of the proposed approach embankments, approach slabs, retaining walls, and bridge foundations. The pavement structure along I-80 consists of 12 to 14 inches of asphalt or 15 to 27 inches of concrete over 12 to 25 inches of aggregate base or granular fill. Beneath the I-80 pavement structure, the general lithologic profile includes up to 19.0 feet of embankment materials consisting of medium stiff to hard silty clay to silty clay loam overlying up to 20.0 feet of stiff to hard silty clay to silty clay loam overlying up to 20.0 feet of stiff to hard silty clay to silty clay loam overlying up to 20.4 feet. The groundwater level was measured at elevations ranging from 584 to 574 feet. The MSE walls will undergo long-term consolidation settlements of up to 0.8 inches. Global stability analyses at the MSE walls show FOS meeting the IDOT minimum requirement of 1.5. The maximum factored bearing resistance for the approach footings is 2,500 psf.							
To support the integral abut steel piles will provide 176 t	The bridge abutments could be supported on driven piles and downdrag allowances will be required. To support the integral abutments, driven HP12x53, HP 12x74, HP 14x73, HP14x89, and HP 14x102 steel piles will provide 176 to 392 kips of factored resistance at total lengths of 32 to 36 feet.						
12. Path to archived file	s/2553901\Reports\SGRs\Bridges\I-80 Over Frontage Road\RPT Wang	NSB_AZH_2553901_180OverFrontage RoadBridgesSGR_V04_20230607.doc					



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	EXISTING STRUCTURES AND GROUND CONDITIONS	1
1.2	PROPOSED STRUCTURES	2
2.0	METHODS OF INVESTIGATION	
2.1	FIELD INVESTIGATION	
2.2	LABORATORY TESTING	
3.0	INVESTIGATION RESULTS	4
3.1	LITHOLOGICAL PROFILE	5
3.2	GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS	6
4.0	FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	7
4.1	SEISMIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	7
4.2	MECHANICALLY STABILIZED EARTH WALLS	
4.	2.1 Bearing Resistance	9
4.	2.2 Lateral Design Pressure and Resistance	
4.	2.3 Settlement	
4.	2.4 Global Stability	
4.	2.5 Approach Slabs	
4.3	STRUCTURE FOUNDATIONS	11
4.	3.1 Driven Piles	11
4.	3.2 Lateral Loading	
4.4	STAGE CONSTRUCTION	
5.0	CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS	
5.1	SITE PREPARATION	
5.2	EXCAVATION, DEWATERING, AND UTILITIES	
5.3	FILLING AND BACKFILLING	
5.4	EARTHWORK OPERATIONS	
5.5	PILE INSTALLATION	
6.0	QUALIFICATIONS	

REFERENCES



EXHIBITS

1. SITE LOCATION MAP

2. SITE AND REGIONAL GEOLOGY

3. BORING LOCATION PLAN

4. Soil Profile

APPENDIX A

BORING LOGS

APPENDIX B

LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

APPENDIX C

BEDROCK CORE PHOTOGRAPHS

APPENDIX D

GLOBAL STABILITY ANALYSIS

APPENDIX E

GENERAL PLAN AND ELEVATION DRAWING

APPENDIX F

CROSS-SECTIONS

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Recommended Seismic Design Parameters	8
Table 2: Proposed MSE Walls	8
Table 3: Summary of Foundation Soil Treatment Recommendations	9
Table 4: Preliminary Factored Loads and Proposed Pile Cap Elevations	. 11
Table 5: Estimated Pile Lengths and Tip Elevations for Steel H-Piles Driven to R _{NMAX} without Pile Sleeves (WB)	. 12
Table 6: Estimated Pile Lengths and Tip Elevations for Steel H-Piles Driven to R _{NMAX} without Pile Sleeves (EB)	. 13
Table 7: Recommended Soil Parameters for Lateral Load Analysis at West Abutments	. 14
Table 8: Recommended Soil Parameters for Lateral Load Analysis at East Abutments	. 14
Table 9: Bedrock Parameters for Lateral Load Analysis	. 15



1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

STRUCTURE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT INTERSTATE 80 BRIDGES OVER WEST FRONTAGE ROAD EX SNS 099-0042 (EB) and 099-0043 (WB) PR SNS 099-8314 (EB) and 099-8315 (WB) WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS FOR STANTEC

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our subsurface investigation, laboratory testing, geotechnical evaluations, and recommendations in support of the design and reconstruction of the bridges carrying eastbound (EX SN 099-0042) and westbound (EX SN 099-0043) Interstate 80 (I-80) over West Frontage Road in Will County, Illinois. We understand the adjacent exit Ramp A carrying eastbound I-80 traffic to southbound Interstate 55 and entrance Ramp D carrying southbound I-55 traffic to westbound I-80 will also be reconstructed as part of this improvement and are addressed in this report.

The project area is located in west central Will County, along I-80, south of the Village of Shorewood. On the USGS *Channahon Quadrangle 7.5 Minute Series* map, the project is in the E ¹/₂ of Section 28, Tier 35 N, Range 9 E of the Third Principal Meridian. A *Site Location Map* is presented as Exhibit 1. The bridge replacements are part of the proposed widening and reconstruction of I-80 from east of Ridge Road to west of Houbolt Road in Will County, Illinois and will be reconstructed as part of Contract ML-4.

The purpose of this investigation was to characterize the site soil and groundwater conditions, perform geotechnical analyses, and provide recommendations for the design and construction of the proposed bridge foundations, approach embankments, approach slabs, and retaining walls.

1.1 Existing Structures and Ground Conditions

Based on the *Bridge Condition Reports (BCRs)*, dated March 11, 2020 and provided by Stantec, Wang Engineering, Inc. (Wang) understands the existing bridges were originally built in 1960 as single-span structures supported by cast-in-place reinforced concrete closed abutments (restrained top and bottom) supported on spread footings. The wingwalls were supported on spread footings and the approach slabs are supported on timber piles. The existing bridges have lengths of 28.0 feet from back-to-back of abutments and out-to-out widths varying from 67.6 to 69.0 feet. The structures were



repaired in 1980, 1993, and 2001. In 2005, the existing eastbound mainline structure was extended towards the south and a new bridge was constructed to the north to accommodate Ramps A and D, respectively. The existing north and south wingwalls were removed and the abutments were widened to the outside. New wingwalls were constructed at the widened ends. Based on the existing drawings, we understand the Ramp A abutments are supported on HP 8x36 piles whereas the Ramp D abutments are supported on spread footings similar to the I-80 bridges.

The site surface elevation is generally flat gently sloping westward toward DuPage River. The bridges are located between the two valleys. DuPage River runs south about 0.3 miles west of the new bridges and Rock Run Creek runs south about 0.9 miles east of the bridges. The ground surface is about 605 feet along the I-80 embankment near the bridges and at about 590 feet along West Frontage Road.

In the project area (see Exhibit 2), about 15- to 19-foot thick mainly cohesive man-made ground, the roadway embankment, is placed over about 15 to 25 feet of overburden made up of low to moderate plasticity, medium to high strength, and low to moderate moisture content silty clayey diamicton resting over granular, very dense, low compressibility silty loam diamicton unconformably which covers the bedrock (Bauer et al. 1991, Hansel and Johnson 1996, Leighton et al. 1948, Willman et al. 1971). The bedrock is made up of shaly dolostone and top of bedrock is mapped at about 565 feet elevation. The site is located just north of the inactive Sandwich Fault Zone (Kolata 2005). The shallow bedrock is highly to moderately weathered and may show the presence of cavities more likely filled with fine sediment. There are no records of mining activity within the bridge site. Cavities were not observed during our subsurface investigation at the site but might be uncovered during our additional investigation or during construction. Neither the overburden nor the upper bedrock is known to include significant sources of water supply (Woller and Sanderson 1983).

1.2 Proposed Structures

Based on the *General Plan and Elevation Drawings* (Appendix E), prepared by HBM Engineering Group, LLC (HBM) and dated May 18, 2023, Wang understands the existing single-span bridges carrying I-80, Ramp A, and Ramp D will be removed and replaced with two new, single-span bridges with integral abutments. The new bridges will have back-to-back of abutments length of 50.0 feet and out-to-out widths of 94.4 to 115.1 feet to accommodate four 12-foot wide lanes (EB only), three 12-foot wide lanes (WB only), a 9.6- to 25.9-foot wide gore, the 16-foot wide Ramp A lane (EB only), the 16-foot wide Ramp D Lane (WB only), 4-to 12-foot wide shoulders, and 1.4-foot wide parapets.



Based on the *Cross-sections* (Appendix F) provided by Stantec, and dated October 20, 2022, the existing grade along I-80 is approximately 604.0 to 605.0 feet and the proposed back of abutment elevations range from 602.13 to 606.45 feet; therefore, the grade will be raised by up to 24 inches near the median and lowered by about 12 inches along the shoulders at the west and east approaches, respectively. We estimate the east and west abutments will be constructed about 8.0 to10.0 feet behind the existing abutments. About 2.0 to 3.0 feet of new fill will be placed along the existing median at the west and east approaches, respectively, to facilitate the inward widening of the bridges by about 22.5 to 27.1 feet at the north and south sides of the eastbound and westbound bridges, respectively.

The plans show Mechanically Stabilized Earth (MSE) walls proposed in front of each of the abutments. The MSE walls will be placed along the existing end slopes and will support the embankment fill at the bridge approaches and behind the abutments. The MSE walls will run parallel to West Frontage Road and will partially wrap around the north and south side of the bridge approaches, extending about 38.0 and 40.0 feet along the southeast and southwest ends and about 36.0 and 28.0 feet along the northeast and northwest ends, respectively. The walls will have maximum total heights of 16.9 and 16.2 feet at the east and west abutments, respectively.

2.0 METHODS OF INVESTIGATION

The following sections outline the subsurface and laboratory investigations performed by Wang.

2.1 Field Investigation

The subsurface investigation consisted of nine bridge borings, designated as FR-BSB-01 to FR-BSB-09, drilled by Wang between October 2022 and February 2023. The borings were drilled from elevations of 587.5 to 605.3 feet and were advanced to depths of 35.0 to 54.5 feet bgs. The as-drilled northings and eastings were acquired with a mapping-grade GPS unit. Stations, offsets, and elevations were provided by Stantec. Boring location data are presented in the *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and the as-drilled boring locations are shown in the *Boring Location Plan* (Exhibit 3).

To supplement our subsurface investigation, we considered the historical borings drilled in 1992 as part of the bridge widening and designated as Borings SB-01, SB-02, and SB-46 to SB-49. These borings are also included in the *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and shown in the *Boring Location Plan* (Exhibit 3).



Truck-mounted drilling rigs, equipped with hollow stem augers, were used to advance and maintain open boreholes. Soil sampling was performed according to AASHTO T206, "*Penetration Test and Split Barrel Sampling of Soils*." The soil was sampled at 2.5-foot intervals to 30.0 feet bgs and at 5.0-foot intervals thereafter to the boring termination depth. Bedrock cores were collected from all the borings in 5- and 10-foot runs with an NWD4-sized core barrel. Soil samples collected from each sampling interval were placed in sealed jars and rock cores were placed into marked core boxes and transported to the laboratory for further examination and testing.

Field boring logs, prepared and maintained by Wang field engineers, included lithological descriptions, visual-manual soil (IDH Textural) classifications, results of Rimac and pocket penetrometer unconfined compressive strength tests, and results of Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) recorded as blows per 6 inches of penetration.

Groundwater levels were measured while drilling the borings and prior to coring the bedrock in Borings FR-BSB-01, FR-BSB-02, FR-BSB-04, FR-BSB-05, FR-BSB-06, and FR-BSB-09. Given the location of the boreholes and limited access requiring traffic control, it was not feasible to delay backfilling of borings to obtain 24-hour water level measurements. Each borehole was backfilled upon completion with lean grout, soil cuttings, and/or bentonite chips and, where necessary, the pavement surface was restored as much as possible to its original condition.

2.2 Laboratory Testing

The soil samples were tested in the laboratory for moisture content (AASHTO T265). Atterberg limits (AASHTO T89 and T90) and particle size analysis (AASHTO T88) tests were performed on selected samples. Unconfined compressive strength tests were performed on selected bedrock cores. Field visual descriptions of the soil samples were verified in the laboratory and index tested soils were classified according to the IDH Soil Classification System. The laboratory test results are shown in the *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and in the *Laboratory Test Results* (Appendix B).

3.0 INVESTIGATION RESULTS

Detailed descriptions of the soil conditions encountered during the subsurface investigation are presented in the attached *Boring Logs* (Appendix A) and in the *Soil Profile* (Exhibit 4). Please note that strata contact lines represent approximate boundaries between soil types. The actual transition between soil types in the field may be gradual in horizontal and vertical directions.

Our subsurface investigation results fit into the local geologic context. The borings drilled in the project area revealed the native sediments consists of silty clay to silty clay loam diamicton with



occasional lenses of sand and gravel resting over weathered bedrock. The granular soil is waterbearing with seasonal fluctuation. Top of dolostone bedrock was encountered at elevations of 565 to 567 feet (38 to 39.5 feet bgs) as predicted based on geologic data. The borings did not expose the presence of sediment filled cavities; however, the geologic site information indicates the possible presence of cavities.

3.1 Lithological Profile

Boring FR-BSB-01 was drilled along the Frontage Road shoulder and measured 13 inches of asphalt pavement overlying granular soil. Borings FR-BSB-02 to FR-BSB-04 were drilled along the I-80 and Ramp shoulders and revealed the pavement structure consists of 12 to 14 inches of asphalt overlying 12 to 25 inches of dry sandy gravel aggregate base or cohesive/granular fill. Borings FR-BSB-08 and FR-BSB-09 were drilled along the ramp shoulders and sampled 15 to 27 inches of concrete overlying fill. Borings FR-BSB-05 to FR-BSB-07 were drilled closer to the lane along I-80 and measured 1 to 6 inches of asphalt followed by 8 to 20 inches of concrete pavement overlying cohesive fill. In descending order, the general lithologic succession encountered beneath the pavement includes: 1) man-made ground (fill); 2) stiff to hard silty clay to silty clay loam; and 3) strong, poor to good quality shaly dolostone to dolostone.

1) Man-made ground (fill)

Beneath the pavement structure, the borings drilled along I-80 encountered up to 19.0 feet of cohesive fill. The cohesive fill consists of medium stiff to hard, brown and gray silty clay to silty clay loam and clay to clay loam with unconfined compressive strength (Q_u) values of 0.8 to 6.3 tsf and moisture content values of 5 to 28%. Laboratory index testing on samples from this layer showed liquid limit (LL) values of 25 to 42% and plastic limit (PL) values of 12 to 18%.

A layer of granular fill with a thickness of 1.0 to 3.0 feet was noted either directly underneath the pavement or within the cohesive fill in Borings FR-BSB-03, FR-BSB-06, and FR-BSB-09. The granular fill consists of loose to medium dense, brown and gray loam to clay loam and sand to sandy gravel with SPT N values of 6 to 11 blows per foot and moisture content values of 5 to 12%.

An 11- to 46-inch thick layer of buried, black silty clay to silty clay loam topsoil with moisture content values of 16 to 29% was sampled beneath the fill in the borings. The presence of this layer most likely indicates the boundary between fill and natural soils.

2) Stiff to hard silty clay to silty clay loam

Beneath the fill, at elevations of 587 to 583 feet, the borings advanced through 10.0 to 20.0 feet of stiff to hard, brown to gray silty clay to silty clay loam and clay to clay loam characterized by Q_u



values of 1.5 to 10.2 tsf and moisture content values of 12 to 28%. Laboratory index testing on samples from this layer showed LL and PL values of 39 to 40% and 17 to 19%, respectively. The possible presence of cobbles was noted at an elevation of 569 feet (35.0 feet bgs) within Boring FR-BSB-04. Saturated sand seams were noted within this layer.

A 1.0- to 10.0-foot thick, brown and gray, moist to saturated, loose to medium dense silty loam and sand to sandy gravel layer was encountered in Borings FR-BSB-01, FR-BSB-02, and FR-BSB-04 to FR-BSB-09 at elevations of 584 to 574 feet. Heaving sands were also present in the augers at this elevation range. This granular material is characterized by SPT N values of 5 to 33 blows per foot and moisture contents of 9 to 25%. This layer is considered saturated.

3) Strong, poor to good quality shaly dolostone to dolostone

At elevations of 568 to 566 feet, Borings FR-BSB-02 and FR-BSB-03 advanced through 2.0 to 3.0 feet of very dense, weathered bedrock. This soil unit has N-values of greater than 50 blows per inch and moisture content values of 6to 12%.

At elevations of 569 to 564 feet (depths of 19.5 to 39.5 feet bgs), the borings encountered and cored strong, poor to good quality, slightly to highly weathered shaly dolostone to dolostone bedrock. The Rock Quality Designation (RQD) ranges from 4 to 89% and uniaxial compressive strength tests revealed Q_u values of 8,205 to 12,298 psi. The bedrock core data is shown in the *Bedrock Core Photographs* (Appendix C).

3.2 Groundwater Conditions

Along I-80, groundwater was encountered while drilling the borings at elevations of 584 to 574 feet (4.0 to 31.0 feet bgs) primarily within the sand to sandy gravel and silty loam layers. At the completion of drilling and before coring, Borings FR-BSB-01, FR-BSB-02, FR-BSB-04 to FR-BSB-06, and FR-BSB-09 recorded groundwater at elevations of 589 to 576 feet (9.0 to 28.0 feet bgs) mainly within the sand and silty loam layers. The sand to silty loam layer is saturated. For analysis purposes, the design groundwater level was considered to be at an approximate elevation of 582 feet. It should be noted that groundwater levels might change with seasonal rainfall patterns and long-term climate fluctuations or may be influenced by local site conditions. Additionally, water perched within the upper granular fill layers underneath the pavement structure may be encountered.



4.0 FOUNDATION ANALYSIS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The *Cross-Section* drawings (Appendix F) indicate the grade along I-80 will be raised by up to 24 inches near the median and lowered by about 12 inches along the shoulders at the west and east approaches, respectively. We understand the east and west integral abutments will be constructed about 8.0 feet behind the existing abutments. About 2.0 to 3.0 feet of new fill will be placed along the existing median at the west and east bridge approaches, respectively, to facilitate the inward widening of the bridges by about 22.5 to 27.1 feet to the north and south sides of the eastbound and westbound bridges, respectively.

MSE walls are proposed in front of each of the abutments with maximum total heights of 16.9 and 16.2 feet at the east and west abutments, respectively. The MSE walls will be placed along the existing end slopes and will support the embankment fill at the bridge approaches and behind the abutments. The walls will run parallel to West Frontage Road and will partially wrap around the north and south side of the bridge approaches.

Wang has evaluated the possible foundation types that could be considered for support of the proposed bridge structures and we recommend supporting the integral abutments on driven piles. Supporting the abutment substructures on shallow foundations is not feasible due to the large loads anticipated from the abutments and drilled shaft foundations are not approved for use with integral abutments (IDOT 2020a). Geotechnical evaluations and recommendations for the approach embankments, approach slabs, substructure foundations, and retaining walls are included in the following sections.

4.1 Seismic Design Considerations

The seismic site class was determined in accordance with the IDOT *Geotechnical Manual* (IDOT 2020a). The soils within the top 100 feet have a weighted average N value of 82 blows per foot (Method C controlling) and the results classify the site in the Seismic Site Class C. The project location belongs to the Seismic Performance Zone 1 (IDOT 2020a). The seismic spectral acceleration parameters recommended for design in accordance with the AASHTO *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* (AASHTO 2020) are summarized in Table 1. According to the IDOT *Geotechnical Manual* (IDOT 2020a), liquefaction analysis is not required for sites located in Seismic Performance Zone 1.



Iac	Spectral Acceleration	lic Design Paramet	Design Spectrum for
Acceleration Period	Coefficient ¹⁾	Site Factors	Site Class C ²⁾
(sec)	(% g)		(% g)
0.0	PGA= 4.9	$F_{pga}=1.2$	A _s = 5.9
0.2	$S_s = 10.6$	F _a = 1.2	S _{DS} = 12.7
1.0	$S_1 = 4.0$	F _v =1.7	$S_{D1} = 6.8$

10

1) Spectral acceleration coefficients based on Site Class C

2) Site Class C Spectrum to be included on plans; $A_s = PGA*F_{pga}$; $S_{DS} = S_s*F_a$; $S_{DI} = S_1*F_v$

T 1 1 1 D

4.2 Mechanically Stabilized Earth Walls

The plans indicate the existing retaining walls along West Frontage Road will be removed and MSE walls are proposed in front of each of the abutments. The MSE walls will support the embankment fill behind the abutments and will also partially wrap around the north and south side of the bridge approaches, extending about 38.0 and 40.0 feet along the southeast and southwest ends and about 36.0 and 28.0 feet along the northeast and northwest ends, respectively. Based on the GPE, the MSE walls will have an approximate top of leveling pad elevation ranging from 580.0 to 589.0 feet. The wall station limits and maximum total wall heights are summarized in Table 2.

	Table 2: Proposed MSE Walls	
Retaining Wall ID	Station Limits	Maximum Total Height (feet)
West Wall	43+84.04, 38.07 RT to 46+42.17, 47.57 RT	16.2
 East Wall	43+75.78, 45.79 LT to 46+52.78, 43.74 LT	16.9

The following sections provide our bearing resistance, settlement, sliding, and global stability evaluation for the MSE walls supporting the abutments and approach embankments. The borings show primarily low moisture, cohesive soils within the zone of influence of strength and deformation. Wang estimates these soils will provide adequate bearing resistance and global stability along with suitable total and differential long-term consolidation settlement performance.



4.2.1 Bearing Resistance

The top of the MSE leveling pads should be established at a depth of at least 3.5 feet below the finished grade at the front face of the wall (IDOT 2023). The reinforcement width should be taken as 0.7 times the total height or a minimum of 8.0 feet. For our geotechnical evaluation, we estimate equivalent factored bearing pressures of 4,900 and 4,700 psf for maximum total wall heights of approximately 16.9 and 16.2 feet at the east and west walls, respectively. The final equivalent factored bearing pressure should be provided and/or reevaluated by the designer.

Wang evaluated the suitability of the soils encountered below the estimated top of the leveling pad elevations of 580.0 to 589.0 feet. The foundation soils primarily consist of stiff to hard silty clay to silty clay loam and silty loam. However, historical Boring SB-01, drilled in the southeast corner of the bridge, encountered silty clay at or near the proposed top of levelling pad with moisture content values of 25 to 29% and SPT N values of 5 blows per foot indicating possible unsuitable soils. To provide sufficient bearing resistance and minimize potential settlement, potential removal of the unsuitable soils and replacing them with compacted granular fill may be required. Before placing the granular fill, the base of the excavation should be underlain with geotextile fabric. While the more recently drilled borings did not reveal the presence of unsuitable soil near the proposed leveling pad elevation in the vicinity of Boring SB-01 or elsewhere within the footprint of the retaining walls. We recommend including in the contract documents a pay item for soil removal and replacement. Estimated limits for the area that may require removal and replacement are summarized in Table 3.

Tuble 5. Bull	indi y of i oundation bo		nendations
Approximate Wall Station Limits ⁽¹⁾	Treatment Width ⁽¹⁾	Treatment Vertical Extent (feet)	Reference Borings, Concerns
46+42.17, 47.5 RT to 46+17.64, 18.11 RT	MSE Wall Reinforcement Width	3.0	SB-01 (MC=25 to 29% and N=5 blows/foot)

Table 3: Summary of Foundation Soil Treatment Recommendations

(1) Treatment limits were estimated based on approximate boring location and wall limits.

The actual need for removal and replacement of soils, including the required width and depth of improvement shown in Table 3, should be determined in the field at the time of construction. Following the recommended foundation treatment, we estimate the foundation soils will provide a maximum factored bearing resistance of 5,000 psf based on a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.65 (AASHTO 2020).



4.2.2 Lateral Design Pressure and Resistance

Lateral earth pressure distribution for the design of the MSE walls should be taken as per the 2020 AASHTO LRFD *Bridge Design Specifications* Article 3.11.5.8 (AASHTO 2020); and applicable 2023 IDOT *Bridge Manual* (IDOT 2023). Design lateral pressure from surcharge loads due to roadway traffic and construction equipment should be added to the lateral earth pressure load. The estimated friction angles between the base of the MSE walls and the underlying silty clay to silty clay loam or granular backfill are 28° and 30°, respectively and the corresponding friction coefficients are 0.53 and 0.58, respectively (AASHTO 2020). MSE retaining walls are designed based on an AASHTO sliding resistance factor of 1.0 for soil-on-soil contact (AASHTO 2020). We estimate the eccentricity lies within the middle 2/3 of the walls and resistance against overturning is sufficient. The MSE walls must have both internal and external stability. The wall supplier is responsible for all internal stability aspects of the wall design.

4.2.3 Settlement

Settlement estimates have been made based on correlations to measured index properties obtained from the laboratory tests (Appendix B). Based on the soil conditions, we estimate the MSE walls will undergo maximum long-term consolidation settlements of up to 0.8 inches. We anticipate that less than 0.4 inches of settlement will remain after construction of the MSE walls. As such, the estimated settlements are appropriate for the construction of the approach slabs and MSE walls. However, the abutment piles will be driven prior to the MSE wall construction, and downdrag allowances for the proposed abutment piles will be required.

4.2.4 Global Stability

The global stability of the MSE walls was analyzed at the critical sections based on the soil profile described in Section 3.1 and the information provided in the *General Plan and Elevation* and *Crosssections* (Appendixes E and F). The minimum required FOS for both short (undrained) and long-term (drained) conditions is 1.5 (IDOT 2020). Our analysis indicates that the MSE walls have adequate FOS. *Slide2* exhibits employing the Bishop Simplified method of analysis are shown in Appendix D.

4.2.5 Approach Slabs

The approach slabs will be supported on spread footing foundations (IDOT 2023). Based on the design drawings and soil conditions revealed in the borings, the approach slab footings will be supported mainly on the new fill to be placed along the median. We estimate the fill has a maximum factored bearing resistance of 2,500 psf calculated for a geotechnical resistance factor (Φ_b) of 0.45 (AASHTO 2020). Settlement of the approach footing is estimated to be 1.0 inch or less.



4.3 **Structure Foundations**

The soil conditions along the structures show stiff to hard clayey soils with intermittent layers of medium dense to dense saturated silty loam and sand to sandy gravel overlying shaly dolostone and dolostone bedrock. Wang recommends supporting the integral abutments on steel H-piles driven to maximum nominal bearing at the top of bedrock.

The preliminary loading information provided by HBM on June 1, 2023 and proposed abutment cap base elevations as shown in the GPE are summarized in Table 4.

Direction	Substructure	Substructure Pile Cap Elevations (feet)	
Easthann d	West Abutment	597.21	2133
Eastoound	East Abutment	597.87	2133
Westbound	West Abutment	595.58	2562
	East Abutment	595.98	2561

4.3.1 Driven Piles

IDOT specifies the maximum nominal required bearing (R_{NMAX}) for each pile and states the factored resistance available (R_F) for steel H-piles should be based on a geotechnical resistance factor (Φ_G) of 0.55 (IDOT 2023). Nominal tip and side resistance were estimated using the methods and empirical equations presented in the latest *IDOT Geotechnical Pile Design Guide* (IDOT 2020a). Based on the loads provided by HBM and the proposed width of the substructures, the load per pile at the abutments will range between about 64 and 205 kips for a single row of piles spaced at 3- to 8-feet.

Based on IDOT standards, piles with greater than 0.4-inch of relative settlement along the sides require allowances for downdrag loads. Since the MSE walls will be constructed after the abutment piles are driven, the relative settlement will be greater than 0.4-inch. Therefore, downdrag allowances will be required for the abutment piles.

In accordance with the IDOT Bridge Manual (IDOT 2023), a pile sleeve of either polymer, plastic, or steel pipe shall be placed around each pile for the top 10 feet of the MSE select backfill when the structure length is greater than 100 feet. As per IDOT guidance, for bridge lengths of less than 100 feet, pile sleeves are not required. Pile capacity evaluations have been performed assuming that pile driving begins at the base of the MSE walls at an average elevation of 581.5 feet. The pile lengths



shown in the tables assume a 2-foot pile embedment into the pile cap and include the section of the pile within the MSE reinforced zone. The R_F , R_N , estimated pile tip elevations, and pile lengths for HP12x53, HP 12x74, HP 14x73, HP 14x89, and HP 14x102 steel H-piles for the abutments are summarized in Tables 5 and 6.

High blow counts, Q_u values of greater than 4.5 tsf, and sampler refusal were noted within the borings below an approximate elevation of 585 feet. As such, pile shoes should be used for the driven piles to avoid damage to the piles. Additionally, to achieve the maximum nominal required bearing at the abutments, the analysis shows the H-piles would need to be driven about 1.0 to 3.0 feet into the weathered bedrock and/or shaly dolostone. In these instances, the piles should be considered end bearing and designed for the maximum capacity of the pile. IDOT generally recommends that H-piles be driven to their maximum nominal required bearing.

Based on the geometry shown in the *GPE* (Appendix E), the existing abutment footings and/or piles at the existing Ramp A abutments may conflict with driving some of the piles and the proposed abutment pile locations should be selected to miss the existing footings and/or piles.

								(· · -)
Structure Unit (Reference Boring)	Pile Cap Base Elevations	Pile Size	Maximum Nominal Bearing, R _N	Factored Geotechnical Loss	Factored Geotechnical Load Loss	Factored Resistance Available, R _F	Total Estimated Pile Length ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Pile Tip Elevation
)	(feet)	-	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
I-80 WB		HP 12x53	418	15	29	186	33	565
and Ramp D West Abutment		HP 12x74	589	15	30	279	34	564
FR-BSB-02, FR-BSB-04,	595.58	HP 14x73	578	17	35	266	34	564
FR-BSB-06, FR-BSB-08, SB-47, and		HP 14x89	705	18	35	335	34	564
SB-48		HP 14x102	810	18	36	392	35	563
I-80 WB and Ramp D		HP 12x53	418	17	34	179	32	566
East Abutment FR-BSB-01, FR-BSB-03, FR-BSB-05, FR-BSB-07, FR-BSB-09, SB-02, SB-46, and	505.09	HP 12x74	589	17	35	272	33	565
	373.70	HP 14x73	578	20	40	257	33	565
		HP 14x89	705	20	41	326	33	565

Table 5: Estimated Pile Lengths and Tip Elevations for Steel H-Piles Driven to R_{NMAX} without Pile Sleeves (WB)



Structure Unit (Reference	Pile Cap Base Elevations	Pile Size	Maximum Nominal Bearing, R _N	Factored Geotechnical Loss	Factored Geotechnical Load Loss	Factored Resistance Available, R _F	Total Estimated Pile Length ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Pile Tip Elevation
Boring)	(feet)		(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
SB-49		HP 14x102	810	21	41	384	34	564

(1) Pile lengths were estimated primarily based on the more conservative borings at each abutment.

Table 6: Estimated Pile Lengths and Tip Elevations for Steel H-Piles Driven to R _{NMAX} without Pile Sleeves (E	EB)
--	-----

Structure Unit (Reference Boring)	Pile Cap Base Elevations	Pile Size	Maximum Nominal Bearing, R _N	Factored Geotechnical Loss	Factored Geotechnical Load Loss	Factored Resistance Available, R _F	Total Estimated Pile Length ⁽¹⁾	Estimated Pile Tip Elevation
	(feet)		(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(kips)	(feet)	(feet)
I-80 EB and		HP 12x53	418	15	31	184	35	564
Ramp A West Abutment		HP 12x74	589	16	31	277	36	563
FR-BSB-02, FR-BSB-04, FR-BSB-06, FR-BSB-08, SB-47, and SB-48	597.21	HP 14x73	578	18	36	264	35	564
		HP 14x89	705	18	37	333	35	564
		HP 14x102	810	19	37	390	36	563
I-80 EB and Ramp A		HP 12x53	418	18	36	176	34	566
East Abutment FR-BSB-01, FR-BSB-03, FR-BSB-05, FR-BSB-07, FR-BSB-09, SB-02, SB-46, and SB-49		HP 12x74	589	18	36	269	35	565
	597.87	HP 14x73	578	21	42	255	34	565
		HP 14x89	705	21	43	324	35	565
		HP 14x102	810	22	43	381	36	564

(1) Pile lengths were estimated primarily based on the more conservative borings at each abutment.

4.3.2 Lateral Loading

Lateral loads on the piles should be analyzed for maximum moments and lateral deflections. Recommended lateral soil modulus and strain parameters required for analysis via the p-y curve method are included in Tables 7 to 9. The estimated parameters assume the piles are installed without sleeves. If the design requires pile sleeves, not filled with bentonite, for the first ten feet below the



abutment pile cap, the lateral soil parameters should be considered from an estimated depth of 10.0 feet below the pile cap elevation to the top of leveling pad elevation.

Reference Borings FR-BSB-02, FR-BSB-04, FR-BSB-06, FR-BSB-08, SB-47, and SB-48							
Elevation Range (feet) Soil Type (Layer)	Unit Weight, γ (pcf)	Undrained Shear Strength, c _u (psf)	Estimated Friction Angle, Φ (°)	Estimated Lateral Soil Modulus Parameter, k (pci)	Estimated Soil Strain Parameter, ε ₅₀ (%)		
Proposed Pile Cap Base to Top of Leveling Pad MSE Wall Select FILL (without pile sleeve)	120	0	34	50			
Top of Leveling Pad to 577.0 Stiff to Hard SILTY CLAY to SILTY CLAY LOAM	120	4500	0	1000	0.5		
577.0 to 573.0 Medium Dense SAND and SILTY LOAM	53 ⁽¹⁾	0	30	60			
573.0 to 565.0 ⁽²⁾ Very Stiff to Hard SILTY CLAY LOAM to CLAY LOAM	58 ⁽¹⁾	2200	0	1000	0.5		

Table 7: Recommended Soil Parameters for Lateral Load Analysis at West Abutments

(1) Submerged unit weight; (2) Approximate top of bedrock

Table 8: Recommended Soil Parameters for Lateral Load Analysis at East Abutments

Reference Borings FR-BSB-01, FR-BSB-03, FR-BSB-05 FR-BSB-07, FR-BSB-09, SB-46, SB-49, and SB-02

Elevation Range (feet) Soil Type (Layer)	Unit Weight, γ (pcf)	Undrained Shear Strength, c _u (psf)	Estimated Friction Angle, Φ (°)	Estimated Lateral Soil Modulus Parameter, k (pci)	Estimated Soil Strain Parameter, ε ₅₀ (%)
Proposed Pile Cap Base to Top of Leveling Pad MSE Wall Select FILL (without pile sleeve)	120	0	34	50	
Top of Leveling Pad to 574.0 Very Stiff to Hard SILTY CLAY	58(1)	4500	0	1000	0.5
574.0 to 566.0 ⁽²⁾ Stiff to Hard CLAY LOAM to SILTY CLAY LOAM	58(1)	2000	0	1000	0.5

(1) Submerged unit weight; (2) Approximate top of bedrock



Bedrock	Total Unit Weight, γ (pcf)	Modulus of Rock Mass (ksi)	Uniaxial Compressive Strength (psi)	RQD (%)	Strain Factor
Shaly Dolostone/Dolostone	140	350	8,205 to 9,763	0 to 41	0.0005
Shaly Dolostone/Dolostone	140	500	8,816 to 12,298	41 to 89	0.0005

Table 9: Bedrock Parameters for Lateral Load Analysis Reference Borings FR-BSB-01 to FR-BSB-09

4.4 Stage Construction

Stage construction is identified in the *GPE* (Appendix E). Wang understands that the bridge replacements will be performed utilizing two main stages of construction to maintain traffic on each bridge. During Stage I, two lanes of traffic would be moved to the outside lanes and shoulders of the existing bridges so that the widening can advance within the existing median area. The traffic would remain along the ramps during Stage I. During Stage II, the two lanes of traffic would utilize the roadway constructed during Stage I so that the existing bridges can be removed and the outside portion of the I-80 bridges along with the ramp bridges can be replaced.

The construction activities will likely involve excavations of up to 24.0 feet along the sides of the existing east and west abutments, respectively. Due to the presence of very hard cohesive soils with Q_u values of greater than 4.5 tsf, we estimate these excavations may not be supported with cantilever steel sheet piling, and we recommend including the pay item, *Temporary Soil Retention System* for the shoring. Temporary MSE walls could also be considered for support of the fill portions at the stage line as this is the preferred method as per IDOT guidance. A value engineering analysis is recommended to select the most suitable type of temporary support system.

Based on the geometry shown in the *GPE* (Appendix E), the existing abutment and retaining wall spread footings may conflict with the construction of MSE walls. The existing structures should be removed in accordance with Section 501, *Removal of Existing Structures* of the IDOT *Standard Specifications* (IDOT 2022).



5.0 CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 Site Preparation

Vegetation, surface topsoil, pavements, and debris should be cleared and stripped where structures and new fill will be placed. If unstable or unsuitable materials are exposed during excavation, they should be removed and replaced with compacted structural fill as described in Section 5.3.

5.2 Excavation, Dewatering, and Utilities

Excavations should be performed in accordance with local, state, and federal regulations including current OSHA regulations. The potential effect of ground movements upon nearby utilities should be considered during construction. Any slope that cannot be graded at 1:2 (V: H) should be properly shored. OSHA guidelines allow for the sloping of embankments with heights of up to 20 feet. As such, we anticipate that excavations greater than 20 feet in height, such as those required for construction of the MSE walls, will require temporary shoring.

During the subsurface investigation, the groundwater was encountered at elevations ranging from 584 to 574 feet, as discussed in Section 3.2. At the abutments, the groundwater will be about 9.0 to 22.0 feet below the proposed pile cap base elevations at the abutments; therefore, we do not anticipate the need for dewatering. Groundwater was noted at elevations of 584 to 589 feet while drilling Borings FR-BBSB-02, FR-BSB-03, FR-BSB-06, and FR-BSB-09, which is about 3.0 to 7.5 feet above the estimated top of leveling pad elevation, and the Contractor should be prepared for dewatering efforts. Additionally, perched or temporary water may be encountered during times of heavy precipitation while excavating within the upper fill soils and will require dewatering efforts. Water that does accumulate in open excavations by seepage or runoff should be immediately removed by sump pump.

5.3 Filling and Backfilling

Fill material used to attain final design elevations should be pre-approved, cohesive or granular soil conforming to Section 204, *Borrow and Furnished Excavation* (IDOT 2022). The fill material should be free of organic matter and debris and should be placed in lifts and compacted according to Section 205, *Embankment* (IDOT 2022). In accordance with IDOT Section 205, *Embankment*, any embankments proposed for widening should be properly benched or deeply plowed prior to placement of new fill along the slopes (IDOT 2022).

Backfill materials for the abutments must be pre-approved by the Resident Engineer. To backfill the abutments, we recommend porous granular material conforming to the requirements specified in the IDOT Supplemental Special and Recurring Special Provisions, *Granular Backfill for Structures* (IDOT 2020b).



5.4 Earthwork Operations

The required earthwork can be accomplished with conventional construction equipment. Moisture and traffic will cause deterioration of exposed subgrade soils. Precautions should be taken by the Contractor to prevent water erosion of the exposed subgrade. A compacted subgrade will minimize water runoff erosion.

Earth moving operations should be scheduled to not coincide with excessive cold or wet weather (early spring, late fall or winter). Any soil allowed to freeze or soften due to the standing water should be removed. Wet weather can cause problems with subgrade compaction.

It is recommended that an experienced geotechnical engineer be retained to inspect the exposed subgrade, monitor earthwork operations, and provide material inspection services during the construction phase of this project.

5.5 Pile Installation

The driven piles shall be furnished and installed according to the requirements of IDOT Section 512, *Piling* (IDOT 2022). Since hard driving is expected below an approximate elevation of 585 feet, pile shoes are required as indicated in Section 4.3.1. Due to the proximity of the substructures and minimal noted change in bedrock elevation, . The preferred



6.0 QUALIFICATIONS

The analysis and recommendations submitted in this report are based upon the data obtained from the borings drilled at the locations shown on the boring logs and in Exhibit 3. This report does not reflect any variations that may occur between the borings or elsewhere on the site, variations whose nature and extent may not become evident until the course of construction. In the event that any changes in the design and/or location of the structures are planned, we should be timely informed so that our recommendations can be adjusted accordingly.

It has been a pleasure to assist Stantec, HBM, and the Illinois Department of Transportation on this project. Please call if there are any questions, or if we can be of further service.

Respectfully Submitted,

WANG ENGINEERING, INC.

Azza Hamad, P.E. Senior Geotechnical Engineer Corina Farez, P.E., P.G. QC/QA Reviewer



REFERENCES

- AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS (2020) "AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications" United States Depart of Transportation, Washington, D.C.
- BAUER, R.A., CURRY, B.B., GRAESE, A.M., VAIDEN, R.C., SU, W.J., AND HASEK, M.J. (1991) "Geotechnical Properties of Selected Pleistocene, Silurian, and Ordovician Deposits of Northeastern Illinois." Environmental Geology 139, Illinois State Geological Survey.
- HANSEL, A.K., and JOHNSON, W.H. (1996) "Wedron and Mason Groups: Lithostratigraphic Reclassification of the Wisconsin Episode, Lake Michigan Lobe Area." ISGS Bulletin 104.
 Illinois State Geological Survey, Champaign 116 p.

IDOT (2023) Bridge Manual. Illinois Department of Transportation.

- IDOT (2022) *Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction*. Illinois Department of Transportation.
- IDOT (2019) All Bridge Designers Memo 19.8. Illinois Department of Transportation.
- IDOT (2020a) Geotechnical Manual. Illinois Department of Transportation.
- IDOT (2020b) Supplemental Special and Recurring Special Provisions. Illinois Department of Transportation.
- KOLATA, D.R. (2005) *Bedrock Geology of Illinois:* Illinois Sate Geological Survey, Illinois Map 14, 1:500,000.
- LEIGHTON, M.M., EKBLAW, G.E., and HORBERG, L. (1948) "*Physiographic Divisions of Illinois*." The Journal of Geology, v. 56. p. 16-33.
- WILLMAN, H.B. (1971) Surficial Deposits of Illinois: Illinois State Geological Survey, ISGS, OFS 2000-7, 1:500,000.
- WOLLER, D.M. AND SANDERSON, E.W. (1983) Public groundwater supplies in Will county. Bulletin (Illinois State Water Survey) no. 60-29.



1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

EXHIBITS

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982











.F.R-B.SB-08

340+01.9

15

Silty Clay A-6 (12) LL=32 PL=16

15 NP

11 > 4.50

8 1.07 B

9 2.05

5 0.82 B

5 2.46 E

14 1 3

14 2.7

27 7.63

23 6.97

15 NP

52 > 4.5

R NA

RNA

R NA

·/

7

Ľ

Α

610

605

600

595

590

585

580

575

570

565

560

555

550

545

ELEVATION (feet)







1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

APPENDIX A

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



2553901.GPJ WANGENG.GDT





BORING LOG FR-BSB-02

WEI Job No.: 255-39-01

wangeng@wangeng.com 1145 N Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 Telephone: 630 953-9928 Fax: 630 953-9938

 Client
 Stantec

 Project I-80 Reconstruction, Ridge Road to Houbolt Road

 Location
 Will County, Illinois

Datum: NAVD 88 Elevation: 603.59 ft North: 1755381.64 ft East: 1019725.33 ft Station: 44+58.9 Offset: 37.9 RT

Profile		SOIL AND ROCK	Depth (ft) Sample Type <i>recovery</i>	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND DESCRIF	ROCK	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)
Z		RQD: 64%-	- 1		0 R												
Z	_		_		Ē												
Z	_		-	16													
	4																
F	Д																
É	Z	1															
É		Boring terminated at 54.00 ft	──┦╹	ł													
			55_														
			_														
			-														
			-														
			-														
			-														
			60														
			-														
			_														
			-														
			-														
			<u> </u>														
			65														
			_														
			-														
			-														
			-														
			70														
			-														
			-														
30/23			1														
T 3/;			_														
3.GD			-														
BENC			-														
VAN			75														
GPU		GENERA		ES			ļ	I	I	I	WATER	LEVE	L D	AT	Α		
Begin Drilling 01-15-2023 Complete Drilling 01-15-2023										While Drilling		<u> </u>		19.5	50 ft		
255(Dril	illing Contractor Wang Testing S	ervices	_ C	Drill Rig	1	7B57	7T [9	1%]	At Completion of Drilling Y 20.00 ft							
	Dril	iller KG&TC Logger	B. Miller	-	Che	ecked	by (C. M	arin	Time After Drilling NA							
	Dril	illing Method 3.25" ID HSA: borin	ng backfi	ler		n co	mple	tion		Depth to Water I NA							
VANG	-			****	·· •· Pr 24	- F. M. M.				The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary							
≤∟											s: the actual t	ransition m	avbe	uradi	ual.		



2553901.GPJ WANGENG.GDT IGENGINC



BORING LOG FR-BSB-03

WEI Job No.: 255-39-01

Page 2 of 2

wangeng@wangeng.com 1145 N Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 Telephone: 630 953-9928 Fax: 630 953-9938

 Client
 Stantec

 Project I-80 Reconstruction, Ridge Road to Houbolt Road

 Location
 Will County, Illinois

Datum: NAVD 88 Elevation: 604.73 ft North: 1755391.52 ft East: 1019804.59 ft Station: 340+02.8 Offset: 65.4 LT

	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTION	Depth (ft) Sample Type recovery	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL ANI DESCR	D ROCK IPTION	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)
þ	Ζ,				.,)						<i></i> о		•,)
Ē	\angle			-														
F	<u> </u>																	
ŀ	7			_														
Ē	/	550 7		┨┫														
F	/	Bori	ng terminated at 54.00 ft		t													
				55														
				-														
				-														
				60														
				-														
				-														
				-														
				65														
				-														
				-														
				-														
				-														
				70														
				-														
23				-														
3/30/																		
G.GDT				-														
NGEN																		
GENERAL NUTES									While Drilling			LD	AI 21.0	A 00 ft				
2553	Dri	lling Cont	tractor Wang Testing	Services	D	Drill Rig	2	0D50	8] T	0%]	At Completio	, n of Drilling	<u> </u>		N	IA		· · · · · · ·
NGINC	Dri	ller	RH&TC Logger	D. Morke	<u>n</u>	Che	ecked	by _	C. M	arin	Time After Drilling NA							
ANGER	Dri	lling Meth	and 3.25" ID HSA; bori	ing backfil	led	lupo	n co	mple	tion		Depth to Water V NA							
۶L	≰										between soil types: the actual transition may be gradual.							





BORING LOG FR-BSB-04

WEI Job No.: 255-39-01

wangeng@wangeng.com 1145 N Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 Telephone: 630 953-9928 Fax: 630 953-9938

Client Stantec Project I-80 Reconstruction, Ridge Road to Houbolt Road Location Will County, Illinois Datum: NAVD 88 Elevation: 603.69 ft North: 1755347.84 ft East: 1019716.69 ft Station: 339+10.9 Offset: 30.7 LT

Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTION	Depth (ft) Sample Type recovery Sample No	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND R DESCRIPTI	OCK tag ON dag	Sample Type	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	
/angeng.gdt 3/30/23	Z Z Z Z Z 549.:	2 Boring terminated at 54.50 ft													
≥									10/0						
-15.	<u> </u>	GENER/		5		10.00	000	<u>.</u>							
5539C	Begin [Drilling 10-30-2022	Complete I	Drilling		10-30	-202	2	While Drilling	¥	20	5.00 ft		· · · · · ·	
C 25	Drilling	Contractor Wang Testing	Services	Drill Ri	g 2	0D50)T [8	0%]	At Completion of E	Drilling T	28	8.00 ft			
Ž [Driller	RH&TC Logger N	I. Karahalio)S Ch	ecked	by _	C. M	arin	Time After Drilling	NA					
	Drilling	Method 3.25" ID HSA; bori	ng backfill	ed upo	on co	mple	tion		Depth to Water Y NA						
									The stratification lines represent the approximate boundary between soil types: the actual transition may be gradual.						






BORING LOG FR-BSB-06

WEI Job No.: 255-39-01

wangeng@wangeng.com 1145 N Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 Telephone: 630 953-9928 Fax: 630 953-9938

 Client
 Stantec

 Project I-80 Reconstruction, Ridge Road to Houbolt Road

 Location
 Will County, Illinois

Datum: NAVD 88 Elevation: 603.70 ft North: 1755284.88 ft East: 1019730.73 ft Station: 45+55.7 Offset: 42.2 RT

_									i					_			
i	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTION	Depth (ft) Sample Type _{recovery}	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROC DESCRIPTION	Depth J	Sample Type	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)
				-	15												
Ē	7																
F	/	Bc	ring terminated at 53.00 ft	━━╇━													
				-													
				55													
				-													
				-													
				-													
				-													
				60													
				-													
				-													
				-													
				-													
				65													
				-													
				-													
				-													
				-													
				70													
				-													
				-													
/30/23				-													
GDT 3				-													
IGENG.																	
NAN L				75								_ =					
901.GF	Re		GENERA			illing		11-23	-202	23	While Drilling	<u>:R LEVE</u> ▽	LD		A 00 ft		
2553!	Dri	illing Co	ntractor Wang Testing S	Services	[Drill Riq	, <u>1</u>	7B57	- <u></u> T [9	1%]	At Completion of Drillin	ng <u>¥</u>		16.0	00 ft		
GINC	Dri	iller	KG&TC Logger	B. Mille	r	Ch	ecked	by (С. М	arin	Time After Drilling	NA					
NGEN	Dri	illing Me	thod 3.25" ID HSA; borir	ng backfi	lleo	d upo	n co	mple	tion		Depth to Water	NA		e hoi	undary		
Ă											between soil types: the act	ual transition m	av he	arad	ual		





BORING LOG FR-BSB-07

WEI Job No.: 255-39-01

wangeng@wangeng.com 1145 N Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 Telephone: 630 953-9928 Fax: 630 953-9938

 Client
 Stantec

 Project I-80 Reconstruction, Ridge Road to Houbolt Road

 Location
 Will County, Illinois

Datum: NAVD 88 Elevation: 605.00 ft North: 1755294.63 ft East: 1019819.27 ft Station: 340+07.7 Offset: 32.4 RT

	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTION	Depth (ft) Sample Type recovery Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND DESCRIP	ROCK TION	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)
NG:GDT 3/30/23		551.0 Bc	oring terminated at 54.00 ft				0								S		
WAN				75													
GPJ		I	GENERA	L NOTES	5		I	•		V	VATER	LEVE	LD	AT	Ά	I	
3901.(Be	gin Drill	ing 10-27-2022	Complete D	rilling		10-27	-202	22	While Drilling		<u> </u>		31.0	00 ft		
255	Dri	illing Co	ntractor Wang Testing S	Services	Drill Ri	g 2	0D50)T [8	0%]	At Completion	of Drilling	Ţ		N	IA		· · · · ·
SINC	Dri	iller	RH&TC Logger	D. Morken	Ch	ecked	by (С. М	arin	Time After Drilli	ing	NA					
GENC	Dri	illing Me	thod 3.25" ID HSA; bori	ng backfille	d upo	on co	mple	tion		Depth to Water	<u> </u>	NA					
WANC		-								The stratification li	nes represen	t the appro	ximate	e bou	undary		



IGENGINC



BORING LOG FR-BSB-08

WEI Job No.: 255-39-01

wangeng@wangeng.com 1145 N Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 Telephone: 630 953-9928 Fax: 630 953-9938

 Client
 Stantec

 Project I-80 Reconstruction, Ridge Road to Houbolt Road

 Location
 Will County, Illinois

Datum: NAVD 88 Elevation: 605.29 ft North: 1755237.20 ft East: 1019711.46 ft Station: 340+01.9 Offset: 89.6 RT

<u> </u>																
Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTION	Depth (ft) Sample Type	recovery Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)	Profile	Elevation (ft)	SOIL AND ROCK DESCRIPTION	Depth (ft)	Sample Type	Sample No.	SPT Values (blw/6 in)	Qu (tsf)	Moisture Content (%)
\square	-	RUN 3: 44.5 to 54.5 feet														
Z,		Recovery: 98%-														
		RQD: 61%·														
			-													
\mathbb{H}^{\perp}	-		_													
$\overline{-}$			-													
É7	550.8		-													
<u>/</u>	Bo	pring terminated at 54.50 ft	55	-												
			_													
			-													
			_													
			_													
			-													
			_													
			60													
			_													
			-													
			-													
			-													
			65													
			-													
			-													
			_													
			-													
			/0													
			-													
			-													
			-													
			-													
			75_													
		GENERA	L NO	TE	5					WATER	LEVE	LD	AT	Α		
Be	gin Drill	ing 10-26-2022	Compl	ete D	rilling		10-26	-202	2	While Drilling	<u>¥</u>		30.0)0 ft		
Dri	illing Co	ntractor Wang Testing S	ervice	S	Drill Ri	g _2	0D50	T [8]	0%]	At Completion of Drilling	<u> </u>		N	A		
Dri	iller	RH&TC Logger I	D. Mor	ken	Ch	ecked	by .	С. М	arin	Time After Drilling	NA					
Dri	illing Me	thod 3.25" ID HSA; borin	Depth to Water 🛛 💆	NA												
			The stratification lines represent between soil types: the actual t	nt the appro	ximat av be	e bou aradu	Indary Jal.	_								



Illinois Departm of Transportation	ient m						Bridg Borin	je Fow ig Log	ndation
PRO ISCT D-91-425-90 m	0 Doc	SIN () 99 –0	042		Tormer	₩ 6 11	Sh, 1	of ¹ Sh.
BOUTE FAI-80	I-80 o	ver	lest.	Frontage Boad	Date	Balluar Ba	y 0, 1	293	_ 7 7
SEC 99-1(RS-3-BR&HB-2-R) S	т.	1931	Litan	25	4Bore	κά θγ <u>πο</u>	Dert N.	. Marsn	a11
COUNTY . Will T35R9			<u> </u>	Surface Mater	Cner	cked By		<u>L. Stu</u>	<u>. </u>
Boring No. 1 Station 1931+71 Offset 103' Right CL	Elevation	Qu t/8.f.	(%) M	Groundwater E Completion	ELant Iours	579.1	Elevation	N Qu t/s.f.	(%) w
Ground Surface 587.1 VERY STIFF Mottled - Brow -Black SILTY CLAY with pebbles & fibers									
	234	B@ 15% 3.3	20				-25		
581.6 STIFF Brown-Gray Mottles SILTY CLAY with SAND seams	9 1 2 8 - 3	B@ 15% 1.2	25						
577.1	0 2 3 -10	р 2.0	29				-30		
LOOSE Gray SAND fine to medium grain		-	29						
100SE Brown SAND fine to medium grading -STARTED WASHING	- 0 - 1 -15	-	23				-35		
VERY LOOSE Gray SAND		-	24						
WEATHERED BEDROCK 568.1 ENT OF BORING @ -19.0'	9 - 21 20 - 20	-	10						
-									
Standard Penetration Test- ows per foot to drive 2" D. Split Spoon Sampler 12" with O No. hammer failing 30".	Qu-Unco Strength w - Wate of ov	nfined - t/sf r Con en do	tent -	percentage	Type f B - Bul S - Sh E - Est P - Per	ailure: ge Failure ear Failure imated Val	45		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												-			
Illinois Lepart of Transporta	nest ion						Bridg Borin	а gili	oun	đati	on				
PROJECT D-31-425-90	Repue	1339	009-	1040 -		anuar	7 G.	Sh 199	1 ø 13	11	Sh.			ه P	в NO. D-91-425-90 ROJECT <u>I-80</u> а
MONITE PAI - 80	1-80) cea	s se	ng di sasa aya	Rinder	n., Robe	srt N		lars	ha l	1				FAI-80
SEC. 99-1(RS-3-88808-3-8)	574	4 2.	9314	夏	Chenge	an By D	avid	L.	Stu	rn				S	Township 35 N EC. Range 9 E, 28
COUNTY Will 135R9		**************************************	ł	Surface Wate	er £1.		T		1					c	OUNTY
Boring No. 2 Station <u>1932+25</u> Offset <u>108</u> ° Right (1	Eievetion	2 3		Gresseiwater Conquetier Adies	El at	×1,3	Elevetion	×	Qu t/s.f.	(%) M					Boring No1 Station1 Offset1
STIFF Black-Brown-Moter SHAT CLAY with pebbles Tibers		a na sa gina - Al na sa sa sa sa	NAV TANK I PERMIT			n an ann an Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna An			Concession of the local division of the loca						Ground Surface Black SILTY CLAY (Topsoil Fill)
		3 B 2 13 4 1 1	2 23	no meno negativo.			-25	Constant of the second second							Brown & gray SILTY (Fill) Brown & gray CLAY
561.5 HARD Brown SILTY CLAY with streaks of Gray & pebbles 570.2		2 60 6 15; 9 4 .;	22					CARD Down Without State and							Brown & gray SILTY
MARD Of ive Brown SILTY CLAY LOAM with pebbles - Till	-10	5 55 119 2 6 8	20			-	-375		- Constant of the second systems					1	
UNE Gray SAM	- m 	5 86 8 258 9 5,0	19			-		The second reasons where the second	a de la contra de la						Gray SILTY CLAY
fine to medium grain	-15	454	in the second se				-35		a description of the second						573 Gray poorly graded
MEDILM Gray SAND & 571.1 Dolomite Gravel + CLAY		8 4 P 5 2.0							and the second second	Constant of the second					trace grayel
REFUSAL 567.3 Auger & Sampler 567.8 END OF POPING # 16		3 899 3 574 5 955"	1 20				40		1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-						Gray CLAYEY SILT
	-20	THE R. L.													with sand & gravel
		All for these sections.					7	-							DOLOMITE (cont
N-Standard Penetration Test- Slows per foot to drive 2" 0.0. Split Spoon Sampler 12" with	Qu-Unc Strengt	contine h - 1/st	d Con	pressive	Type taik 8 - Bokje S - Shear	ava: Fail ure Fai lure	5		Whiteman					N-3 Blo 0.0	Standard Penetration ws per foot to drive). Split Spoon Samp 0 No. hammer falling
PHU NO. hommer falling 30".	w∘Wa ofo	ter Cox wen da	iteni - V weig	Derceniage ht-%	E - Estime P - Penetr	ned Value ometer								BD	137 (Rev. 4-78)
28 107 10 A 201	of a	wen da	y weig	h1-%.	P - Penetr	ometer								BD	137 (Rev. 4-78)

DATE: 1/32005 FILENAME: n:\



	1530 E CAME TANK	SCOC JEMN FUNCT	ATE CONTRACTOR CONTRAC	2 3. (* GINICE 1 1. 201 15. 4.11	40. 89		Bridg Boris	re Foundati re Log	on
	KD. 0-91-425-90							Sh.1 of 1.5	Sh.
PR	OJECT 1-80 and 1-55 BR	IDGE		5N 09	9-004	2 and 43 Date 2-10	-92		
		Exist Duce	ing & Mart	Prop Croat	osed :	Bridge	·		
HG	Township 35 K,		1031	1.1.50774	aye n	Boled by		<u>.</u>	
SE	C. Range 9 E, 28 & 27ST	Α		93240	0	Checked By	001	 	-
co	UNTY VIII	-		Γ	1	Surface Water El.	-		
	n-vi	NO.			19	Groundwater EL at	tion	8. f.	
	Station 1931+81.25	BVB	z	a t	22	Completion 580.0	- eve	N G N	
	Offset 141.22' LT	ΨŲ.		a		After 48 Hours 579.8	- ¹⁰⁰	0	
G	round Surface 588.	0 9			i di la	Plinkily Superliferation of the			
	Slack & brown SILTY		3	20%	22	gray arcillaceous bandino			
	CLAY (Topsell Fill) Very Sti-	ŕ¶	5	2.04		throughtout-slightly	·		
					1	vertical fracturing	ガビ	100% Recovery	
	. 584	5		20%	28		-20	48% RQD	
Ţ	Brown & gray Ci AY		б	1.79					
	51041 B grog samt	-35		1					
-			3	20%	25				
	591	A	7	1.96					
L	During & annual CTURY CLAY								
1	prown e gray sitti ctai		- 7	IS 115%	0.4		30		
	Har	d	11	2.57		-			
		-10				Fnd of Boring at ~31.0'			
			ģ.	<u>в</u> 20%	17				11
		-77.440	13	7.03		-			
	· · ·								
	√Stiff 574	.5	10	15 15%	22		-35		
T	Brown poorly graded		14	NP	18				
	SAND (A-3)	-15		ND ND	1 21				
ŀ	572.5		9	1987 Jaco	121				
	Grav noorly graded		13	1.650	10				
	SAND with gravel Hed								
			. 7	80	115		40		
t	Gray SILTY CLAY-some Very Stiff.		16	4.0 P	18		·		
L	sand & gravel Dense	-20	31	ND	21	-			
.[Brown poorly graded sand Very (possible wash-in) 568.0 Dense		28 22	NP	10				
	with gravel, sand & cobbles		08						
	567.0					· ·			
deras	andard Panetration Twet	0	. Hac-	ontire	i M Cr	moressive Tuna failuea	-45		}
ч-эт Зіон	a per foot to drive 2	- 50	ength	unnitt 1 ≈ t∕s	ana ine S	B - Bulge Fellu	ie re		
D.D.	Split Spoon Sampler 12" with					S - Shear Failu	re		
140	No. hammer falling 30".	4V ~	Wat	er Co	ntend	- percentage E - Estimated 1	/alua		
			A 10 A 10 A 10 A						



O'BRIEN & ASSOCIATE CONSULTING END 1995 E DAVIS ST/AR INSTON HTS (319)395 (contin Dark gray DOLOMITE End of Boring.gt -55.5'

BD 137 (Rev 4-78)

32005 15: n:\proj\2890\2890-02\design\structura\\2890-02-s-20-boringe2.dgr

DATE: 132005 FILENAME: n:\pr

		,-						Ś	F.A.I RTE. 80 STA FED.	ROAD	SE(9-1(K DIST. S-2	.ВҮ ,ВҮ NO. О с	DN &AC- IL	-B) TC LINOI S-2	CO 5 5 5 F	UNT COO TA. ED.	Y K AIE		OTAL IEETS 497 OJEC RACT	SHEET NO. 319 80906
IS, IN INECA L. COLO 0.1441	20 20 20 20												Sh. ;	of	2	Sh.				
erline	Elevation	z	Qu I/s.f.	w (%)	Will Date Brid SN O	Coun d Bor ge SN 99-00	ty ed 2 099 43	~14~ ~004	92 2 an	0.	Elevation	Contraction of the local division of the loc	z	Qu t/s.f.		W (%)				
wed)	_45 	100% 83.5	Recover IX RQD	y											-	ar a raidheadh anns an an an suainne a bhfan. Na st fan ach ann a seasan na dean				
	R	EVIS	IONS		TE	C STRL SCAI	ILLI FAI JCTU E: N	CONTENT SECTION INOI: AI-80 JRE ONE	FANO	EP4 SOVI SE (1-1	GPC RTM GOIL ER ECTI WII 800	MEN MEN ION LL ST -Of	D, [D B D C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	ING FT FR 9-1 DUN 193 2, C	RA S ON (H TY 1+	1018 8 NSI 2 IT A (,B 99)	.35 004 WN	(773) 794 RTAT RCA & A 3, 4 BY E		.) -0308



.

b Ro. 5-91-425-36 mrcgstr MAT -63 Boring 49 Clarin 1038/24.31 21.07117		2012 (2014) 	 μ το τη μεγορηματική προθυσική προθυσική προγραφίας μ το τη διαριστική μεγολογική το το	Elevation	2	Qu t/s.f.	10.13 million
Apparant beerook (Continuent)		žε		-755 -75 -75 -75 -75 -75 -75 -75 -75 -75			

DATE: 122005 FILENAME: n:\proj\2890\2890-02\design\structura\\2890-02-5-21-borings3.dgn

		(** * T					TOTAL	CUEPT)
		RTE.	SECTION	۷	COUNTY	r	SHEETS	NO.
		80	99-1(K.BY&	AC-B)	C00	(497	320
		STA.		T	O STA.			
		FED. RO.	AD DIST. NO.	ILLIN	DIS FED.	AID F	ROJECT	
	C	Choot	S-21 of	- c. c) <u> </u>	COM	TRACT	80906
	-	11667	5 21 01	52	. 1	L		
	AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND INC.	م مال	. @		~			
		ONDS ilting en	I GIYOUG GINKERS	», inc	20 20			
REVISIONS	5507 N	ORTH CUN	BERLAND AVENU	E :: CHICA	30, ILLINOIS 6	0656 :: (773) 775-4009	
NAME DATE	ILLINOI	S DEF	PARTMENT	T OF		PORT	ATION	
	F		SUIL B		65 J DONT:	~- ·		
	FAI-8	su ()	VER WES	SI F	RUNIA	UE I	TUAD	. I
	⊦ai-8C		SECTION	-99-	1 (K,B	Y &	ac-B	'
			WILL	COUN	NTY			
		FAI	-80 STA	4.19	31+99.	.35		
	STRUCTURE	NO.	099-00)42,	099-0	043,	099	-0308
	SCALE: NONE				DRA	WN BY	BWS	
	DATE: OCTOB	ER 200)4		CHE	CKED	BY GDW	



1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

APPENDIX B

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



2 <u>v</u> 2 55200 Н SIZE GRAIN



2 <u>v</u> 2 55200 Н SIZE GRAIN



2553901.GPJ US LAB.GDT ATTERBERG LIMITS IDH



Unconfined Compressive Strength of Intact Rock Core Specimens

Project: I-80

Client: Stantec

WEI Job No.: KE225039

Field Sample ID	Run #	Depth (ft)	Location	Sample Description	Leng Before Capping	th (in) After Capping	Diameter (in)	Total Load (lbs)	Total Pressure (psi)	Fracture Type*	Break Date	Tested By	Area (in ²)
FR-BSB-01	1	20.5	East Abutment	Dolostone	4.20	NA	2.06	32570	9763	3	3/1/23	KJ	3.33
FR-BSB-02	1	40.0	West Abutment	Dolostone	4.22	NA	2.05	26950	8205	3	3/1/23	KJ	3.28
FR-BSB-03	1	39.5	East Abutment	Dolostone	4.05	NA	2.05	30240	9126	3	10/31/22	KJ	3.31
FR-BSB-07	1	40.0	East Abutment	Dolostone	4.11	NA	2.06	29270	8816	3	11/2/22	KJ	3.32
FR-BSB-08	2	40.0	West Abutment	Dolostone	4.22	NA	2.06	40830	12298	3	10/31/22	KJ	3.32

* Fracture Types:

Type 1 - Reasonably well-formed cones on both ends, less than 1 in. [25 mm] of cracking through caps;

Type 2 - Well-formed cone on one end, vertical cracks running through caps, no well defined cone on other end;

Type 3 - Columnar vertical cracking through both ends, no well-formed cones;

Type 4 - Diagonal fracture with no cracking through ends; tap with hammer to distinguish from Type 1;

Type 5 - Side fractures at top or bottom (occur commonly with unbonded caps);

Type 6 - Similar to Type 5 but end of cylinder is pointed.

Prepared by:_____

Checked by: _____



1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

APPENDIX C

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982







2553901

KE225039

FOR STANTEC



Boring FR-BSB-02: Run #2, 49.0 to 54.0 feet, RECOVERY=93%, RQD=64%





Boring FR-BSB-03: Run #1, 39.0 to 44.0 feet, RECOVERY=98%, RQD=67%

BEDROCK CORE: I-80 RECONSTRUCTION FF	BRIDGE AND RAMP D OVER FRONTAGE RD, ROM EAST OF RIDGE RD TO HOUBOLT RD; WI	-80 ILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS
SCALE: GRAPHICAL	APPENDIX C-5	DRAWN BY: J. Bensen CHECKED BY: A. Hamad
	Wang Engineering	1145 N. Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 www.wangeng.com
FOR STANTEC		255-39-01





Boring FR-BSB-04: Run #1, 38.0 to 44.5 feet, RECOVERY=95%, RQD=56%





APPENDIX C-8 SCALE: GRAPHICAL CHECKED BY: A. Hamad Wang Engineering 1145 N. Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 www.wangeng.com FOR STANTEC 255-39-01

Run #2



Boring FR-BSB-05: Run #1, 39.0 to 49.0 feet, RECOVERY=97%, RQD=64%







Boring FR-BSB-06: Run #2, 48.0 to 53.0 feet, RECOVERY=95%, RQD=80%

BEDROCK CORE: I-80 RECONSTRUCTION FF	BRIDGE AND RAMP D OVER FRONTAGE RD, ROM EAST OF RIDGE RD TO HOUBOLT RD; WI	I-80 ILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS							
SCALE: GRAPHICAL	APPENDIX C-11	DRAWN BY: D. You CHECKED BY: A. Hamad							
	Wang Engineering	1145 N. Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 www.wangeng.com							
FOR STANTEC	FOR STANTEC								





Boring FR-BSB-07: Run #1, 38.0 to 44.0 feet, RECOVERY=100%, RQD=41%

SEDROCK CORE: I-80 BRIDGE AND RAMP D OVER FRONTAGE RD, I-80 RECONSTRUCTION FROM EAST OF RIDGE RD TO HOUBOLT RD; WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS			
SCALE: GRAPHICAL	APPENDIX C-12	DRAWN BY: J. Bensen CHECKED BY: A. Hamad	
V	Wang Engineering	1145 N. Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 www.wangeng.com	
FOR STANTEC		255-39-01	



Run #1 TOP BOTTOM Run #2 TOP Q Qu: 12,298psi . Bottom BOTTOM 6 inches

Boring FR-BSB-08: Run #1, 38.0 to 39.5 feet, RECOVERY=94%, RQD=0% Run #2, 39.5 to 44.5 feet, RECOVERY=95%, RQD=57%









1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

APPENDIX D

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



Undrained Analysis, Sta.44+40.00, West Abutment, Ref Boring: FR-BSB-04 & FR-BSB-08

Layer ID	Description	Total Unit Weight (pcf)	Undrained Cohesion (psf)	Undrained Friction Angle (degrees)
1	M Dense SA Gravel - Fill	115	0	29
2	M Stiff to V Stiff SI Clay to SI CL Loam - Fill	120	1500	0
3	Stiff to Hard SI Clay to SI CL Loam	120	4500	0
4	M Dense Sand	115	0	30
5	V Stiff to Hard SI CL Loam to CL Loam	120	2200	0

GLOBAL STABILITY: I-80 BRIDGE AND RAMP D OVER FRONTAGE RD, I-80			
RECONSTRUCTION FF	ROM EAST OF RIDGE RD TO HOUBOLT RD;	WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS	
		DRAWN BY: D. You	
SCALE. GRAFTICAL	APPENDIA D-1	CHECKED BY: A. Hamad	
Ž	A Ferracon Company	1145 N. Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 www.wangeng.com	
FOR STANTEC		2553901	
I ON SHARTEC		KE225039	



Layer ID	Description	Total Unit Weight (pcf)	Drained Cohesion (psf)	Drained Friction Angle (degrees)
1	M Dense SA Gravel - Fill	115	0	29
2	M Stiff to V Stiff SI Clay to SI CL Loam - Fill	120	100	32
3	Stiff to Hard SI Clay to SI CL Loam	120	100	30
4	M Dense Sand	115	0	30
5	V Stiff to Hard SI CL Loam to CL Loam	120	100	30

GLOBAL STABILITY: I-80 BRIDGE AND RAMP D OVER FRONTAGE RD, I-80 RECONSTRUCTION FROM EAST OF RIDGE RD TO HOUBOLT RD; WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS			
SCALE: GRAPHICAL APPENDIX D-2		DRAWN BY: D. You CHECKED BY: A. Hamad	
X	A Firracon Company	1145 N. Main Street Lombard, IL 60148 www.wangeng.com	
FOR STANTEC		2553901 KE225039	






1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

APPENDIX E

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982



5/18/2023 1:06:00 PM







HBM	USER NAME	DESIGNED - JJS, PG	REVISED -	STATE OF ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	
		CHECKED - MAI, MI	REVISED -		
	PLOT SCALE =	DRAWN - PG	REVISED -		STRUCTURE NOS. 099-8314
GINEERING GROUP, LLC	PLOT DATE =	CHECKED - MAI, MI	REVISED -		SHEET 3 OF

5/18/2023 1:06:06 PM



5/18/2023 1:06:09 PM



5/18/2023 1:06:49 PM





1145 North Main Street Lombard, Illinois 60148 Phone (630) 953-9928 www.wangeng.com

APPENDIX F

Geotechnical · Construction · Environmental Quality Engineering Services Since 1982





-150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 615 t OFF -93.80 EL 605.59 OFF -89.75 EL 605.44 9 F 49.50 605.46 OFF -25.50 EL 604.82 OFF -13.50 EL 604.87 OFF 25.50 EL 605.14 OFF 37.50 EL 605.39 OFF 65.25 EL 605.07 OFF 13.50 EL 604.87 OFF -37.50 EL 604.75 OFF -109.5 El 604.93 OFF -118.0 EL 604.61 OFF -1.50 EL 604.39 OFF 1.50 EL 604.39 F 49.50 604.50 OFF -65.50 EL 603.78 OFF -61.50 EL 604.02 610 6 1 0.6% 605 -2.5% 6.0%
 FINAL
 SURVEYED

 SURVEY
 SURVEYED

 NOTE BOOK
 TEMPLATE

 NOTE BOOK
 AREAS

 NO
 AREAS CHECKED
600 595 590 585 580 -20 10 60 70 -150 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -10 20 30 40 50 -140 0 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 -150 -140 -50 0 615 OFF -90.81 EL 605.19 OFF -86.76 EL 605.04 F -106.97 604.54 OFF 49.50 EL 605.25 OFF 37.50 EL 605.13 -115.06 OFF -25.50 EL 604.50 OFF -13.50 EL 604.59 OFF 63.85 El 604.86 OFF 13.50 EL 604.59 F 25.50 604.87 OFF -37.50 EL 604.38 OFF -1.50 EL 604.11 OFF 1.50 EL 604.11 49.5 OFF -65,50 EL 603,40 OFF -61,50 EL 603,64 610 01 Ъ Ц EL O E G 605 1.0% 6.0% 600 ontrol ORIGINAL SURVEY SURVEY PLOTTED NOTE BOOK AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS 595 590 585 -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 0 DESIGNED REVISED JSER NAME = jstrouse CROSS SECTIONS STATE OF ILLINOIS Stantec DRAWN REVISED **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION** PLOT SCALE = 0.166666667 ' / in. CHECKED -REVISED SCALE: SHEET PLOT DATE = 10/20/2022 DATE REVISED OF





-150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 0



-150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 615 610 FINAL SURVEY SURVEY BUTTE NOTE BORK NOTE BORK AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS 605 _/ i ti 22 22 22 22 2 ÷ŀ 600 ÷#÷ OFF -18.08 EL 587.82 OFF -16.00 EL 587.41 OFE -12.00 EL 587.51 OFF 12.00 ET 587.91 OFF 16.00 OFF 18.08 EL 587.41 EL 587.82 OFF 0.00 EL 587.75 595 ÷È 590 5% _____ Ľ 585 -130 -120 -110 -100 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 -150 -140 -90 -80 -10 0 -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 0 615 610 605. 11 Ċ۴. 600. OPIGINAL SURVEYED OPIGINAL SURVEYED OPIGINAL SURVEYED OPIGINE SURVEYED OPIGINE SURVEYED OPIGINE OPIGIN OFF -18.08 EL 587.78 OFF -16.00 EL 587.37 OFE -12.00 EL 587.47 EL 587.47 OFF 12 00 EL 587.47 OFF 16 00 EL 587.37 OFF 18.08 OFF 18.08 OFF 0.00 EL 587.71 595 590 Ľ 585. -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 0 JSER NAME = jstrouse DESIGNED -REVISED CROSS SECTIONS STATE OF ILLINOIS Stantec DRAWN REVISED PLOT SCALE = 0.166666667 ' / in. CHECKED -REVISED -**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

REVISED

PLOT DATE = 10/20/2022

DATE



SCALE: SCALE

SHEET

OF

-150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 615 610 FINAL SURVEY SURVEY NOTE BOOK REMART REMART REMART REAS 605 600 2312 OFF 12.00 EL 587 54 OFF 16.00 OFF 18.08 EL 587 44 EL 587 45 80% 595 OFF -0.(EL 587. 590 Ы 585 -120 -40 -30 -20 10 20 30 -150 -140 -130 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -10 0 40 50 60 70 -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 615 610 605. 600. ORIGINAL SURVEY SURVEY NOTE BOK NOTE BOK AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS OFF 12.00 ET 587 61 OFF 16.00 OFF 18.08 EL 587.92 EL 587.92 595 OFF 0.0 EL 587. 다 일 다 의 다 590 E 585. -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 0 DESIGNED -REVISED JSER NAME = jstrouse CROSS SECTIONS STATE OF ILLINOIS Stantec DRAWN REVISED DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PLOT SCALE = 0.166666667 / in. CHECKED -REVISED -PLOT DATE = 10/20/2022 SCALE: SHEET OF SHEETS STA. DATE REVISED



-150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 615 🛓 610 FINAL SURVEY SURVEY NOTE BOOK REMARTE AREAS AREAS CHECKED 605. ÷. 11 \mathbf{T} 600 OFF -18.08 EL 587,86 OFF -16.00 EL 587,45 OFF -12.00 OFF 12.00 EL 587 55 OFF 16.00 EL 587 45 EL 587 45 OFF 18.08 EL 587.86 88 595 0FF -0. EL 587. 590 Ľ 585 -120 -110 -100 -70 -50 -40 -30 -20 10 20 30 50 60 70 -140 -130 -90 -80 -60 -10 40 -150 0 -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 -90 -80 0 615 610 605 11 ____ 600. ORIGINAL SURVEY SURVEY NOTE BOOK NOTE BOOK AREAS AREAS CHECKED NO AREAS CHECKED CKED OFF -18.08 EL 587.85 OFF -16.00 EL 587.44 OFF -12.00 EL 587.54 EL 587.54 OFF 12.00 EL 587 54 OFF 16.00 EL 587.44 OFF 18.08 EL 587.85 OFF 0.00 EL 587.78 595 590 5 585. -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 0 DESIGNED -REVISED JSER NAME = jstrouse CROSS SECTIONS STATE OF ILLINOIS Stantec DRAWN REVISED DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PLOT SCALE = 0.166666667 ' / in. CHECKED -REVISED -PLOT DATE = 10/20/2022 SCALE: SHEET OF DATE REVISED



-150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 615. 610 FINAL SURVEY SURVEY NOTE BOOK REMART AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS CHECKED 605 600 OFF -18:08 EL 587 91 OFF -16:00 EL 587 50 OFE -12:00 OFE -12:00 OFF 12.00 E1-387.60 OFF 16.00 E1 587.50 OFF 18.08 OFF 18.08 OFF -0.00 EL 587.84 595 590 5%) 1 2.0% Ŀ 585 -130 -120 -110 -100 -70 -50 -40 -30 -20 10 20 30 50 70 -150 -140 -90 -80 -60 -10 40 60 0 10 -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 20 30 40 50 60 70 615 610 605 $=\sqrt{1}$ 2 E िंहि 600. ORIGINAL SURVEYE SURVEYE SURVEYE SURVEYE NOTE BOOK AREAS AREAS AREAS OFF - 18.08 EL 587.92 OFF - 16.00 EL 587.51 OFF - 12.00 EL 587 61 EL 587 61 OFF 12.00 EI 5876T OFF 16.00 EI 587.51 OFF 18.08 OFF 18.08 OFF -0.00 EL 587.85 595 590 Ы 585. -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 0 JSER NAME = jstrouse DESIGNED -REVISED CROSS SECTIONS Stantec STATE OF ILLINOIS DRAWN REVISED DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PLOT SCALE = 0.166666667 ' / in. CHECKED -REVISED -PLOT DATE = 10/20/2022 SCALE: SHEET OF SHEETS STA. DATE REVISED



10 20 30 50 -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 40 60 70 615 610 FINAL SURVEY SURVEY NOTE BOOK REMART REMART REMART REAS 605. <u>____</u> 600 ΞĒ. 8.08 7.78 6.00 37 47 47 OFF 12.00 EE 587.47 CFF 16.00 EL 587.37 OFF 18.08 EL 587.78 595 2 8 OFF -0. 590 585 -120 -110 -40 -30 -20 10 20 30 -150 -140 -130 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -10 0 40 50 60 70 -140 -130 -120 10 -150 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 20 30 40 50 60 70 615 610 605 ____ 600. ORIGINAL SURVEY SURVEYED SURVEY SURVEYED NOTE BOOK AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS OFF -18.08 EL 587.84 OFF -16.00 OFF -16.00 OFE -12.00 OFE -12.00 EL 587 53 OFF 12.00 EL 387.53 OFF 16.00 EL 587.43 OFF 18.08 OFF 18.08 200 595 OFF -0. 590 585. -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 0 JSER NAME = jstrouse DESIGNED -REVISED CROSS SECTIONS STATE OF ILLINOIS Stantec DRAWN REVISED DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PLOT SCALE = 0.166666667 ' / in. CHECKED -REVISED -PLOT DATE = 10/20/2022 SCALE: SHEET 0 OF SHEETS STA. DATE REVISED -



DATE 615¹⁵⁰ -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 610 FINAL SURVEY SURVEY PHOTTE NOTE BOOK NOTE BOOK REAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS AREAS 605 600 1 1 OFF -18.08 EL 587.73 OFF -16.00 EL 587.32 OFF -12.00 FF -12.00 EL 587.42 OFF 12 00 EL 587 42 OFF 16,00 EL 587.32 OFF 18.08 OFF 18.08 595 OFF 0.(EL 587 590 585 10 -40 -30 -20 -10 20 30 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -50 50 60 70 -150 -80 -70 -60 0 40 -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 -90 -80 615 610 605 1912 600. OPIGINAL SURVEYED OPIGINAL SURVEYED OPIGINAL SURVEYED OPIGINE SURVEYED OPIGINE SURVEYED OPIGINE OPIGIN OFF 12 00 EL 587.45 OFF 16,00 EL 587.35 OFF 18.03 CFF 18.03 EL 587.76 595 OFF -0.(EL 587. 5 590 585. -150 -140 -130 -120 -110 -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 -50 -40 -30 -20 -10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 0 DESIGNED -REVISED JSER NAME = jstrouse CROSS SECTIONS STATE OF ILLINOIS Stantec DRAWN REVISED DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PLOT SCALE = 0.166666667 ' / in. CHECKED -REVISED -PLOT DATE = 10/20/2022 SCALE: SHEET OF DATE REVISED

